Document 2

G2.5 (TOC I-II), M 1.5 = [TOC envelope] (.000I)

Disclosure of Docs (/RR13)

Favourable Decision

RR 8.6 & 8.65: 1. Habeas 2. Defamation 3. Wrongful Death 4. Petition Dissolution (+appeal, + mit pad)
5. City-Mandate 6. Civil Rights Suit 7. Refugee Papers/asylum (IX)

RR51-54 - (+55-60)

~~Med. Label (M2-M5)~~ (moved to TOC envelope)

Dates

11/21 - 11:30 - Det. Rev.

11/15 - 11am - admiss.

Note cards
env.
☐ 43 TOTAL (12/15)

Benton, Lane, clackamas (1/13)

Oregon state police: 1 800 442 0776
arizona ~~state police~~
Dep. of Pub. Sa
1 602 223 2000
+7 personal prop
+8 police dispatcher
– mesa police non emerg.
480 644 2211

+2 dispatch or speak to officer
or remain online for operator
☐ address
mesa city court: 480 644 2255

– p21 i.22 58(b)
– 18 (58(b)) Do not arrest]

Client ID # 114228
Claudia-Counsel

Addresses: 1) Welc...
2) 10617, 128th Street
3) Olive Branch Shelter, ...

44.51–44.55, A1–A3

RCMP·GRC

"Hair" J.H.

"Jee"

Corporal Jeevan HEIR
Professional Standards Unit
BC RCMP – Surrey Detachment
14355 57th Avenue, Surrey, BC V3X 1A9
Desk: 604-502-6261
Cell: 604-612-4894
Email: Jeevan.Heir@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Canada

27

Toilet Broken Waiting for Repair

43

YOU ARE NOT ALONE
suicide hotline
1800 784 2433

Google HQ-650 253 0000 mountain view CA
18662466453 \ shesha.child
2. other emails

sweden
Consulate "urgent" – well funded
ottawa 613 244 8200

Katherine
604 503 3132
lawyer

2/15/2025

13130 76th Ave
Surrey, BC

~~[illegible]~~

artura-sandovasamos
@hotmail.com

2/8/2025

- ☐ DRAW (meditation) Trees of BC
- ☒ Call Google – it all comes down to corn
- ☒ Call go about mom's drugs (coffee) CFB
  Heart Health ?, fun @ D.Kvern for not caring food/meat heart
- ☐ Call Sweden Emb. again!
- ☐ Receipt writings in morning or now
- ☐ Call Allison Knight
  - ☐ get # from Lane Co after RR 126 filing report against CFB

LOVE isnt just feeling someone it's ALSO
Listen to what they have to say

*CBSA's fault
I want all my — Complaints / Forms back
The Right to Secure communication

(42)

CBSA COMPLAINTS CAN BE SUBMITTED THROUGH:

HTTPS://WWW.CBSA-ASFC.GC.CA/CONTACT/FEEDBACK-RETROACTION-ENG.HTML?TYPE=0

Recieved from Prom
"no # for Ombudsperson"

1007 ~10am 11/29/2024

(40)

1. In ~~writing~~, early det. rev.
2. CBSA requests/complaints
secure comm. system
3. ~~MEET~~ ASHLEY IS ALIVE?
from my 600 lb life
She's even 600
maybe 300-

1. is ashley alive
2. meet ashley

(41)

P. Singh -
M. Kailey - Bringing list of people from that night
same night
V. Singh wait month "Fraud" "not guilty" lost job



merry christmas

Somed

(31)

called on 2/2

604-591-4126
(Mayor?) Meriam Locke
613-941-4193
Ottawa (Attorney General)

other asylum claims legal frustrated

1. detention hearings a. addresses
b. defamation police media vs police report
2. CBSA comm forms, detention center handbook

[illegible]

=> SPENCER, JOSEPH BARROT

=> 403-607-5274

NICO BREED

13:43

---

(10)

would rather collaborate but they'd rather compete & broadcast unstable/false beliefs which are not true /by-evidence (or able to stand the test of time/peer-review

JUST NEEDED NUTRITION to focus better (not be as attacked by doubt)

intake call ~ (11/3-11/4)? (11/4)

I turned off my lights @ 10:16 after I addressed them

---

(13)

403-607-5274

Nicol (Lawyer)

Have all documents with you

~~X~~ find Pen Clicker Complaint K. Singh (Thought he was a Dhillon) A & J. Dhillon on page 93

~~X~~ Ombudsmans # complaint ~~&~~ of lack of privileged correspondence 18:34 11/27

(#)

Olive Branch Shelter
10732 City Park Way, Surrey
Bed available for tomorrow

(10/22)

(18)

Nature of Language
Legal argument
= Have you ever lied?"
= define Lie (then define every word that defines lie, and every word after, so add on ∞ continuously ;)]

(How insulting-forced to small talk, to be "nice" conform. fa

---

(23)

LLI&SS (6)

Please View my Studies on Human Rights, World Peace & Mother Nature

Conscious Culture

FB pages: conscience culture, cascadia Now, corvallis replay, media production

www.archive.org/details/@endtheschism

YouTube: S.J. Barrett

or @ S.J.-barrett @ spencer_barrett

---

(16)



The common "you're not a Monk" or "My Religion is better than Urs"

Built on 11/10 gifted to Mr. White
"Toppled" on 11/11

(special 2/02) ~1/2 ~
micro
meso
~~exo~~ macro
~~ex~~ exo

Please Don't Touch

like Abassi's

Ship, bird cage n stuff

(22)

4/13 ~ 8 (~33) (mgs)
16/53 years
28 dominions
81

16/81 = ~ 4/20
(4 years prices left standing)

... founded
fear & Right to Self-defense
which followed celebration
of Koran; the Victory
which followed disclosing
the abuse of refugees war dead
& dreamers
who are turned from Saints
into terrorists by an abusive
eye/watcher/surveillance state
with conflict interest to the gender

"How are you?"
Psuedo Comm
& Rest of Thesis Statement

(32)

---

(8)

Sorry for all the excess energy
I used to run a lot more

Kiniki: yellowing discoloration abnormal

Xanthosis

Kiniki Strawberry intestine

Aree

778-846-7544

CLAUDIA - COUNSEL

CALL PLS

recieved 8:30 am (1 hr before)
(11/4?)

☐ I need my Right disclosure of docs

PRIORITY ONE

Develope Universal Language for Universal Human Rights & Healthcare

☑ complete

△ needs
basic, security belonging mastery transcendence

▽ Beliefs
self, others, past, present, future

grief & other cycles

Bio psycho socio spiritual wide

attachment styles & other vectors

microsystems
subatomic-cellular
& or Piaget sensori motor to the Formal & Broader

---

(12)
(4(2))

- Shelter
+ Asylum against USA
  - civil Suit on phone
  - print & fax

Phone: #'s family

× Jagger & joe or manik
× Danielle
× Bonds #

☑ letters
☑ Mom Shit
☑ Karum Love
✓ explain the necessity to her for 50% death
☑ Daily Vitamin
☑ nicotine gum

10/11 ~ 8am

24-151833 file #

other officer:

Gary Wong

theirs Supervisor: Sgt. Atwall

~~24-1518 24~~

④

Sadhu Singh Gill] said suit on phone

want me to send another "specific" request to CBSA

- 4th request sent 10/24
- 3rd " 10/23 to lock box
- 2nd " 10/22 to Gill woman

made 10/20 ~20:30

Ref # for Complaint

R2024-005784

☐ Collect & order sticky notes
- TOC for sticky notes: RRs 8.6, B? …
☐ TOC, missing: 8.6
☐ find jeevan meet date, 11/21?
☐ re label new folders
☐ ~~rename~~ → Hospital, Disclosures
RR 26-60 = 1 day notes

⑥ Monica (10/28)

Nayeemi naive [not even! he's me! Nayeme]

& Sam

… of the

subs in Archive but not transcript ☆ 11/15

☐ "no arguments made concerning children ~~any~~ effected"

-Renee

☒ was Lousy 10/23

it is in my childrens ~~Liviy's~~ best interest if I recieve asylum in Switzerland

To secure their rights, the future, the earth

㉔

⑲

archive.org/details/

@ SJB177
- Defamation
- Wrongful Death

@ end the schism
- mit pack includes habeas corpus

@ S_J_barrett
- City mandate emergency backup

@ spencer_barrett
- Civil Rights Suit

other: Petition for Dissolution

OUT OF SERVICE

JOIN THE RESISTANCE 2/14



OM

1/15/Hearing Prep (25)

4. Attempted
5. Police Report; in Hammock
Colleen handed knife to PO
- seeking Asylum against U.S.A
3. AND canada after assault by police, see refugee papers
- arranging flight to Switzerland
1. Fax: favourable dec. ref. papers
det. rev. date
3. D.O RECEIVE?
2. Pre-warning: Nurse ~~Needs~~
Negligence
have not received adequate

11/22/2024 - ~~Jim~~ Joseph Brunet

1. CBSA - a) secure storage digi & paper
- needs better comm b) request turns back eyes
2. Medical a) witch hazel
b) vit c & vit. D
c) choice of docs
3. "mom" - needs say sorry to me
4. "dad" - needs give me his skull in his will, by informed voluntary & explicit consent ('4 granny)

(28)

archive.org/details/ (19)

@ SJB177
- Defamation
- Wrongful Death

@ end the schism
- mit pack
includes habeas corpus

@ S_J_barrett
- City mandate emergency backup

@ spencer_barrett
- Civil Rights Suit

other: Petition for Dissolution



View more @ FB Page: Conscience Culture

[Tired of Superficial Shit]

"How are you?"

Realizing you are
a) Suicidal → b) dont care
c) forgetting world Peace

Thesis

"conclusion"
a) Belief about Human Nature
b) Systematic Distortion & doed to attend
c) Psuedo Comm., Nature of (33)

PEACE/Human rights Multimedia Productions & Broadcasting

Spencer Joseph Barrett /Love /Shesha/Bharata/Spirit

Facebook pages:
Conscience Culture
Cascadia Now Corvallis
Replay Media

Archive.org/details/@
@ SJB177
@ endtheschism
@ s-j-barrett
@ Spencer_barrett

YouTube Channel: S.J. Barrett (36)

Biopsycho Socio-Spirit

2. Vectors
a. Trust
b. ?ID
c. /Parent
d. /Gov. [illegible]

1. Needs
a. [illegible]
transcendence
mastery
Belonging
security
Basic care (food) air water
community [illegible]

Beliefs about Self, others, past/present/future

Cycles
a. grief
b. [illegible]
c. breath

6. Micro systems [illegible]
a. Piaget stages of dev.
b. sub atomic to multi cellular

7. Macro Systems
a. ecosystem to galactic & universal

6. [illegible]

(37)

11/22/2024

Spencer Joseph Barrett DOB: 04/18/94

S.J. Barrett Bharata

UCI# 1142284332

1. CBSA Better Comm Sys
a) Secure Storage backups dig!
b) request forms back

2. Medical
a) witches hazel
b) vit. C & vit. D
c) alt. choice of Dr's (37)

3. "Mom" (CFD) Needs say sorry to me [illegible]
[illegible]

4. "Dad" (DPB) needs give me his skull in his will, by informed voluntary & [illegible] consent

---

11/26/22

War, poverty, disease, Hunger, Natural/Manmade Disasters

Local & International

Human Rights Violations

Q: WHAT CAN I DO ABOUT IT?! - 1. Single (best pen clicker)

fellow citizens! City Hall!

Your Voice Matters! (35)

[illegible] in Archive but not transcripts!

II "no arguments made concerning children any effected"

-Renee was Lousy

10/23

It is in my childrens best interest if I recieve Asylum in Switzerland

To secure their rights, the future [illegible]

(34)

[illegible]/15/ Hearing Prep (25)

4. Attempted
5. Police Report; in Hammock
Colleen handed Judge to PO
seeking asylum against U.S.A
3. AND canada after assault by police, see refugee papers
- arranging flight to Switzerland

1. Fax: favourable dec. ref. papers
det. rev. date
3. D.D. RECIEVE

2. Pre-warning: Nurse Meds
Negligence
have not recieved adequate

11/22/2024 - Spencer Joseph Barrett

1. CBSA - needs better comm sys
a) Secure storage digi & paper
b) request forms back

2. Medical
a) witch hazel
b) vit C & vit. D
c) choice of docs

3. "mom" - needs say sorry to me

4. "dad" - needs give me his skull in his will, by informed voluntary [illegible]

(28)

FUCK YOU 2/14

I have Hemorrhoids

2/14

SMEGMA Lives HERE

- p21 & 22 58(5)
- 18 (58(5)) Do not even want to be in Canada [context: was assaulted upon arrest]

Client ID # 1142284332

Claudia - Counsel - 778-846-7544, claubuendia@yahoo.com

403-607-5274
- Nico Gread had spoke w/ Stanley

Addresses: 1) Welcome Hub, King George, staff: Stanley & Karum
2) 10617, 128th Street Surrey, 778-838-1007 (Joe)
3) Olive Branch Shelter, 10732 City Parkway, Surrey

TOC III / G3 / M1.5

G2

Andrew Mortenson — Son of Murder / only 10/23 not 4/4 / wyslouzil

List of Grievances for (panel) Renee ~~was Louise~~

— who stalled world Peace & killed refugees by their negligence

1. assaulted in Park by officer (11/15) 18(1) line: for keeping me detained!
*2. no need for designated Representative (1/15, 10/14) (18(1)) line:
3. Transcript Error (incomplete/missing response line 23, (2/15) 18(1) listen to original audio, claimed I needed a rep... so Nico stayed on, despite #2
4. officer Samra did not include my asylum claim in his Statement line 9-10 & 18 (8/15) & (officer Samra Statement:

☆ 0.0001 : DID NOT GIVE ME MY RIGHT TO

A) DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS – claiming I am not cooperative, unsubstantiated (no secure, verifiable comm. system.)

- p21 & 22 58(b)
- 18 (58(b)) Do not even want to be in Canada [context: was assaulted upon arrest]

Client ID # 1142284332

Claudia - Counsel - 778-846-7544, claubuendia@yahoo.com

403-607-5274 — Nico Breed had spoke w/ Stanley

Addresses: 1) Welcome Hub, King George, Staff: Stanley & Karum
2) 10617, 128th Street Surrey, 778-838-1007 (Joe)
3) Olive Branch Shelter, 10732 City Parkway, Surrey

G1

TOC III / G3 / M1.5

G2

TOC I

Packets/Folders

I) [20 Pages] RE: Disclosure… 48 hr Detention Review & Admissibility Hearing… 10-16-2024

II) [34 Pages] RE: Disclosure… 7 day Detention Review & Admissibility Hearing… 10-23-2024

III) 5 of 40+ Request forms (10/16, 10/18 (x3), 10/20) [10/18 #2* "adam…", 10/20 #5* "tall bald"] 5 RR

IV) 5 Declarations: 1.) Disclosure of Documents***, 2.) crocker-Powell email "cooperation/cooperative with CBSA" Allegations (see also ... & (#4))
3.) ~~Solemn~~ Prabhneet Kaloa* (requested witness & conf. Bias warning) also requested to email
denied but adam enters…
4.) M. Prakash & Kaur witness* @ 22:47 on 11/2/2024 (see also: Klitchkock* x3 and more in Delta, Chitchot* ..., Sandhu* G-unit, Prom* G-unit, 11/3 @ ~12:00 (Lunch))
5.) "Why Did Jesus have to hide" (RR42)

V) Transcripts: 1.) 10/16 Panel: Mortenson - 15 pages
2.) 10/23 Panel: Wyslouzil - 23 pages

VI) Running Record[RR] (RR 1-19)

VII) Immigration Detention Information Package

VIII) 34 page Disclosure (11/4) (same as (I) only added A36(2)b to page 1

notes[RR]

- I. page 20.5 = Commentary (s.) on 10/23 (RR ~~...~~ 20 RR)
- II. (includes RR's 26-60 RR 10-28 - 11-3) RR 44 (-42)
- III (includes RR's 21-25 RR)
- IV.1 = RR 13 RR, IV.5 = RR 42
- VI = RR 1-19 RR (-13)

Transports

- intake (10/11) → Delta (10/5) → Bravo (10/28) → Delta (10/30) → G (11/1)
- Charlie (11/9) ← intake ← Foxtrot (11/8 - 11/9 ~ 11:30am?) ← (11/8) ↓ 11/3 intake cell
- ↓ 11/25 Delta →
- "G is for Golf" ...

Manufactured by Amazon.ca
Acheson, AB

* Civil Suit Negligence
Refusal to take Notice of Right to "Disclosure of Documents" (ID.10.02 (AUG 2023))
if you have documents.. you must make a copy… provide to ministers counsel *and* to Immigration Division @ following email &/or fax

notes (2)

- ☐ add file & ref # (assaulted by wrong)
- ☒ missing RR ~~18~~ 20 - 24
- ☒ I. page 20 other side is RR 20
  - ☐ put into current?
- ☐ T.O.C. for RR's
- ☐ "6 weeks not enough time" wait, do you want me to stay or go? din trying to leave (assaulted by P.O.) *
- ☐ RR 8.6 & 8.65

- "was given allowed to leave ..."
- "eluding examination"
- "hasnt provided contact"
- "raided by police"
- "chased by US authorities"
- no other alternatives

Adam x 2: 10/18, 10/22
Klitchkok x 3: 10/19, 10/20, 11/3
Kaloa: 10/22
Chitchot: 10/30
Sandhu: 11/2
Prom: 11/2
~~10/31, 11/4~~, (11/9)

* = Disclosure of Documents Right: IV. 1, 3, 4

III

G1.5

G1, 1.5

missing p42? TOC III

TOC II

48 hr Review (20 pages)

1. p4 - "sleeping in park" (s. it was 8 am, bag was packed, I was leaning against tree)
2. " - ¶2 & 3 - never stated "believed may be schizophrenic"
3. " - " - NCIC check showed charges which were dismissed (obstruction, damage, false report(?))
4. p5 - ¶1 - "rants that were not pertinent to the topics @ hand" (s. not my fault you cant focus, connect the dots (pattern ability is I.Q.?) (see also: "you are in contempt of Court because you do not care about my life
5. p5 - "most charges were dismissed" (s. So why are they even mentioned? Bias & Manipulation, false or deceptive/co-ercive narratives)
6. " - "false info-Police" (s. decieved by court (plead to criminal mischief but changed charge w/ out prior notice, see court transcript 8/8/2022) (s. also, not giving your name to officer is not a crime, I was not trespassing, once notified, I had left the viscinity)
7. p7 - Louis Labreche & Corporal Kitsol (no mention of Gary Wong) ¶2 & 4 mimic s. commentary (see #1 & 3)
8. p8 - "U.S. authorities had chased him" (s. arrived via Amtrak...)
9. p8 - "rants" (see #5.s)
10. p12 - ¶2 - "unemployed" (s. self employed, multimedia prod. & broad., ministry, multi-media magazine (opensource) (conscious culture)
11. " - ¶3 - "not in possession of gear" (s. Backpack has all the essentials: sleep bag,

44.

RR4

RR5

I)

G2

TOC III / G3 / M1.5

G1, 1.5

44.51 – 44.55, A1 – A3

45 – 55 ★ □ — add to original TOC

Current²

G2, G2.5, G1, G1.5

Disclosure of Docs, RR13

Favourable Decision

RR 8.6 & 8.65

Order of Detention & Notice to Appear

Medication Label (5 pages, this is page 1 (1.5))

Refugee Packet

RR 56 – 59

48 hr Detention Review

20 pages ✓

7 day detention Review

□ missing 1 – 16 (find in RR's? = RR 26 – 44

□ missing 18 (also RR 42)

✓ 5 of 40+ Request forms = RR 21 – 25 = (III

5 declarations ✓

10/16 Transcript (15 pages) ✓

10/23 Transcript (23 pages) ✓

RR's 1 – 19

★: RR 51 = not @ Liberty to disclose

44.51 – 44.55

~~A6 – A3~~ = 11/3 – 11/4

RR 45 (11/5) – RR 55 (11/8) = pg 15 – p5 of 34 (go back in II)

RR 56 – RR 59 (empty) = pg 4 – p1 of 34 (go back in II)

.000 I = current²

.00 I = current

. I = RR 44.51 – 44.61 (11/3 – 11/4)

✓ RRs: 1 – 19 ((-13, -8.6) IV, .000 I VI)

20 (I) 21 – 25 (III)

26 – 60 (-51 – 54) II

.000 I

44.51 – 44.61 (6 pages)

TOC III / G3 / M1.5

TOC III

missing □ 42?

G2, G2.5?

received @ 10pm 11/5

About this Medication

How does this medication work? What will it do for me?

What form(s) does this medication come in?

How should I use this medication?

Who should NOT take this medication?

What side effects are possible with this medication?

Are there any other drugs (Drug Interactions) for this medication?

What other drugs could interact with this medication?

Pancreatic cancer has taken too many lives, far too quickly. DONATE TODAY PANCREATIC CANCER CANADA

Anodan-HC

(hydrocortisone - zinc sulfate)

DIN (Drug Identification Number)

02250399 ANODAN-HC 10MG SUPPOSITORIES

02128446 ANODAN-HC 0.5% OINTMENT

preference for topical application as suppository/insertion is more invasive & painful to sensitive area

ointment - 4 or 5 - suppository

false, it contains ingredients, see page 2

How does this medication work? What will it do for me?

This combination product containing 2 ingredients belongs to a group of medications known as *corticosteroids*. It is used to treat **hemorrhoids.** It may also be used to treat discomfort after surgery to the anal area and other conditions affecting the anal area. **It works by reducing swelling, irritation, and itching.**

This medication may be available under multiple brand names and/or in several different forms. Any specific brand name of this medication may not be available in all of the forms or approved for all of the conditions discussed here. As well, some forms of this medication may not be used for all of the conditions discussed here.

Your doctor may have suggested this medication for conditions other than those listed in these drug information articles. If you have not discussed this with your doctor or are not sure why you are being given this medication, speak to your doctor. **Do not stop using this medication without consulting your doctor.**

Do not give this medication to anyone else, even if they have the same symptoms as you do. It can be harmful for people to use this medication if their doctor has not prescribed it.

G1, 1.5

44.51-44.55, A1-A3

45-55 ← add to original TOC

Current²

G2, G2.5, G1, G1.5

Disclosure of Docs, RR13

Favourable Decision

RR 8.6 & 8.65

Order of Detention & Notice to Appear

Medication Label (5 pages, this is page 1 (of 5))

Refugee Packet

RR56-59

48 hr Detention Review

20 pages ✓

7 day detention Review

☐ missing 1-16 (find in RR's? = RR 26-44

☐ missing 18 (also RR 42)

✓ 5 of 40+ Request forms = RR21-25 = (III

5 declarations ✓

10/14 Transcript (15 pages) ✓

10/23 Transcript (23 pages) ✓

RR's 1-19

~~missing p42?~~ TOC III

~~G2, G2.5?~~

*: RR51 = Not @ Liberty to disclose

44.51-44.55

~~A1-A3~~ = 11/3-11/4

RR45 (11/5) - RR55 (11/8) = pg 15-p5 of 34 (go back in II)

RR56-RR59 (empty) = pg 4-p1 of 34 (go back in II)

✓ RRs: 1-19 (IV (-13, -8.6) ,000I VI)

20 (I) 21-25 (III)

26-60 (-51-54) II

,000I

44.51-44.61 (6 pages)

,000I = current²

,00I = current

,I = RR 44.51-44.61 (11/3-11/4)

TOC III / G3 / M1.5

NOTES

1. One who is committed to a detailed study of the parts might seize protectively on Edward A. Armstrong's observation, "There is a strange psychological bias which tempts those interested in large issues to belittle detailed work," in *Shakespeare's Imagination* (London, 1946), p. 125n. Cf. G. Wilson Knight's preface to the 4th edition of *The Wheel of Fire* (London: Methuen, 1949), pp. vi–vii. In *Flaming Minister* (Durham: Duke University Press, 1953), G. R. Elliott makes a detailed commentary on individual passages and on their relationships.
2. Cf. L. C. Knights, *Explorations* (New York: Stewart, 1947), p. 31.
3. Cf. E. E. Stoll's phrase, "a tragedy which is also a poem, in which the parts 'mutually support and explain each other' . . ." This is in *Shakespeare and Other Masters* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1940), p. 219. In *Poetry and Drama* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1951), p. 43, T. S. Eliot speaks of the "perfection of verse drama, which would be a design of human action and of words, such as to present at once the two aspects of dramatic and musical order."
4. For numerous examples of images which link separated passages, see W. H. Clemen, *The Development of Shakespeare's Imagery* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1951). Clemen makes a telling comment on the general sense of the interrelatedness of all parts which is created by the imagery (p. 224).
5. D. A. Traversi, *Approach to Shakespeare* (London, 1938), p. 14; and see his whole first chapter. Of *Othello* he says, "Plot and imagery, in fact, are fused as never before" (p. 86). Cf. his "Othello," *The Wind and the Rain*, vi (1950), 268–9. On the importance of the language spoken, the "wording," there are some relevant comments

Introduction

Learning Chinese is definitely a challenge. However, in some ways Chinese is not particularly complicated. Words do not change with gender, number or even tense, and there are not many complicated grammatical traps for the unwary. However, other things about it make it hard for native English speakers to learn.

Chinese pronunciation

The four tones

Chinese is a tonal language – the pitch of any syllable affects its meaning.

There are four tones: first tone (high, even pitch); second (rising pitch); third tone (falling and then rising) and fourth tone (falling pitch). There is also a fifth (or neutral) tone, which is pronounced so quietly and quickly that there is no discernible tone at all.

Tones are a very important part of the pronunciation – and wrong tones can cause real confusion.

Examples of differences in tones:

First tone	Second tone	Third tone	Fourth tone	Neutral tone
mā	má	mǎ	mà	ma
妈	麻	马	骂	吗
mother	hemp	horse	curse; swear	[question particle]

It may seem unnatural to native speakers of English to have pitch so rigidly attached to words, but the tone is as fundamental a part of any syllable as are its vowels and consonants.

Contents

Preface	6a
Introduction	8a
Explanatory Notes	12a
Abbreviations in This Work	19a
Irregular English Verbs	22a
Guide to English Phonetics	24a
Radical Index	26a
Chinese-English Dictionary	1
English-Chinese Dictionary	181

comparatively [kəmpærətɪvlɪ] ADV (+ *easy, safe, peaceful*) 相对地 xiāngduì de

compare [kəmpɛər] **I** VT 比较 bǐjiào **II** VI ▸ **to compare favorably/unfavorably (with sth/sb)** 比得上/比不上(某物/某人) bideshang/bùdéshang (mǒuwù/mǒurén) ▸ **to compare sb/sth to** 把某人/某物比作 bǎ mǒurén/mǒuwù bǐzuò ▸ **compared with** *or* **to** 与…相比 yǔ…xiāngbǐ ▸ **how does he compare with his predecessor?** 和他前任比起来他怎么样？ hé tā qiánrèn bǐ qǐlái tā zěnmeyàng?

comparison [kəmpærɪsən] N [c/u] 比较 bǐjiào [种 zhǒng] ▸ **in** *or* **by comparison (with)** (与…) 比较起来 (yǔ…) bǐjiào qǐlái

compartment [kəmpɑrtmənt] N [c] (*Rail*) 隔间 géjiān [个 gè]

compass [kʌmpəs] N [c] 指南针 zhǐnánzhēn [个 gè]

compatible [kəmpætɪbl] ADJ (+ *people*) 意气相投的 yìqì xiāngtóu de; (*Comput*) 兼容的 jiānróng de ▸ **to be compatible with sth** (*Comput*) 与某物兼容 yǔ mǒuwù jiānróng

compensation [kɒmpənseɪʃn] N [u] 赔偿金 péicháng jīn ▸ **compensation for sth** 因某事而获得的赔偿金 yīn mǒushì ér huòdé de péichángjīn

compete [kəmpiːt] VI (*companies, rivals*) 竞争 jìngzhēng; (*in contest, game*) 比赛 bǐsài ▸ **to compete for sth** (*companies, rivals*) 争夺某物 zhēngduó mǒuwù; (*in contest, game*) 争夺某物 zhēngduó mǒuwù ▸ **to compete with sb/sth (for sth)** (*companies, rivals*) 与某人/某物竞争(以得到某物) yǔ mǒurén/mǒuwù jìngzhēng (yǐ dédào mǒuwù); (*in contest, game*) 与某人/某物竞争(以获得某奖项) yǔ mǒurén/mǒuwù jìngzhēng (yǐ huòdé jiǎngxiàng)

competent [kɒmpɪtənt] ADJ 称职的 chènzhí de; (+ *piece of work*) 合格的 hégé de

competition [kɒmpɪtɪʃn] N **1** [u] (*rivalry*) 竞争 jìngzhēng **2** [c] (*contest*) 竞赛 jìngsài [项 xiàng] ▸ **in competition with** 与…竞争 yǔ…jìngzhēng

competitive [kəmpetɪtɪv] ADJ **1** (+ *industry, society*) 竞争性的 jìngzhēngxìng de **2** (+ *person*) 求胜心切的 qiúshèngxīnqiè de

competitor [kəmpetɪtər] N [c] **1** (*in business*) 竞争对手 jìngzhēng duìshǒu [个 gè] **2** (*participant*) 参赛者 cānsàizhě [个 gè]

complain [kəmpleɪn] VI ▸ **to complain (about sth)** (就某事)投诉 (jiù mǒushì) tóusù; (*grumble*) (就某事)诉苦 (jiù mǒushì) sùkǔ ▸ **to complain to sb (about sth)** (就某事)向某人投诉 (jiù mǒushì) xiàng mǒurén tóusù

complaint [kəmpleɪnt] N [c] 抱怨 bàoyuàn [个 gè] ▸ **to make a complaint (to sb)** (向某人)投诉 (xiàng mǒurén) tóusù

complete [kəmpliːt] **I** ADJ **1** 完全的 wánquán de **2** (*whole*) 完整的 wánzhěng de **3** (*finished*) 完成的 wánchéng de **II** VT **1** 完成 wánchéng **2** (+ *form, coupon*) 填写 tiánxiě ▸ **complete with** 附带 fùdài

completely [kəmpliːtlɪ] ADV (*different, satisfied, untrue*) 完全 wánquán; (*forget, destroy*) 彻底 chèdǐ

complexion [kəmplɛkʃn] N [c] 面色 miànsè [种 zhǒng]

complicated [kɒmplɪkeɪtɪd] ADJ 复杂的 fùzá de

compliment [*n* kɒmplɪmənt, *vt* kɒmplɪment] **I** N [c] 赞美 zànměi [种 zhǒng] **II** VT 赞美 zànměi ▸ **to pay sb a compliment** 赞美某人 zànměi mǒurén ▸ **to compliment sb on sth** 为某事赞美某人 wèi mǒushì zànměi mǒurén

composer [kəmpouzər] N [c] 作曲家 zuòqǔjiā [位 wèi]

comprehension [kɒmprɪhɛnʃn]

clock out VI = **clock off**

close[1] [kloʊs] I ADJ **1** 近的 jìn de **2** (+ *relative*) 直系的 zhíxì de **3** (+ *contest*) 势均力敌的 shìjūnlìdí de II ADV (*near*) 紧紧地 jǐnjǐn de ▶ **close to** (*near*) 近 jìn ▶ **a close friend** 一位密友 yíwèi mìyǒu ▶ **close by, close at hand** 在近旁 zài jìnpáng

close[2] [kloʊz] I VT **1** 关 guān **2** (+ *store, factory*) 关闭 guānbì II VI **1** 关 guān **2** (*store, library*) 关门 guānmén; **close down** VI (*factory, business*) 关闭 guānbì

closed [kloʊzd] ADJ (+ *door, window*) 关着的 guānzhe de; (+ *store, library*) 关着门的 guānzhe mén de; (+ *road*) 封锁着的 fēngsuǒzhe de

closely [kloʊsli] ADV **1** (*examine, watch*) 仔细地 zǐxì de **2** (*connected*) 密切地 mìqiè de

closet [klɒzɪt] N [c] 壁橱 bìchú [个 gè]

cloth [klɔθ] N **1** [c/u] (*fabric*) 布料 bùliào [块 kuài] **2** [c] (*for cleaning, dusting*) 布 bù [块 kuài] **3** [c] (*tablecloth*) 桌布 zhuōbù [块 kuài]

clothes [kloʊz, kloʊðz] N PL 衣服 yīfu ▶ **to take one's clothes off** 脱衣服 tuō yīfu

cloud [klaʊd] N **1** [c/u] 云 yún [片 piàn] **2** [c] (*of smoke, dust*) 雾 wù [团 tuán]; **cloud over** VI 阴云密布 yīnyún mìbù

cloudy [klaʊdi] ADJ 多云的 duōyún de ▶ **it's cloudy** 天阴 tiānyīn

clown [klaʊn] N [c] 小丑 xiǎochǒu [个 gè]

club [klʌb] N [c] **1** 俱乐部 jùlèbù [个 gè] **2** (*Sport*) 俱乐部 jùlèbù [个 gè] **3** (*nightclub*) 夜总会 yèzǒnghuì [家 jiā]

clue [klu] N [c] **1** (*in investigation*) 线索 xiànsuǒ [条 tiáo] **2** (*in crossword, game*) 提示 tíshì [个 gè] ▶ **I haven't a clue** (*inf*) 我一无所知 wǒ yī wú suǒ zhī

clumsy [klʌmzi] ADJ 笨手笨脚的 bènshǒubènjiǎo de

clutch [klʌtʃ] N [c] (*Aut*) 离合器 líhéqì [个 gè]

coach [koʊtʃ] I N [c] (*Sport*) 教练 jiàoliàn [位 wèi] II VT (*Sport*) 训练 xùnliàn

coal [koʊl] N [u] 煤 méi

coast [koʊst] N [c] 海岸 hǎi'àn [个 gè]

coat [koʊt] N [c] **1** (*overcoat*) 外套 wàitào [件 jiàn] **2** (*of animal*) 皮毛 pímáo [层 céng]

coat hanger N [c] 衣架 yījià [个 gè]

cocaine [koʊkeɪn] N [u] 可卡因 kěkǎyīn

cocoa [koʊkoʊ] N [u] 可可 kěkě

coconut [koʊkənʌt] N [c] (*nut*) 椰子 yēzi [个 gè]

cod [kɒd] (*pl* **cod** *or* **cods**) N [c] (*fish*) 鳕鱼 xuěyú [条 tiáo]

code [koʊd] N **1** [c] (*cipher*) 密码 mìmǎ [个 gè] **2** [c] (*Tel*) 区号 qūhào [个 gè] **3** [c/u] (*Comput, Sci*) 编码 biānmǎ [个 gè]

coffee [kɔfi] N **1** [u] 咖啡 kāfēi **2** [c] (*cup of coffee*) 一杯咖啡 yìbēi kāfēi [杯 bēi] ▶ **black coffee** 黑咖啡 hēi kāfēi ▶ **coffee with milk/cream** 牛奶咖啡 niúnǎi kāfēi

coffin [kɔfɪn] N [c] 棺材 guāncai [口 kǒu]

coin [kɔɪn] N [c] 硬币 yìngbì [枚 méi]

coincidence [koʊɪnsɪdəns] N [c/u] 巧合 qiǎohé [种 zhǒng]

Coke® [koʊk] N [u] (*drink*) 可口可乐 Kěkǒu Kělè

cold [koʊld] I ADJ (+ *water, object*) 凉的 liáng de; (+ *weather, room, meat*) 冷的 lěng de II N **1** [u] (*weather*) ▶ **the cold** 寒冷天气 hánlěng tiānqì **2** [c] (*illness*) 感冒 gánmào [次 cì] ▶ **it's cold** 天气寒冷 tiānqì hánlěng ▶ **to be** *or* **feel cold** (*person*) 感到冷 gǎndào lěng ▶ **to catch (a) cold** 患感冒 huàn gánmào

collapse [kəlæps] VI 倒坍 dǎotān; (*person*) 倒下 dǎoxià

collar [kɒlər] N [c] 领子 lǐngzi [个 gè]

▶ **to make a confession** 坦白 tǎnbái

confidence [kɒnfɪdəns] N **1** [u] (*faith*) 信赖 xìnlài **2** [u] (*self-assurance*) 自信 zìxìn ▶ **in confidence** 秘密地 mìmì de

confident [kɒnfɪdənt] ADJ (*self-assured*) 自信的 zìxìn de ▶ **to be confident that...** 有信心… yǒu xìnxīn...

confidential [kɒnfɪdɛnʃᵊl] ADJ 机密的 jīmì de

confirm [kənfɜrm] VT 肯定 kěndìng; (+ *appointment, date*) 确认 quèrèn

confiscate [kɒnfɪskeɪt] VT 没收 mòshōu ▶ **to confiscate sth from sb** 没收某人的某物 mòshōu mǒurén de mǒuwù

confuse [kənfyuz] VT **1** (*perplex*) 把…弄糊涂 bǎ...nòng hútu **2** (*mix up*) 混淆 hùnxiáo

confused [kənfyuzd] ADJ 困惑的 kùnhuò de

confusing [kənfyuzɪŋ] ADJ 含混不清的 hánhùn bùqīng de

confusion [kənfyuʒᵊn] N **1** [c/u] (*uncertainty*) 惶惑 huánghuò [种 zhǒng] **2** [u] (*mix-up*) 混淆 hùnxiáo

congratulate [kəngrætʃəleɪt] VT 祝贺 zhùhè ▶ **to congratulate sb on sth/on doing sth** 祝贺某人某事/做某事 zhùhè mǒurén mǒushì/zuò mǒushì

congratulations [kəngrætʃəleɪʃᵊnz] N PL 祝贺 zhùhè ▶ **congratulations on your engagement!** 祝贺你订婚了! zhùhè nǐ dìnghūn le!

Congress [kɒŋgres] N 国会 guóhuì

CONGRESS

国会是美国的立法机构，由参议院和众议院组成。各州在参议院中均有两名议员作为其代表；而众议院的435个席位则按各州人口比例分配。国会议案需在参、众两院讨论通过，出现的分歧由一个两院联席协调委员会做出调解。参、众两院均可以三分之二多数票推翻总统否决。

congressman [kɒŋgrɪsmən] (*pl* **congressmen**) N [c] 国会议员 guóhuì yìyuán [位 wèi]

congresswoman [kɒŋgrɪswʊmən] (*pl* **congresswomen**) N [c] 女国会议员 nǚ guóhuì yìyuán [位 wèi]

connection [kənɛkʃᵊn] N **1** [c/u] (*link*) 联系 liánxì [种 zhǒng] **2** [c] (*Elec*) 接头 jiētóu [个 gè] **3** [c] (*train, plane*) 联运 liányùn [种 zhǒng] ▶ **what is the connection between them?** 他们之间有什么关系? tāmen zhījiān yǒu shénme guānxi?

conscience [kɒnʃᵊns] N [c] 是非感 shìfēi gǎn [种 zhǒng] ▶ **to have a guilty/clear conscience** 感到内疚/问心无愧 gǎndào nèijiù/wèn xīn wú kuì

conscientious [kɒnʃɪɛnʃəs] ADJ 认真的 rènzhēn de

conscious [kɒnʃəs] ADJ **1** (*awake*) 清醒的 qīngxǐng de **2** (+ *decision, effort*) 蓄意的 xùyì de ▶ **to be conscious of sth** 意识到某事 yìshí dào mǒushì

consciousness [kɒnʃəsnɪs] N [u] (*Med*) 知觉 zhījué ▶ **to lose consciousness** 失去知觉 shīqù zhījué

consequence [kɒnsɪkwɛns, -kwəns] N [c] 后果 hòuguǒ [种 zhǒng]

consequently [kɒnsɪkwɛntli, -kwəntli] ADV 所以 suǒyǐ

conservation [kɒnsərveɪʃᵊn] N [u] (*of environment*) 环保 huánbǎo; (*of energy*) 节约 jiéyuē

conservative [kənsɜrvətɪv] ADJ (*traditional*) 保守的 bǎoshǒu de

conservatory [kənsɜrvətɔri] N [c] 暖房 nuǎnfáng [间 jiān]

consider [kənsɪdər] VT **1** (*think about*) 考虑 kǎolǜ **2** (*take into account*) 考虑到 kǎolǜ dào

considerate [kənsɪdərɪt] ADJ 体贴的 tǐtiē de

considering [kənsɪdərɪŋ] I PREP 考

collarbone [kɒlərboʊn] N [c] 锁骨 suǒgǔ [根 gēn]
colleague [kɒlig] N [c] 同事 tóngshì [个 gè]
collect [kəlɛkt] VT 1 采集 cǎijí 2 (*as hobby*) 收集 shōují 3 (+ *money, donations*) 募捐 mùjuān ▶ **to call collect, make a collect call** (*Tel*) 打对方付款的电话 dǎ duìfāng fùkuǎn de diànhuà
collection [kəlɛkʃᵊn] N 1 [c] (*of art, stamps*) 收藏品 shōucáng pǐn [件 jiàn] 2 [c] (*for charity, gift*) 募捐 mùjuān [次 cì]
collector [kəlɛktər] N [c] 收藏家 shōucángjiā [位 wèi]
college [kɒlɪdʒ] N 1 [c/u] (*for further education*) 学院 xuéyuàn [个 gè] 2 [c] (*of university*) 学院 xuéyuàn [个 gè] ▶ **to go to college** 上大学 shàng dàxué
collide [kəlaɪd] VI 碰撞 pèngzhuàng ▶ **to collide with sth/sb** 与某物/某人碰撞 yǔ mǒuwù/mǒurén pèngzhuàng
collision [kəlɪʒᵊn] N [c/u] (*of vehicles*) 碰撞 pèngzhuàng [下 xià]
colonel [kɜrnᵊl] N [c] 上校 shàngxiào [位 wèi]
color [kʌlər] I N 1 [c] 颜色 yánsè [种 zhǒng] 2 [c] (*skin color*) 肤色 fūsè [种 zhǒng] II VT 给…着色 gěi…zhuósè III CPD (+ *film, photograph, television*) 彩色 cǎisè ▶ **in color** (+ *film, illustrations*) 彩色 cǎisè
colorful [kʌlərfəl] ADJ 色泽鲜艳的 sèzé xiānyàn de
column [kɒləm] N [c] (*Archit*) 支柱 zhīzhù [个 gè]
comb [koʊm] I N [c] 梳子 shūzi [把 bǎ] II VT 梳理 shūlǐ
combination [kɒmbɪneɪʃᵊn] N [c] 混合 hùnhé [种 zhǒng]
combine [kəmbaɪn] I VT ▶ **to combine sth with sth** 将某物与某物结合起来 jiāng mǒuwù yǔ mǒuwù jiéhé qǐlái II VI (*qualities, situations*) 结合 jiéhé; (*people, groups*) 组合 zǔhé ▶ **a combined effort** 协力 xiélì

KEYWORD

come [kʌm] (*pt* **came**, *pp* **come**) VI 1 来 lái ▶ **come here!** 到这儿来! dào zhè'r lái! ▶ **can I come too?** 我也能来吗? wǒ yěnéng láima? ▶ **come with me** 跟我来 gēn wǒ lái ▶ **a girl came into the room** 一个女孩进了房间 yīgè nǚhái jìnle fángjiān ▶ **why don't you come to lunch on Saturday?** 何不星期六过来吃午饭呢? hébù xīngqīliù guòlái chī wǔfàn ne? ▶ **he's come here to work** 他已经到了这儿工作 tā yǐjīng dàole zhè'r gōngzuò
2 ▶ **to come to** (*reach*) 到达 dàodá; (*amount to*) 达到 dádào ▶ **to come to a decision** 做出决定 zuòchū juédìng ▶ **the bill came to $40** 账单共计40美元 zhàngdān gòngjì sìshí měiyuán
3 (*be, become*) ▶ **to come first/second/last** *etc* (*in series*) 排在第一/第二/最后(等) páizài dìyī/dì'èr/zuìhòu(děng); (*in competition, race*) 位居第一/第二/最后(等) wèijū dìyī/dì'èr/zuìhòu(děng)
▶ **come across** VT FUS 偶然发现 ǒurán fāxiàn
▶ **come apart** VI 裂成碎片 lièchéng suìpiàn
▶ **come back** VI (*return*) 回来 huílái
▶ **come down** VI 1 (*price*) 降低 jiàngdī
2 (*plane*) 坠落 zhuìluò
3 (*descend*) 降下 jiàngxià
▶ **come forward** VI (*volunteer*) 自告奋勇 zìgàofènyǒng
▶ **come from** VT FUS 来自 láizì ▶ **I come from New York** 我来自纽约 wǒ láizì Niǔyuē ▶ **where do you come from?** 你是哪里人? nǐ shì nǎlǐ rén?
▶ **come in** VI 进入 jìnrù ▶ **come in!** 进来! jìnlai!
▶ **come off** VI (*button, handle*) 脱落 tuōluò

N 1 [u] (*understanding*) 理解 lǐjiě 2 [c/u] (*Scol*) 理解力练习 lǐjiělì liànxí [项 xiàng]
comprehensive [kɒmprɪhɛnsɪv] ADJ 1 (+ *review, list*) 全面的 quánmiàn de 2 (+ *insurance*) 综合的 zōnghé de
compulsory [kəmpʌlsəri] ADJ 必须的 bìxū de; (+ *course*) 必修的 bìxiū de
computer [kəmpyuːtər] I N [c] 计算机 jìsuànjī [台 tái] II CPD (+ *language, program, system, technology etc*) 电脑 diànnǎo
computer game N [c] 电脑游戏 diànnǎo yóuxì [局 jú]
computer programmer N [c] 电脑编程员 diànnǎo biānchéngyuán [位 wèi]
computer science N [u] 计算机科学 jìsuànjī kēxué
computing [kəmpyuːtɪŋ] I N [u] 计算机运用 jìsuànjī yùnyòng; (*also*: **computing studies**) 计算机学 jìsuànjīxué II CPD (+ *course, skills*) 电脑 diànnǎo
concentrate [kɒnsᵊntreɪt] VI 集中精力 jízhōng jīnglì ▶ **to concentrate on sth** (*keep attention on*) 全神贯注于某事 quán shén guàn zhù yú mǒushì; (*focus on*) 集中注意力于某事 jízhōng zhùyìlì yú mǒushì
concentration [kɒnsᵊntreɪʃᵊn] N [u] 专心 zhuānxīn
concern [kənsɜrn] I N [u] (*anxiety*) 担忧 dānyōu II VT (*worry*) 使担忧 shǐ dānyōu ▶ **concern for sb** 为某人担心 wèi mǒurén dānxīn ▶ **as far as I'm concerned** 据我看来 jù wǒ kànlái ▶ **the people concerned** (*in question*) 有关人士 yǒuguān rénshì
concerned [kənsɜrnd] ADJ (*worried*) 担心的 dānxīn de ▶ **to be concerned about sb/sth** 担心某人/某事 dānxīn mǒurén/mǒushì
concerning [kənsɜrnɪŋ] PREP 关于 guānyú
concert [kɒnsərt] N [c] 音乐会 yīnyuèhuì [个 gè]
concert hall N [c] 音乐厅 yīnyuètīng [个 gè]
conclusion [kənkluʒᵊn] N 1 [s] (*end*) 结尾 jiéwěi 2 [c] (*deduction*) 结论 jiélùn [个 gè] ▶ **to come to the conclusion that...** 得出的结论是… déchū de jiélùn shì…
concrete [kɒnkrit] I N [u] 混凝土 hùnníngtǔ II ADJ 1 (+ *block, floor*) 混凝土的 hùnníngtǔ de 2 (+ *proposal, evidence*) 确实的 quèshí de
condemn [kəndɛm] VT (*denounce*) 谴责 qiǎnzé
condition [kəndɪʃᵊn] I N 1 [s] (*state*) 状态 zhuàngtài 2 [c] (*stipulation*) 条件 tiáojiàn [个 gè] II **conditions** N PL 环境 huánjìng ▶ **in good/poor condition** 状况良好/不好 zhuàngkuàng liánghǎo/bùhǎo ▶ **weather conditions** 天气形势 tiānqì xíngshì ▶ **on condition that...** 在…条件下 zài…tiáojiàn xià
conditional [kəndɪʃənᵊl] I ADJ 有条件的 yǒu tiáojiàn de II N (*Ling*) ▶ **the conditional** 条件从句 tiáojiàn cóngjù
conditioner [kəndɪʃənər] N [c/u] 护发素 hùfàsù [种 zhǒng]
condom [kɒndəm] N [c] 安全套 ānquán tào [只 zhī]
conduct [kəndʌkt] VT (+ *orchestra, choir*) 指挥 zhǐhuī
conductor [kəndʌktər] N [c] 1 (*of orchestra*) 指挥家 zhǐhuījiā [位 wèi] 2 (*on train*) 列车员 lièchēyuán [位 wèi]
cone [koʊn] N [c] 1 (*shape*) 圆锥体 yuánzhuītǐ [个 gè] 2 (*also*: **ice cream cone**) 锥形蛋卷冰淇淋 zhuīxíng dànjuǎn bīngqílín [个 gè]
conference [kɒnfərəns, -frəns] N [c] 会议 huìyì [次 cì]
confess [kənfɛs] VI 坦白 tǎnbái ▶ **to confess to sth/to doing sth** 承认某事/做了某事 chéngrèn mǒushì/zuòle mǒushì
confession [kənfɛʃᵊn] N [c/u] (*admission*) 坦白 tǎnbái [种 zhǒng]

BREAKFAST AT THE LAKE™ (12021)

~~-5 of 40+ Request forms = RR 21-25~~ (MOVED TO Current* ~~III, IV, V,~~ with all RRIs)

~~V~~

~~-5 Declarations *1) disclosure of Docs (in Current²) = RR 21-25~~ RR13.5

~~2) crocker-powell email 3) Kaloa *4) Prakash & Kaur witness~~ RR41.51

~~*5) why did Jesus hide? (RR42)~~

~~V~~

Transcripts

1) 10/16 (15 pages)
2) 10/23 (23 pages)
3) 11/8 (10 pages)
4) 11/4 (14 pages)
5) 11/15 (26 pages) - Date of Missing or was it 11/21? (review RRIs)... 10/23 "no argument for Children"
6) 11/21 (18 pages)
7) 12/19 (7 pages) - SJB was absent (Detention Review) NO BOND
8) ☐ 12/31 - "capable of Self Representation"
9) ☐ 1/9 - Refugee Claimant Hearing
10) ☒ 1/19 - Detention Review (SJB ABSENT NO BOND
11) ☒ 2/12 (1/28) - Disclosure for 30-day deation review
RPD decision Kevin Kim

ow Antonio is Esfahan @ 9:58 Sun 11/3

Transcripts 10/16 & 10/23 (x2)

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Commission de l'immigration
et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File No.: 0001-C4-00812-01-AH-DR
UCI / IUC : 1142284332

Transcript of Proceeding: Admissibility Hearing and Detention Review

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date of hearing	October 16, 2024	**Date de l'audience**
Place of hearing	Toronto, Ontario (virtual hearing)	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of decision	October 16, 2024	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Andrew Mortenson	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	N/A	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e) / les intéressé(e)(s)**
Counsel for the Minister	Dylan Crocker	**Conseil du (de la) ministre**
Designated Representative	Nico Breed	**Représentant(e) désigné(e)**
Interpreter	N/A	**Interprète**

Canada

MEMBER: Okay, so we are now on the record. There are two (2) matters scheduled for today. The first is an admissibility hearing followed by a 48-hour detention review. And as is our usual practice here at the Division, we will start with the admissibility hearing. It is being held via Microsoft Teams on October 16th, 2024. My name is Andrew Mortenson, I am a Member of this Division. And this is a public hearing concerning Spencer Joseph BARRETT. He is appearing virtually from the British Columbia Immigration Holding Centre.

I am also joined by Dylan Crocker, Counsel for CBSA. And I am also joined by a proposed designated representative, Nico Breed. So, just with regards to whether Mr. Breed should continue to assist us today or not, I would like to first hear from Mr. Breed with regards to their position. I understand that they spoke for about 10 to 15 minutes with Mr. BARRETT for the start of the hearing. So, Mr. Breed, I am curious to your thoughts on whether you believe that Mr. BARRETT would benefit from your assistance and if it is required. Thank you.

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: Thank you, sir. I had the opportunity to ask various questions to Mr. BARRETT. He answered them without any problems. He -- I had the benefit of the section 44(1) report. So, there was the ability of me to check the facts. He understand (sic) where he is. He knows that he is in detention. He knows where he is, he knows the city, he knows that he is in Canada, he knows the province. And he also mention and discussed with me the criminal activities and problems he had in the United States. So, after discussing that with him, I believe that there is no need for a designated representative. He understands the proceedings. He knows what -- why he is here. And that is my recommendation to the Board.

MEMBER: Okay, thank you very much. So, at this point Mr. Crocker, I will give you a chance to speak, but I would just like to provide an explanation of the admissibility hearing to Mr. BARRETT. And then afterwards, Mr. BARRETT, I will just ask you a couple of questions just to confirm that you understand what I have explained about the admissibility hearing, okay? So, just listen carefully for now, sir. Just bear with me, excuse me. Once I get my materials in order.

So, Mr. BARRETT, I will first explain the Minister's allegation to you. I appreciate that you may have already read this on your own, and you may have discussed it with Mr. Breed, but I am required to go through it. So, if you find it repetitive, I appreciate your patience. If you would like, the allegation is at page 2 of the Minister's larger 20-page disclosure package if you want to follow along. So, the Minister responsible for CBSA is alleging that you, Spencer Joseph BARRETT, born on April 18th, 1994 and citizen of the United States of America, are inadmissible to Canada under section 41(a) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*.

More particularly, they allege that you are a foreign national who is inadmissible for having either directly or indirectly failed to comply with the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* through an act or omission. In particular, CBSA alleges that you are not a Canadian citizen. They allege that you are not a permanent resident of Canada. They allege that you are a citizen of the United States of America. They allege that you entered Canada on an unknown date at an unknown location, and they allege that you did not report for examination as required.

In particular, they allege that you did not comply with the requirement of subsection 18(1) of the Act. That every person seeking to enter Canada must appear for an examination to determine whether that person has a right to enter Canada, or is -- or may become authorized to enter and remain in Canada. So, Mr. BARRETT, the purpose of the admissibility hearing is for myself to determine whether the Minister's allegations are true. This is on a standard of a balance of probabilities, meaning more likely than not. So, ultimately what I will decide is if it is more likely than not that the Minister's allegations are true.

I am a neutral and independent decision maker. I do not work for CBSA. If I find that CBSA has established the allegation, I must issue an exclusion order against you. If I decide to issue an exclusion order against you, if that order becomes enforceable against you, you must leave Canada and you may not return to Canada for a period of one (1) year, unless immigration authorities issue a written authorization to return to Canada. In addition, if I find that you are inadmissible and issue an exclusion order, you do not have a right to appeal to the *Immigration Appeal Division*. However, you would be able to request that the Federal Court judicially review that decision.

But if I find that the Minister has not established the allegation and I find that you are not inadmissible to Canada, then the Minister would have the right to appeal that decision to the *Immigration Appeal Division*. So, Mr. BARRETT, I will first just ask you, did you understand what I explained about the admissibility hearing?

PERSON CONCERNED: Yes, I do.

MEMBER: Okay. Can you explain to me why CBSA thinks you are inadmissible to Canada?

PERSON CONCERNED: Probably because of the lack of submitting for review. There is a timeline. Maybe criminal past history. I need to make an asylum claim against the USA. That is why I am here. I have well-founded fears against my life, or for my life.

MEMBER: Okay. So, sir, are you -- just want to be clear, are you -- do you want to make an asylum claim? Is that what you want to do right now?

PERSON CONCERNED: Yes.

MEMBER: All right, so Mr. Crocker, I will turn to you because you are an officer of CBSA. I am not sure if he has made -- I have not -- aware that he has made that statement before, but I will turn to you --

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yeah ---

MEMBER: -- because you are an officer of CBSA.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yeah, that is news to me. And I will have to pass on that information after the hearing goes forward. And I guess with that, we would not be able to go after the admissibility hearing.

MEMBER: Okay. Would you like to request a postponement then, Mr. Crocker? Just until that perhaps get sorted out, given that he has just made an asylum claim?

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: For the detention review portion, you mean?

MEMBER: No, sorry, for the admissibility hearing. So, that (inaudible) still? Or you can make a formal application to withdraw if you want. Or you can just ask to postpone. I am open to either, but we will do the detention review either way.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Okay, I am going to postpone, and I am going to need to take a five (5) to 10-minute break if that is okay.

* Incomplete (there was more of a response)

Did you say convictions in the United States?

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yes. So, sorry. So, he stated to Officer Samra that he had received a DUI conviction and an assault conviction, and that he served 18 months in prison in the United States for those convictions. These are his -- Mr. BARRETT's declarations.

MEMBER: Okay. Sorry, Mr. BARRETT, I see your hand is up. Do you have a concern?

PERSON CONCERNED: Did Officer Samra not ever refer to my asylum claim? When I first arrived at the train station, I asked for asylum. I said I needed asylum, and that is why I was there. And specifically, because I researched to gain asylum in Canada, I have to be in Canada in order -- so -- but apparently, that whole thing was not recorded, and his testimony is probably just his testimony without recording of my interaction with him. I met with quite a few -- anyways, excuse me. Sorry, but I did make that clear when I first arrived on August 31st that I was seeking asylum against the USA.

MEMBER: Okay.

PERSON CONCERNED: And so, I am just wondering why that is not in -- sorry for ---

MEMBER: No, that is okay. In the future, I would just ask that you refrain from interrupting. After Mr. Breed has had a chance to speak, I can offer you a chance to speak as well. But just any time we have interruptions, it just can become very hard to follow. So, I appreciate your thoughts, though. All the same, I will give you a chance to speak later, okay? Thank you.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: You want me to address that point, Mister Member?

MEMBER: If you would like to in your submissions, you can feel free to. Thank you.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Okay, I will just speak to it now, that the Minister provided all the documentation available from the August 31st, 2024 interaction. And the CBSA does take asylum claims very seriously. And I am not doubting that Mr. BARRETT did state that. But I -- me personally, I can see in our records, the notes that I have provided are fulsome, and I provided what I have available to me. And having not been there on that date at that time, I cannot speak to it at that -- this exact moment. So, I am going to leave it at that for this exact moment.

So, I am going to pick up back where I left off. So, on that same day, Mr. BARRETT also declared that he was at one (1) point sent to a mental hospital in the United States because the courts believe that he may be showing signs of schizophrenia. And that was his declaration to Officer Samra. So, on that same day, a check of -- a check was done of the NCIC systems. And regarding Mr. BARRETT's criminality, and it revealed the following criminality in the United States. So, there was a second-degree assault in 2017. There was a driving ---

MEMBER: Sorry, not to interrupt unnecessarily, but can you point me to the page number for myself and Mr. Breed's benefit? And Mr. BARRETT's, for that matter?

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yes, sorry. So, if you look at page 8 of M-1 in the solemn declaration. We are not able to provide the NCIC records. So, I am relying on the solemn declaration of Officer Labresc (ph).

MEMBER: Okay, I am there, thank you.

Immigration Division on a different ground and on the basis of a different standard.

And then lastly at paragraph 56, they found that continued detention under 58(1)(c) is not limited to an original detention order made under section 55(3) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*. And those are all my submissions on the 58(1)(c). And if needed, I am willing to make submissions based on Mr. BARRETT being unlikely to appear for his immigrant -- for his future immigration proceeding, which is his now asylum claim. And those are my submissions for now, thank you.

MEMBER: Okay, thank you. Just bear with me one (1) moment, please. I am just reviewing the notes of your submissions to see if I have any questions. So -- just so I am clear, so I am looking at page 16. So, the Minister requested further information for the county of Benton, Oregon?

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Correct.

MEMBER: And its relation to that assault --

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yes.

MEMBER: -- on second degree conviction, and that is the information that the Minister is waiting on?

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yes, exactly. And from M-2, which is Officer William Powell (ph). He stated that the request has been made, but we are just waiting on that report to come back to us. But it seems like he is expecting to receive it at some point today.

MEMBER: No, I do not have any questions at least for now, thank you. I may after I hear from Mr. Breed.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Thank you.

MEMBER: So, Mr. Breed, I will turn to you next. Whenever you are ready, you can provide any submissions you would like. Thank you.

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: Thank you, sir. Just one (1) clarification in addition to the Minister's Counsel submissions regarding the summary of numerous criminal offences in the US. I referred to page 8 of 20. He read through all the possible offences, but there is also a sentence following most of these charges were dismissed. We have the benefit of the further documents that we received, which we do not attack or object to. Mr. BARRETT agreed, and he said by himself that he had some criminal issues in the US, and he admitted to that.

I have to just put on the record that I was informed that Mr. Bennett -- BARRETT was according to his words, assaulted, and he tried to talk to somebody about that. And he may be able to add to that, but I leave it at that point. At this moment, he does not have any alternative to detention, sir. We have no submissions in that regard. Subject to any other questions, those are my submissions.

MEMBER: Thank you. Just one (1) clarifying question, where did Mr. BARRETT tell you he was assaulted? Was it at the IHC or somewhere else?

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: No, it was during the arrest in the park I believe.

MEMBER: Okay. All right, thank you for letting me know, and thank you for your submissions, I appreciate it. All right, so, Mr. BARRETT, I did offer you a chance to speak earlier, and I will honour that.

So, if there is -- you heard Mr. Breed speak. I do not need you to repeat anything that he said, but if there is anything else you would like me to know or to consider, then I will give you that opportunity to speak now. Thank you.

PERSON CONCERNED: I guess I am just wondering where Crocker got all those charges. Because most of them were dropped. I do not know how well the court's communication -- I did not get -- I am losing myself now, I cannot ---

MEMBER: That is okay. You can take a minute to think if you want.

~~MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE~~: Yeah, I am just wondering where he got all those charges from, because there is more than I remember on my record. Also, he says second degree assault, and it was attempted second degree assault. Was -- there is a difference. One (1) is much more violent -- one (1) than the other one. And the obstructing and disorderly conduct, mischief, all those -- I am -- never seen those on my record. I have seen -- I complained in the past about how they kind of blindsided me with a false reporting. I have written about this. Just so you guys know, all my records were on Archive.org, which was recently taken down by pro-Palestinian groups.

So, I was trying to forward those to you guys today. It was taken down two (2) days ago, just so you know. But I hope my family or friends or other -- because I have tried to share these documents on defamation and other things going on, but I could not. Because -- so, that also is taking up my brain space to the Palestinian conflict, and how that has completely destroyed my records of everything. I back up all my files on there as a traveler. The officer does not say that I have (inaudible). So, I am not homeless, I am home free. I live by Jesus Christ's message to be homeless or to be faithful.

Yeah, it is just -- put a lot of words out there make me sound really bad. A lot of convictions that I did not have any say. I cannot afford lawyers, so I do not have a lot of rights in the United States. And I get brainwashed because I am currently in a situation where I have no access. I do not even have a jug of water. I had to sit in this room for an hour without any -- just by myself in a room. They do not even let me use a pencil in my room upstairs. I cannot maintain my own records, I cannot use my own brain in this space. So, I just want to apologize for not being -- there is just so much that has been taken from me, and it is very hard in this state to use your mind at your best level. So, I have -- I apologize. There is other emotional things going on. I do not think I can explain this (inaudible), sorry.

MEMBER: Okay. No, there is no need to apologize. I certainly understand that it is difficult, Mr. BARRETT. Thank you for explaining what you could. I really appreciate it. Okay. Mr. Crocker, I will give you a chance just to reply briefly. It looked like Mr. BARRETT was wondering where the Minister got the information about the charges. If you want to maybe provide that in your reply just so that he has some clarity. In any case, you can provide that in a reply along with anything else. Thank you. Just to assist.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yeah, yeah. So, Mr. BARRETT is correct. It is attempt to commit a class B felony, which is assault in the second degree. So, I would just like to make that correction on the record. And then Mr. BARRETT, where we got that information is that Canada and the United States have information sharing agreements. And unfortunately, we are not able to use those records as disclosure. So, what we do is that we make solemn declarations, as people who witness those documents and the people that witness those documents are officers of CBSA. And what they see on those systems, they declare and sign off to that -- what they are what they are attesting to is true and fulsome.

And so yeah, you can see it again at page 8. And that would have been the officer, that -- Officer Labresc, who was the one who tried to call you initially when you were arrested by Surrey RCMP, and that you did

Regarding how long Mr. BARRETT has been detained. He has been detained for five (5) days so far. It is a deprivation of his liberty, and these (inaudible) weigh towards release.

With regards to how long detention may continue. Presently, the reason for detention is the Minister's investigation into potential inadmissibility. So, the investigation is the appropriate timeline to consider. Of course, it is somewhat unclear when the Minister's investigation may finally conclude. It is often difficult to ascertain and put a finite date on when the investigation can conclude, especially when the Minister is awaiting documents from another jurisdiction. But I have no reason to doubt the Minister's submission that they are expecting the police reports they are seeking in the coming days, if not later today.

So, I do consider that the anticipated length of time in detention on this particular ground at most would likely be about one (1) week. And that is considering that there could be some delays that the Minister cannot foresee. But I did give Mr. BARRETT the benefit of a higher estimate of one (1) week, as opposed to a day or two (2) when I considered this factor. And these days weigh towards release as well.

I find there are no unexplained delays or lack of diligence by any party.

The best interest of any directly affected child have not been argued. That is a neutral factor.

And finally, regarding the existence of an alternative. There was no formal alternative to detention plan proposed for my consideration, at least for today. However, I considered releasing Mr. BARRETT on a promise to comply with terms and conditions. However, I am concerned that if he were released on a simple promise, he may decide to not cooperate with CBSA's investigation, given his refusals to speak to CBSA in the recent past. So, I find such an alternative is insufficient and weighs towards continuing detention.

And finally, just one (1) final comment on something that Mr. BARRETT mentioned to me that I believe the Minister should consider. Mr. BARRETT did mention that he is not allowed to have pens or pencils in the cell or in the common areas. Certainly, I understand why that is not permitted. It is a security risk. But I would just ask that the Minister be mindful of Mr. BARRETT's lack of ability because of that, to take his own notes on his own time. And so, if he can be afforded time to have supervised use of pencils and stationery so he can make his own notes as he goes along. I think that should be afforded to him just so he can make his own records of his own thoughts and things that he may want to keep a record of.

Again, I do fully understand the Minister's concern and why pens and pencils cannot just be everywhere at the IHC. But if he does need to take notes, I think that he should be afforded that opportunity with supervision if necessary. I will now schedule the next detention review to occur in seven (7) days. I will invite both Mr. Breed and Mr. Crocker to check their calendars, and if the next date and time does not work for them, then they can certainly let me know. And I can find another date and time to avoid an otherwise unnecessary application to change the date and time. So, that would be on -- just one (1) second Mr. BARRETT, I will get to you in a second. October 23rd at 11:00 a.m. Pacific Time.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: There is no issues with me for that date.

MEMBER: Mr. Breed, how about your schedule?

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: The date works for me. Thank you, sir.

MEMBER: All right, so there will be another detention review on October 23rd at 11:00 a.m. Pacific time. Sorry Mr. BARRETT, I saw your hand up. Did you have a question?

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Commission de l'immigration
et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File No.: 0001-C4-00812-01-AH-DR
UCI / IUC : 1142284332

Transcript of Proceeding: Admissibility Hearing and Detention Review

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date of hearing	October 23, 2024	**Date de l'audience**
Place of hearing	Vancouver, British Columbia (virtual hearing)	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of decision	October 23, 2024	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Renee Wyslouzil	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	Malvin Harding	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e) / les intéressé(e)(s)**
Counsel for the Minister	Dylan Crocker	**Conseil du (de la) ministre**
Designated Representative	Nico Breed	**Représentant(e) désigné(e)**
Interpreter	N/A	**Interprète**

Canada

today. But perhaps going forward, after today, there would be no need for that.

MEMBER: Minister's Counsel. Do you have any position?

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: I agree with Mr. Harding. I think that because duty Counsel did not represent Mr. BARRETT at the first one, Mr. Breed would have more context as to the last hearing and that him just being here for this one and then giving Mr. Harding a chance to catch up for future detention reviews, if he is assigned, would be in the best interest of Mr. BARRETT.

MEMBER: Mr. BARRETT, I will turn to you. A designated representative is someone who effectively steps into your shoes and makes representations, decisions on your behalf, and can instruct Counsel on your behalf. I know you have had an opportunity to speak with Mr. Breed and with Counsel. Do you believe you would benefit from Mr. Breed's assistance today?

PERSON CONCERNED: Yes, I am unfamiliar with Canada law, so I do -- will benefit from it. And I do not have the resources here to effectively study Canadian law, which I would like to do, but very limited resources while I am held in detention. I would prefer to self-represent myself as I am quite capable, but the environment makes it a little bit difficult here.

MEMBER: So, there is a difference between -- I understand and there is a difference between a designated representative and your Counsel. The designated representative is appointed when someone does not fully comprehend the nature of the proceedings or is unable to instruct Counsel.

You have indicated that you do believe Mr. Breed would be of assistance. I recognize that he has been meeting with you and assisting you, and I acknowledge that Counsel's indicated that for the purpose of the hearing today, it would be beneficial to have Mr. Breed's assistance as he has been involved throughout. Minister's Counsel did agree.

And so, sir, as you are not opposed to having Mr. Breed assist you in this matter, I will continue the appointment of the designated representative for the purpose of the hearing today.

So, we will -- first up, I will note that all three (3) of you did have an opportunity to speak prior to going on the record today. I will just confirm with Counsel that you are ready to proceed with the pre-hearing conference and the detention review.

COUNSEL: Yes, Madam. Thank you very much.

MEMBER: So, we will proceed first with the pre-hearing conference.

With regards to the admissibility hearing, the Division received, I believe, dated yesterday, a package of 34 documents -- pardon me, 34 pages under one (1) cover page. Did you receive that, Counsel and Mr. Breed?

COUNSEL: Yes, the Member -- Madam Member, I have received it and I have no objection. Thank you.

MEMBER: Well, as it (inaudible) it does not appear, we will proceed with the admissibility hearing today. I am not going to enter any exhibits, but I do want to clarify which exhibits will be used by the Minister. That one was the one containing the 44 report. I will ask the Minister's Representative, did the Minister intend to rely on any of the other packages that have been disclosed previously? Because the admissibility hearing file is separate from the detention review.

PERSON CONCERNED: Yes. (inaudible) to add that these false reports by the police officers are a part of my asylum claim. So, convictions where I was coerced by the judge or my Counsel at the time, and ---

MEMBER: All right, so, Mr. BARRETT , we are not right at this moment hearing any arguments about the allegation. I just want you to know what the allegation is. Your Counsel's indicated just prior to going on the record that he does not wish to proceed with that today.

I will turn to Counsel. I understand that you did not want to proceed today. Do you have any further comments on that?

COUNSEL: Yes, Madam, I wish to add that advised Mr. BARRETT that based on the various challenges he wishes to raise at his admissibility hearing. Advice -- I have advised him that it may be a good idea for him to contact Legal Aid to see if you could have legal Counsel assigned to him to assist him with that aspect of his matter. But he has confirmed today that we will not be able, at this moment, to proceed with that part of his case. Thank you, Madam.

MEMBER: And Mr. Breed, do you have anything to add?

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: Thank you, ma'am. I agree with Mr. Harding. And I believe that because inadmissibility on serious criminal grounds is serious, it has serious consequences and Mr. BARRETT does need some time to properly prepare for the inadmissibility hearing and to obtain documents, which is difficult for him now. Thank you.

MEMBER: In terms of the time that you would be seeking, how long of adjournment of this matter , would you be seeking?

COUNSEL: Madam, I would ---

PERSON CONCERNED: I have to interrupt. I have documents on my device in this very building ---

COUNSEL: Hold on ---

PERSON CONCERNED: And I have ---

MEMBER: Just a moment, let Counsel speak first. I will turn to you after.

COUNSEL: Madam, I wish to say it is going to be very difficult for me to see because he raised a few points whilst I was talking to him earlier and mentioned a number of documents, and that is why I have advised him to contact Legal Aid as soon as possible, and they will have a better idea if they will give him Counsel, and if Counsel comes forward, how long that will take.

But as of now, Madam, I would not want to guess, I am very sorry about that.

MEMBER: Mr. BARRETT, you have indicated that you have some documents. You have been advised by Counsel today that you may wish to contact Legal Aid. In terms of preparation for your admissibility hearing, do you have any comments on the amount of time you might require? I understand the difficulties in anticipating or determining when Legal Aid may or may not be available.

PERSON CONCERNED: No, a month would be sufficient. I just want to make sure you are -- everyone is aware I am making an asylum claim against the USA. Okay, I just want to make sure --

He told the CBSA that he is been living in the forest throughout Washington state, and when he was refused that initial entry to Canada, he was able to navigate through points of entry between the United States and Canada and remain undetected for a period of six (6) weeks time until found by the RCMP.

So, the Minister also has concerns that Mr. BARRETT's potential mental health issues could make him unreliable, by his own admission. And as we can see at M-3 -- sorry. I am going to take that back. I am going to restart that submission.

So, the Minister has concerns that Mr. BARRETT's potential mental health issues could make him unreliable by his own admission, the court's United States sent him to a mental health facility as he was displaying signs of schizophrenia. And then in the solemn declaration scene at M-3, as of yesterday, he stated that he has PTSD, was diagnosed with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. And the Minister believes that these mental health issues would affect his reliability to comply with conditions sufficiently if he is released from detention today.

So, Mr. BARRETT, as of last week, is now subject to a conditional departure order for looting entry -- or looting examination while entering Canada. And then at the last hearing, Mr. BARRETT elected to make a claim for refugee protection against the United States. And the Minister estimates that the refugee process will take roughly six (6) to eight (8) weeks from the time of application filing. And as mentioned earlier, the Minister will request that the hearing and the decision from the *Refugee Protection Division*, those processes be expedited.

So, Mr. BARRETT's length of time in detention to date is roughly 12 days time. The Minister does not believe this is disproportionate to the degree of flight that Mr. BARRETT poses. So, if Mr. BARRETT claim for refugee protection is deemed negative and his conditional order becomes enforceable removal to the United States could happen immediately after, usually removals from Canada to United States can happen within the same day's time.

Keeping in mind the report under 36(1)(b) has now been written against Mr. BARRETT outlining serious criminality concerns. If that report results in a deportation order, his refugee claim would be pre-determined ineligible. And then, if his claim is deemed ineligible removal to the US could happily -- could happen shortly thereafter. And again, as we discussed earlier, the date for that admissibility ---

MEMBER: What about PRRA?

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: I thought -- I am just looking at the processes in front of us and not speculating if Mr. BARRETT would like to take PRRA or not at this exact time.

MEMBER: Okay.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: So, again, as I was saying the date for that admissibility hearing has not been determined yet. So, future length of time in detention is not exactly known at this exact moment.

Mr. BARRETT has no fixed address, access to any funds. He has not provided the CBSA with a phone or phone number where he can be contacted. And has stated that he has minimal ties here in Canada. He did mention to the officer that interviewed him at M-3 yesterday that he has a friend named Jagger (ph) that he was staying with. But Jagger's house was raided by the police. So, he is no longer was able to stay there --

-

PERSON CONCERNED: No -- that is not -- that is a false statement.

They have receipts, they stamp when they receive a communication. So ---

MEMBER: Sir, I understand, and I do take your point that there are challenges. I very much understand that. Mr. Crocker, I will still allow you that final response, if there is anything you want to add, and that will be it.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yes, I will just I will forward Mr. BARRETT messaging to the IHC as I always do when there is concerns from clients, I have no problem forwarding that the communication needs to be better. And I will just say that he has stated this on the record. And yes, that is what -- I do not personally work at the IHC, but that that is what I can do is I can forward your concerns to the team there. Thank you.

MEMBER: Thank you. Thank you. I will just take a few moments to review my notes before I am able to render a decision on the detention. I propose that we return in about 10 minutes.

COUNSEL: Miss --

MEMBER: I am sorry?

COUNSEL: Yes, Madam, please, I wish to ask for excuse as I prepare for my 1:00 p.m. hearing.

MEMBER: Yes, I understand. Thank you, Mr. Harding --

COUNSEL: Thank you, Madam. --

MEMBER: -- for your participation today.

COUNSEL: -- Have a wonderful day (inaudible). Thank you.

MEMBER: So, if anyone would like to.

----------END OF AUDIO # 3 ----------

----------BEGINNING OF AUDIO # 4 ----------

MEMBER: We are back on the record with the same parties present other than duty Counsel, Mr. Harding has had to depart. I am prepared to give my decision.

DECISION

MEMBER: These are the decision and reasons in the seven (7) day detention review for Spencer Joseph BARRETT. This is a private hearing.

Mr. BARRETT is a citizen of the United States who has been detained since October 11th, 2024. The Minister is now advancing the grounds that he is unlikely to appear for his removal from Canada.

I have considered all of the evidence and the submissions made today, and I will be ordering continued detention because I find, on a balance of probabilities, sir, that you are unlikely to appear for removal, and the other factors I am required to consider in Regulation 248 do not weigh in favour of release.

Sir, you did not. I still don't allow me to submit evidence (see

For a very brief background. Sir, you are a citizen of the United States and you sought to enter Canada on August 31st, 2024. You were offered the opportunity to withdraw your application to enter Canada, as the officer believed you were inadmissible to Canada for criminality.

At some point, you returned to Canada without reporting for examination. You have since made a refugee claim and you have been issued a departure order. At this time, you are detained for your removal from Canada.

In coming to a determination as to whether or not you are unlikely to appear, there are certain prescribed factors that I am required to consider, and these are outlined in the Immigration Regulations -- Regulation 245. Several of these factors relate to non-compliance.

You appear to have a history of non-compliance in the criminal context. You accumulated several charges in the United States, though I place less weight on charges than I do on convictions. You do have at least one (1) criminal conviction in the United States. And I will note also that you have an outstanding warrant out of the state of Oregon. That warrant indicates that you have violated the conditions of your parole. And that is relevant in considering whether or not you would appear for removal or comply with conditions in Canada.

That lack of compliance with your supervision in Oregon is something that I find ways in favour of establishing the flight risk ground. I note also that you sought to attempt -- that you sought to enter Canada despite the existence of a warrant. I do not have sufficient information before me as to your knowledge of the warrant, when exactly that warrant was issued to be able to conclude that you are a fugitive from justice. However, the existence of that warrant and the fact that you have left the jurisdiction is relevant to me in my consideration.

You also have non-compliance in the immigration context. You entered Canada without reporting to CBSA at a port of entry. When you did seek to report to CBSA back in August 2024, you were not allowed entry. Your designated representative noted today that you admitted your criminal history and you complied with CBSA requirements. Although you did leave Canada, you did not then report to officers to try to re-enter Canada, and instead you entered Canada in an irregular manner between ports of entry. I find that this is also relevant to my consideration as to whether or not you would comply with conditions, including removal.

With regards to the existence of ties to a community in Canada. I have very limited information before me about your ties to a community in Canada. Thus far, I do not have evidence that would convince me that those are ties that would motivate you in complying with conditions or appearing for removal.

I have also noted that in several of your interactions with CBSA, you have demonstrated a lack of co-operation. That said, this can be attributable to a mental health condition.

Which leads me to another question that I have considered, and that is whether or not you are capable of complying with conditions. Officers have had concerns related to your mental health. You have indicated that you were diagnosed with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, and this is not something that is your fault. But I have to consider if and how it could impact your compliance with conditions and removal.

I also note that you have a lack of funds. You do not have stable housing in Canada. And if this type of situation and lack of stability is not -- does not provide conditions that are conducive to compliance. You did indicate today at one (1) point that you do not even want to be in Canada. I do not find that there is motivation for you to follow through on your immigratio[illegible]ers, and particularly report for removal, as

1 conflict of interests, CBSA intentional distortion & lack of comm. system reliable

was assaulted

you clearly do not want to return to the United States.

So, overall, I am satisfied on a balance of probabilities that you are unlikely to appear for removal from Canada.

PERSON CONCERNED: You (inaudible) take in custody and you guys do not --

MEMBER: Sir, so, I am giving my decision, --

PERSON CONCERNED: -- (inaudible) --

MEMBER: -- it is not the --

PERSON CONCERNED: -- (inaudible) --

MEMBER: -- time to talk.

PERSON CONCERNED: -- (inaudible) have fun with your bullshit. Good job.

MEMBER: You may not like what I have to explain in the decision, but I do suggest that you listen to the full decision. A transcript will be produced if you choose not to. However, listening through to the decision may provide you with some insight as to what Members of this Division may be looking for.

PERSON CONCERNED: Fears are not enough for them to care about my life or being accountable.

MEMBER: Okay, I am going to ask that you not interrupt while I finish the decision. And again, I think it is in your interest to pay detention to that decision.

PERSON CONCERNED: I care about your life. You are in contempt of court because we do not care about your life. You are in contempt of court because I do not care about you.

MEMBER: Sir, I would rather not have to mute your microphone because once I have done that, it is difficult for me to get you back. So, I would ask that you not interrupt any further.

PERSON CONCERNED: I used to be emotionally capable. You guys really -- are really offensive.

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: Mr. BARRETT may I just -- it is to your own benefit. Just stay quiet for now, really. That will ---

PERSON CONCERNED: (inaudible) was hurting. (inaudible) it is hurting.

MEMBER: Okay, so, I will attempt to continue, and I will attempt to not mute the microphone at this time. However, if I need to, I will do so.

The first factor I have to consider is the reason for detention. I will note that being unlikely to appear is not the most serious ground for detention, and it is one (1) that tends to weigh in favour of release

PERSON CONCERNED: At any time of day (inaudible) ---

MEMBER: So, sir you are continuing to speak, so I am going to mute the microphone.

With regards to the length of time that you have been detained, every day that spent in detention is significant and incrementally weighs more and more towards release. At this time, you have been detained since October 11th, it is not an insignificant period of time, but I do not find that it is disproportionate to the reason for detention and the level of flight risk.

With regards to the future length of time in detention, there is some question as to what that future length of time may be. At this time, you have made a refugee claim in Canada. The Minister would seek to expedite that refugee claim and has provided a timeline of approximately six (6) to eight (8) weeks. That is a lengthy period of time, which does tend to weigh in favour of release. However, considering the other factors, including what I believe to be an alternative that does not sufficiently address the risk, I do not at this time find that the future length of time in detention is balancing overall in favour of releasing you.

I do not find that there are any unexplained delays or lack of diligence on your part or from the CBSA.

I will note for the record that it appears Mr. BARRETT has perhaps turned the camera off. I am not sure if Mr. BARRETT is remaining to hear the decision. However, I will note that a transcript will be made available. And Mr. Breed, perhaps you can discuss the decision with Mr. BARRETT.

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: I will do, ma'am.

MEMBER: Thank you.

With regards to the existence of alternatives to detention. Today, we do have a proposed alternative involving relief on Mr. BARRETT's own recognizance to a shelter. I believe that past behaviour is a good indicator of possible future behaviour, and I find that Mr. BARRETT has a past history of non-compliance that concerns me when I am seeking to determine whether or not he can be trusted on his own recognizance.

While the Welcome Hub may require that he returned by 10:00 p.m. this does not rise to the level of supervision that I think would address the mental health concerns and the flight risk that I believe Mr. BARRETT poses. The Welcome Hub would address one (1) concern which is stable housing, but only for about a six (6) week period, and I do not find that that sufficient. Any alternative to detention needs to provide Mr. BARRETT with ongoing and lasting stability and put him in a position where he can concede -- succeed in complying.

I am seeking asylum against Canada too (see

So, I do not find that the alternative to detention as presented today is sufficient to suitably mitigate the risk and weigh in favour of release.

I do not have any information about the best interests of any children directly affected by the detention, so this is a neutral factor.

I also consider the fact that Mr. BARRETT indicated he has been struggling to prepare for his matters while detained. And when I say matters, I mean his immigration matters. He is referenced both preparation for his admissibility hearing and for his refugee hearing. I recognize that being in detention is not an ideal situation to be able to prepare, particularly where Mr. BARRETT does seem to indicate he would like to self-represent. He did receive advice from Counsel that he can reach out to Legal Aid to seek representation for these matters.

I am going to ask that CBSA ensure that he has the means to prepare and access to information about Canadian legislation and technology that he needs to properly prepare his case to the best of his ability

111

possible new duty Counsel, I would like to suggest that we set it for the same time as the 30-day detention review, November 21. That will definitely some time for either Mr. BARRETT to prepare himself or get a Legal Aid Counsel and help him. I want to apologize. I tried to calm him but obviously I do agree the young man has problems. It is obvious.

MEMBER: Okay. Mr. Crocker, I noticed that you were nodding.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yes, I think that makes total sense. He is likely going to be in detention until that date. We can get them both done in the same day. And then like you said, I know that he said he wanted it as soon as possible but I think initially he also said that a month time, 30 days from now is a month. I think that that is fair. It is enough time. It is not too long. It is not too short.

MEMBER: I mean, I -- so the one thing I am considering is that and I mentioned this before, I do not want his detention to continue for any lengthier period of time than required. On one (1) hand, if the admissibility hearing occurred and he was found described, that would either allow for his immediate removal or would potentially, if he chose to apply for PRRA, would start that timeline. If that is delayed and if that the refugee claim is suspended, then my fear is that that six (6) to eight (8) week timeline will not start for an additional month.

If Mr. BARRETT were found, not described, then there would be no need to suspend the eligibility for the refugee claim, and that clock could start ticking right away.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Yes.

MEMBER: So, I hear both of your points with regards to his ability to prepare. It is very difficult absent his presence to determine what his plans are with regards to potentially seeking Legal Aid. So, I think what I would propose is that we attempt to schedule something sooner to get Mr. BARRETT back. Determine his intentions with regards to Legal Aid and whether or not he wants to proceed. I just do not want to assume his plans to seek representation if that is not his intent. And also, he did indicate if he were to remain detained, he did not feel like he would adequately prepare and detention.

So, I would like to get his viewpoint on that and give him the opportunity to have that hearing sooner if that is his choice. And to potentially avoid a longer time in detention. If, however, we set a date and Mr. BARRETT says that he intends to contact Legal Aid or that he is already done so we could remain flexible with rescheduling that to accommodate Legal Aid Counsel. Does that sound reasonable?

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: Sounds very reasonable, yes.

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: (inaudible) ---

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: (inaudible) with him not present either, right.

MEMBER: So, looking ahead to when that could be. Minister's Counsel, what is your availability? Let us see, do you have any availability in the afternoon on the 31st? Actually, I may just pause the record while we sort out our calendars, and I will resume to confirm that.

----------END OF AUDIO # 4 ----------

----------BEGINNING OF AUDIO # 5 ----------

see past

MEMBER: We are back on the record. I have confirmed with scheduling of the Division that we can set the admissibility hearing to occur 9:30 a.m. on November 4th.

Again, I note that Mr. BARRETT indicated that he does wish to proceed with the admissibility hearing as soon as possible if his detention were to continue. So, I have set a date in line with that desire at this time. However, I acknowledge that Mr. BARRETT may wish to retain Counsel, to contact Legal Aid Counsel, and, if that is the case, and Counsel would require more time for preparation. Then, that can certainly be revisited at that time.

So, Mr. Breed, I ask that you speak to Mr. BARRETT about that. And if he is wanting to proceed with the admissibility hearing on his own, then perhaps we can look to be prepared to go ahead on November 4th, which is the soonest we could accommodate that. And hopefully that would avoid any unnecessary future length of time in detention.

Is there anything further from either party before we conclude today?

DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE: Nothing from my side, ma'am. Thank you.

MINISTER'S REPRESENTATIVE: No, ma'am. Nothing from my side. I thank you for your time and your patience.

MEMBER: Thank you very much. I will wish you both good afternoon. Thank you.

----------**REVIEW CONCLUDED**----------

I HEREBY DECLARE THAT THIS IS A TRUE TRANSCRIPT OF THE RECORDING AND THAT I HAVE SWORN THE OATH OF SECRECY — WTF?

Chayarnie Florissant, Transcriptionist
For eDecree
October 30, 2024

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Commission de l'immigration
et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File No.: 0001-C4-00812-01-AH-DR
UCI / IUC : 1142284332

Transcript of Proceeding: Admissibility Hearing and Detention Review

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date of hearing	October 23, 2024	**Date de l'audience**
Place of hearing	Vancouver, British Columbia (virtual hearing)	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of decision	October 23, 2024	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Renee Wyslouzil	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	Malvin Harding	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e) / les intéressé(e)(s)**
	Dylan Crocker	**Conseil du (de la) ministre**

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Commission de l'immigration
et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File No.: 0001-C4-00812-04-AH
UCI / IUC : 1142284332

Transcript of Proceeding: ~~**Admissibility**~~ Pre-conference **Hearing**

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date of hearing	November 8, 2024	**Date de l'audience**
Place of hearing	Vancouver, British Columbia (virtual hearing)	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of decision	N/A	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Trent Cook	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	Harbir Rehlan	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e) / les intéressé(e)(s)**
Counsel for the Minister	Dylan Crocker	**Conseil du (de la) ministre**
Designated Representative	Katherine Chan	**Représentant(e) désigné(e)**
Interpreter	N/A	**Interprète**

Canada

received 11/21 ~3pm

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Commission de l'immigration
et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File No.: 0001-C4-00812-03-AH
UCI / IUC : 1142284332

Transcript of Proceeding: Admissibility Hearing

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date of hearing	November 4, 2024	**Date de l'audience**
Place of hearing	Vancouver, British Columbia (virtual hearing)	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of decision	November 4, 2024	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Renee Wyslouzil	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	N/A	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e) / les intéressé(e)(s)**
...Minister	Dylan Crocker	**Conseil du (de la) ministre**

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Commission de l'immigration
et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File No.: 0001-C4-00812-04-AH
UCI / IUC: 1142284332

Transcript of the Proceeding: Admissibility Hearing Choose an item.

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date of hearing	November 15, 2024	**Date de l'audience**
Place of hearing	Vancouver, British Columbia By Virtual Hearing	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of decision	November 15, 2024	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Trent Cook	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	N/A	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e) / les intéressé(e)(s)**
Counsel for the Minister	Dylan Crocker	**Conseil du (de la) ministre**
Designated Representative	Claudia Buendia	**Représentant(e) désigné(e)**
Interpreter	N/A	**Interprète**

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Comm
et du sta du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File / Dossier de la SI : 0001-C4-00812-01-DR
UCI / ICU : 1142284332

Transcript of Proceeding: Detention Review

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date(s) of Hearing	November 21, 2024	**Date(s) de l'audience**
Place of Hearing	Vancouver, British Columbia (by virtual hearing)	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of Decision	November 21, 2024	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Murray Ross	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	Julie Déziel	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e)/les intéressés**
	Claudia Buendia	**Représentant(e)(s)**

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Commission de l'immigration
et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File / Dossier de la SI : 0001-C4-00812-01 DR
UCI / ICU : 1142284332

Transcript of Proceeding: Detention Review

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date(s) of Hearing	December 19, 2024	**Date(s) de l'audience**
Place of Hearing	Vancouver, British Columbia (by virtual hearing)	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of Decision	December 19, 2024	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Vanessa Fairey	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	Malvin Harding	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e)/les intéressés**
Designated Representative(s)	Claudia Buendia	**Représentant(e)(s) désigné(e)(s)**
Counsel for the Minister	Dylan Crocker	**Conseil du (de la) ministre**
Interpreter	N/A	**Interprète**

Canada

Immigration and
Refugee Board of Canada

Immigration Division

Commission de l'immigration
et du statut de réfugié du Canada

Section de l'immigration

ID File / Dossier de la SI : 0001-C4-00812-01-DR
UCI / ICU : 1142284332

Transcript of Proceeding: Detention Review

PRIVATE

Between	The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	**Entre**
and		**et**
Person(s) Concerned	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Intéressé(e)(s)**
Date(s) of Hearing	January 16, 2025	**Date(s) de l'audience**
Place of Hearing	Vancouver, British Columbia (by virtual hearing)	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of Decision	January 16, 2025	**Date de la décision**
Panel	Michael Mcphalen	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned	Lee Rankin	**Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e)/les intéressés**

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

February 12, 2025

Immigration and Refugee Board

Immigration Division

Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street

Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 30 day Detention Review of

Spencer Joseph Barrett

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows: Pages:

1. Notes to File – Officer Grant – January 31, 2025 1-3
2. RPD Decision and Reasons 4-9

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

CC: Duty Counsel By: E-post

Canada

NOTES TO FILE - IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT
NOTES AU DOSSIER - EXÉCUTION DE LA LOI SUR L'IMMIGRATION

Client ID Number - Nº d'ID du client	11-4228-4332
Other Reference Number - Autre numéro de référence	5139
Region - Région	PAC
Date (DD-MM-YYYY) - (JJ-MM-AAAA)	31/01/2025

CLIENT DETAILS - INFORMATION DU CLIENT

Family Name - Nom de famille: BARRETT	Given Names(s) - Prénom (s): Spencer
Alias - Nom d'emprunt	Date of Birth - Date de naissance: 18 April 1994

CBSA OFFICER NOTES - NOTES DE L'AGENT(E)

Details - Détails

ATD REVIEW #2

DATE:31 January 2025
CLIENT: BARRETT, Spencer
DOB:18 April 1994
UCI: 11-4228-4332
COMMUNITY LIAISON OFFICER J. GRANT

Mr. Spencer Barrett, herein referred to as the client, is a citizen of the USA who came to the attention of the CBSA on 11 October 2024, when he was arrest by the Surrey RCMP and transported to the Surrey Immigration Holding Centre (IHC). The client was found eligible to make a refugee claim in Canada. The client's claim was heard and concluded on 09 January 2025; however, we are still pending a decision. Due to the client's extensive criminality in the USA, he was taken to an Admissibility hearing and was issued with a deportation order on 15 November 2025.

During his time at the IHC, according to our Detainee Activities Management System (DAMS), the client has been involved in 38 events. These events range from verbal altercations, disrespectful comments, profanities, hunger strike, non compliance with procedures, as well as threats towards guards and their families. The client's behaviour at the IHC continues to be a challenge for both the CBSA officers and the guard staff.

Although, I have not spoken to the medical unit about the client it is believed that he does suffer from mental health issues. The client is in regular contact with the medical unit at the IHC regarding medication and various behavioural concerns. On 29 January 2025, the client was asked if he will be eating his lunch to which he replied "No. I am going to kill myself." The client was spoken to regarding his comment, to which he claimed that it wasn't meant in a serious manner as "everyone dies daily, it is life." The client was spoken to by medical and was cleared of any potential self harm, but it was noted that guards should continue to keep an extra eye on him. On the same day, the client began to display behaviour outside of his regular demeanour, and was transferred to one of our wet cells. The client had the opportunity to speak with medical staff, was issued medication, and was provided with the opportunity to be added to the psychology list, however, he typically refuses this option. The client was returned to the regular unit on 30 January 2025.

As the CLO assigned to this file, I have not spoken to the client but have reviewed his file, and I do not believe that he would be a good candidate for CCMS due to his mental health, criminal history, and the fact that he is a refugee claimant. The client does not meet the requirement for electronic monitoring due to his mental health.

And I make this report conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

REPORTED BY - SIGNALÉ PAR	
Prepared by (Print name) - Préparé par (lettres moulées) Jolene GRANT	Signature GRANT JOLENE
Job Title - Le titre du poste Community Liaison Officer	Date 31/01/2025
Additional Comments - Autres commentaires	

RPD File / Dossier de la SPR : VC4-28458
UCI / IUC: 1142284332

Private Proceeding / Huis Clos

Reasons and Decision – Motifs et décision

Claimant(s)	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**Demandeur(e)(s) d'asile**
Date(s) of hearing	January 9, 2025	**Date(s) de l'audience**
Place of hearing	Heard by Virtual Hearing	**Lieu de l'audience**
Date of decision and reasons	January 28, 2025	**Date de la décision et des motifs**
Panel	Kevin Kim	**Tribunal**
Counsel for the claimant(s)	N/A	**Conseil(s) du (de la/des) demandeur(e)(s) d'asile**
Designated representative	N/A	**Représentant(e) désigné(e)**
Counsel for the Minister	Ryan Friesen	**Conseil du (de la) ministre**

REASONS FOR DECISION

INTRODUCTION

[1] This is the decision of the Refugee Protection Division (RPD) in the claim of Spencer Joseph Barrett as a citizen of the United States of America who is claiming refugee protection pursuant to section 96 and subsection 97(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* (the "*Act*")[1].

[2] The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness (the Minister) has intervened in this claim on the ground of program integrity.

ALLEGATIONS

[3] The following is a summary of the claimant's allegations as found in his Basis of Claim (BOC) form, narrative, and testimony. The claimant is a 30-year-old American man who alleges a risk of harm from the Oregon Judicial Department, the United States Federal Court, the government of the USA, and pharmaceutical companies of the USA.

[4] The claimant alleges to be an environmental activist, and that he fears the agents of harm in this case due to the claimant's whistleblowing against pharmaceutical companies. The claimant also alleged that he fears returning to the USA as he fears President Donald Trump. The claimant stated that he has made a "meme" against Trump, and that he fears being targeted by officials of the USA and Trump supporters due to the post.

DETERMINATION

[5] I find that the claimant is neither a Convention refugee nor a person in need of protection.

ANALYSIS

[1] *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*, S.C. 2001, c. 27.

Identity

[6] I find that the claimant's personal identity and his identity as a national of the USA have been established by his American passport found at Exhibit 1.

Nexus

[7] In order to satisfy the definition of a "Convention refugee" found in section 96 of the *IRPA*, a claimant must establish that he or she faces a serious possibility of persecution on account of his or her race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group or political opinion in his or her country of nationality.

[8] In this case, I find that the claimant's allegations do not establish a nexus to any of the five Convention grounds. As such, this claim will be assessed under section 97(1) of the *Act*.

Credibility, Rish of s.97(1) Harm

[9] After assessing the totality of the evidence before me, I find on a balance of probabilities that the claimant has not established the allegations of risk he faces in the USA.

[10] In assessing the claimant's credibility, I accept that the claimant is telling the truth as is honestly perceived by the claimant. However, I find that the claimant's testimony is not trustworthy, and therefore not credible, as the claimant's allegations are not reliable. There is no reliable or credible evidence before me to establish that the claimant is being targeted by the Oregon Judicial Department, the Federal Court of the USA, the government of the USA, or pharmaceutical companies of the USA.

[11] While the claimant alleges to be an environmental activist and a whistleblower against big pharmaceutical companies, and notes in his BOC form that he will "likely [be] killed like JFK, MLK, or Ghandi", there is no reliable evidence before me which would establish that the claimant is a real environmental activist, a whistleblower, or has actually been targeted, in reality, by the agents of harm described in the paragraph above.

[12] I recognize that there is no general requirement for a claimant to provide corroborating documents, and that testimony alone can establish a claim. The Board however is entitled to draw conclusions concerning an applicant's credibility based on implausiblities, common sense and rationality. The Board can reject evidence, including testimony, where it is inconsistent with the probabilities affecting the case as a whole.

[13] The Federal Court in *Valtchev v. Canada*[2] states the following:

> A tribunal may make adverse findings of credibility based on the implausibility of an applicant's story provided the inferences drawn can be reasonably said to exist. However, plausibility findings should be made only in the clearest of cases, i.e., if the facts as presented are outside the realm of what could reasonably be expected, or where the documentary evidence demonstrates that the events could not have happened in the manner asserted by the claimant. A tribunal must be careful when rendering a decision based on a lack of plausibility because refugee claimants come from diverse cultures, and actions which appear implausible when judged from Canadian standards might be plausible when considered from within the claimant's milieu.

[14] While I acknowledge the Federal Court's caution to reserve findings of implausibility to the clearest of cases, this is such a clear case, in my view. I find the facts and allegations which the claimant has presented, namely that he is being targeted by the Oregon Judicial Department, the US Federal Court, and pharmaceutical companies due to the claimant's "human rights and peace activism" and his "persistent belief in love and constant actions for world peace… and preparing heaven on Earth for the return of Christ" are outside the realm of what could reasonably be expected in the contexts in which they have been said to have occurred.

[15] The claimant has elaborated in his testimony that after he had "created a meme against" President Trump, he was assaulted the same day. While it is unfortunate that the claimant was the target of an unprovoked attack, I find it more likely than not that the claimant's fear of harm stems from speculation, rather than actual risk. The allegations that the government of the USA, its judiciary, and pharmaceutical companies are part of a conspiracy against the claimant are outside of the realm of what could reasonably be expected, in light of the lack of available evidence before me. While the claimant could have been targeted by another individual in an act

---

[2] *Valtchev v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)*, 2001 FCT 776

of random violence, there is insufficient evidence before me to connect the attack to any of the agents of harm in this case.

[16] The objective evidence contained in the NDP for the USA confirms that the United States is a federal republic whose people benefit from a vibrant political system, a strong rule-of-law tradition, robust freedoms of expression and religious belief, and a wide array of other civil liberties. The United States benefits from strong structural safeguards against official corruption, including a traditionally independent law enforcement system, a free and vigorous press, and an active civil society sector.[3]

[17] The claimant has submitted documentary evidence in support of his claim. The disclosure, which was 296 pages in its entirety, contain illegible handwritten notes and photographs of faded carbon-copy documents. However, from the disclosure provided by the claimant, some of the documents that are legible are as follows.

1. A petition for dissolution of marriage, petitioned by the claimant's ex-wife, filed at the Maricopa County Superior Court.

2. Multiple grievance forms and disciplinary hearing forms from the Oregon Department of Corrections, where the claimant had spent time in custody.

[18] In my view, the documents submitted only corroborate the claimant's own personal belief, which I have found to be not reliable or credible. I find that these documents are neither relevant nor probative, and I assign these documents no weight for the following reasons.

[19] The documents provided by the claimant are not relevant to the case at hand. The documents make no mention of the claimant's alleged whistleblowing, environmental activism, or involvement in "preparing heaven on Earth for the return of Christ". Simply put, while I have no reasons to doubt the genuineness or the authenticity of these documents, these documents are

[3] Exhibit 3. National Documentation Package, United States, 31 January 2024, tab 2.1: United States. Freedom in the World 2023. Freedom House. 2023.

given no weight as they are, at face value, not relevant to the central elements of the claimant's allegations.

[20] After considering all of the evidence before me, I find that there is insufficient credible or trustworthy evidence to establish that the claimant was targeted by the government of the USA, its judiciary, or pharmaceutical companies in the USA. While I accept that the allegations advanced by the claimant may be true to him, I find that his beliefs are rooted in implausibilities which are not supported by any credible or reliable evidence.

[21] Where the claimant has not established his central allegations on a balance of probabilities, I find that the claimant has not established that he faces a serious possibility of persecution or a personal risk of s.97(1) harms as enumerated in the *Act*, should the claimant return to the United States of America.

[22] As such, this claim is rejected.

CONCLUSION

[23] For the foregoing reasons, I find that the claimant is neither a Convention refugee nor a person in need of protection. I reject his claim.

(signed) **Kevin Kim**

January 28, 2025

Received 11/7/25 (1) (2 Solemn Decs Villalon & Sama)

XIV

Solemn Declarations - 8 pages

Chitchat 10/30 - 2 "disclosure 11/21"
Klimchuk 11/20 - 1 "disclosure "/21
Villalon 12/18 - 2 p's
Villalon 12/19 - "Disclosure V8" - 3 pages
Sama 12/31

other Disclosures

10/16 1 page
10/16 20 pages
10/23 1 page
11/4 - 34 pages

Invalid for reasons

1. dont follow their own handbook
2. conflict interests, bias against non profit peace activists
3. memory, lack of context & full preceeding conversation following & intended audience (who was SJB adherents) & was their comprehension good? SJB artist for Conscience culture, most skits revolve around bigger issues - children dying" exhibit 1, as result of Canadian Econ.
4. what are these officers private & public interests, bias, track records, are they reliable sources of info, interest in public health & national security?

Received
9:30 am
11/21/2024

Canada Border Services Agency / Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

November 21, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 30 day Detention Review of
Spencer Joseph Barrett

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows:

	Pages:
1. Solemn Declaration of Officer Klimchuk	1
2. Solemn Declaration of Officer Chitchot	2-3

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

[illegible]

Received
9:30 am
11/21/2024

I care more about your health

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

November 21, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 30 day Detention Review of
Spencer Joseph Barrett

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows: Pages:

1. Solemn Declaration of Officer Klimchuk 1
2. Solemn Declaration of Officer Chitchot 2-3

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

CC: Duty Counsel

By: E-post

Canada

★ Before considering validity of
★ this statement, please know
★ CBSA DOES NOT FOLLOW THEIR OWN RULES LAID OUT IN DETAINEE HANDBOOK; RECIEPTS WITHIN 24 hrs of each request and incited emotional distress

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Date: November 20, 2024

City of Surrey Province of British Columbia CANADA	)))	IN THE MATTER OF THE IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT AND IN THE MATTER OF: BARRETT, Spencer Date of Birth: 1994-04-18 UCI # 1142284332

"SOLEMN DECLARATION"

I, Kyle KLIMCHUK (badge # 20870), of the Canada Border Services Agency, solemnly declare that I am an Inland Enforcement Officer (IEO) within the Detentions Unit of Canada Border Services Agency's Pacific Region Inland Enforcement Section, based at the B.C. Immigration Holding Centre (BCIHC), located in Surrey, BC.

Spencer BARRETT is currently detained at the BCIHC, having been admitted to the facility on October 11, 2024.

On November 20 2024 a written request was received from BARRETT. The request read:
"I have documents I need to fax to Immigration Division and my Duty Counsel before tomorrow's detention review hearing".

At approximately 19:20 hours I spoke with BARRETT and requested his documents so I could fax them. BARRETT stated he was not willing to provide me the documents, rather he would only transmit them himself. I informed BARRETT that we do not have a fax machine for detainee use, but I would ensure they were transmitted and provide him with the transmission receipt. BARRETT refused and stated he would rather present the documents himself during his hearing, as he was told he was able during his previous hearing. ★★

I attempted to continue a dialog regarding the documents however the conversation did not lead to BARRETT providing the paperwork.

Because you have not followed your own rules & cannot be trusted with my documents

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me in the city of Surrey, in the province of British Columbia this 20th day of November, 2024.

[signature] 20454
Signature of Officer

[signature] 20870
Signature of Declarant

Klimchuk said "The fax machine is in my office" and I did not feel safe going to his office

received 11/21 ~ 930am, 2 hrs before hearing

Canada

1/3

first said I could not email & must fax, now claiming to not have a fax machine
Will call #s 11/21 9:30 am
Couldive told me this during my first request
now made 60+ please disclose all requests made
AND do not contact just hours before hearing today @ 11:30am

Before considering the validity or honesty of this statement, please know
CBSA DOES NOT FOLLOW THEIR OWN RULES
LAID OUT IN DETAINEE HANDBOOK; RECIEPTS WITHIN 24 hrs of each request and incited emotional distress

I care more about your health

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Date: October 30, 2024

City of Surrey)
Province of British Columbia)

CANADA)

IN THE MATTER OF THE IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT AND IN THE MATTER OF **Spencer Joseph BARRETT**
UCI: 11-4228-4332

SOLEMN DECLARATION

I, A.CHITCHOT, solemnly declare that I am an Inland Enforcement Officer employed at the British Columbia Immigration Holding Centre (BCIHC) for the Pacific Region Inland Enforcement Section (PRIES) of the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) located in Surrey, British Columbia (BC).

Spencer Joseph BARRETT, hereinafter referred to as "CLIENT" is currently detained at the BCIHC.

On October 30, 2024, while on rounds, I was approached by a guard who was monitoring the client's unit who informed me of an incident involving the client. The guard stated he had to speak to the client regarding erratic, disruptive behavior, yelling loudly and wakening the other clients (which I verified with as well that in fact, he is waking people up with his behavior). I called out the client's name and asked if we could speak to him in his room. Immediately the client became defensive, loud, and accusatory of not going into his room as "you guys make shit up and lie" so I asked if he would rather have his business talked about in front of others and I am trying to give him privacy. The client continued to talk over me stating that CBSA has not responded to any of his requests he has submitted and that he does not want to speak to us. As I was walking over to his table, he demanded I 'don't come any closer, stay right there'. I informed him that he does not dictate officer's movements in the unit and that I will come talk to him. During our non-productive interaction due to the clients disrespectful and derogatory behavior towards CBSA and me in particular (bear in mind I have never spoken to this client in any previous interaction), the following statements were made by the client. The client stated that:

- You are a rapist. – context, did not respect space, refer to surveillance footage & RR
- You are disgusting. – said same thing to Klimchuk who chased me to my room
- Go do your fucking job CHITCHOT, and then repeated Shitshot in a weak attempt to phase my presence. – gain respectful distance
- Go get my 300 page fucking civil rights documents.

During this interaction, I fogged most of what the client was verbally hurling at me and informed him that I am here to listen to what he has to say with respect to his concerns. The client continued to tell me to get away from him and that I am a rapist for not respecting his personal space. I then informed him that I will warn him that he is not to be disruptive nor yell and to be respectful of others who are sleeping. I warned him that should he not adhere to the basic rules, he will then be placed elsewhere or in wet cells as his disruptive behavior will not be tolerated. The client's rude and defiant behavior towards law enforcement, guards, and other detainees is escalated when the client feels things are not

never helped me fax document THE MAIN ISSUE LEADING TO MY HATRED OF CBSA

did not receive one reciept of Requests filled out

See pg 15 of detainee package

THEY DO NOT FOLLOW THEIR OWN RULES

NEGLIGENCE

2/3

Canada

Received 9:30 am
11/21/2024

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

November 21, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 30 day Detention Review of
Spencer Joseph Barrett

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows: Pages:

1. Solemn Declaration of Officer Chitchot 1-2

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

CC: Duty Counsel By: E-post

Canada

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

going how he wants, yet when is offered the opportunity to air his grievances, he chooses to dismiss those opportunities and air his grievances in front of the entire unit by yelling and being derogatory.

I asked if he had anything further to add, to which he remained silent and ignored me.

one instant, in my room ~ 9-10 am : They just sentenced

I then was approached by another detainee who informed me that the client has been disruptive to the unit and wakes everyone up by yelling loudly and spontaneously. The detainee was also stating that this client had made a very offensive comment to him while sitting on the couch together. I asked if he would share what he said and the detainee reluctantly told me that this client had told him to 'put his finger in his ass' (his own). — manual evacuation AFTER he said "Fuck You"

medical

/food/stress/lack of security

I then warned the client again to mind his words when interacting with other clients. He then stated that I should go get a medical degree and that 'your nurses are plugging people up' and that I don't have a clue of what I am talking about and to 'go get educated'. I would have responded that I have two degrees, but I felt that was unnecessary and unproductive to the conversation.

I care more about your health than you/your nurses do

In what what was your Thesis, I can share mine and why are you a guard... w/ 2 degrees

tossed refer to security

another innocent man to death!" want to leave my room & accidentally brough pen in

As I was writing this declaration, guard supervisor had informed us that the client threw a pen at a guard when the guard asked him not to take the pen in his room.

underhand

This ends my involvement with the incident.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me in the city of Surrey, in the province of British Columbia, this 30th day of October, 2024.

Signature of Officer

Signature of Declarant

Couldve given this to me just a little Before my Hearing (received 11/20 ~2 hr before) ~9:30 am

Canada

3/3

Recieved 12/18 ~8pm

Canada Border Services Agency / Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

December 18, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 30 day Detention Review of
Spencer Joseph Barrett

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows: Pages:

1. Solemn Declaration of Officer Villalon December 18, 2024 — Villain; alone (lone villain?) — 1-2

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

CC: Duty Counsel By: E-post

Canada

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Date: 2024-12-18

City of Surrey)
Province of British Columbia)

CANADA)

IN THE MATTER OF THE IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT AND IN THE MATTER OF **BARRETT, Spencer Joseph**
UCI: 11-4228-4332

SOLEMN DECLARATION

I, VILLALON, solemnly declare that I am an Inland Enforcement Officer (IEO) employed at the British Columbia Immigration Holding Centre (BCIHC) for the Pacific Region Inland Enforcement Section (PRIES) of the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) located in Surrey, British Columbia (BC).

BARRETT, Spencer Joseph, hereinafter referred to as "CLIENT" is currently detained at the BCIHC.

The CLIENT's recent movements and dates are as follows;

- 2024-12-12 – Seen by IHC medical staff for mental health concerns and found certifiable. Same day transport to Surrey Memorial Hospital (SMH) for care
- 2024-12-13 – CLIENT was spoken with by SMH doctor, and was deemed "double certified"
- 2024-12-14 – CLIENT was discharged by SMH, and returned to BCIHC
  - Upon arrival at BCIHC, CLIENT was placed in Medical for observation
- 2024-12-15 – CLIENT seen by IHC doctor, and cleared to return to Unit

In the past week, CLIENT has been observed and recorded in logs directing vulgar and disrespectful comments towards and about CBSA officers, GARDA staff, as well as medical staff. CLIENT has displayed behaviours and made comments to the effect of;

- Referring to officers as "faggots… who are only capable of looking tough"
- Expressing that "I don't want the pervy doctor to touch me"
- CLIENT has been observed in plain view with his hand down his pants, making references to masturbating, and going for a "world record"
- Disregarding facility rules, and causing disturbances during quiet hours
- During evening rounds, CLIENT asks guards if they "have boners", and the CLIENT says he will "kiss them on the mouth"

In recent instances, it has been recorded that the CLIENT has made direct threats to GARDA staff, making statements to the effect of, "I will kill him with a pen," while also threating the guard's family. When the CLIENT was asked why he was making violent threats, the CLIENT explained his reasoning was because he was not allowed to bring paperwork to the gym while exercising.
Furthermore, the CLIENT has made additional statements that "I want every one of you to be killed by Christmas", "I know everyone's name, date of birth, and social insurance number... I will be coming for you all".
During one confrontation, the CLIENT stated he wants the guard to suffer, and that the CLIENT would

1. From your globalist economy city tax $ support
2. Lack of emerg breakup systems..

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

enjoy that. In the same interaction, the CLIENT began asking how many children the guard has, and that the CLIENT wants the kids to die. — children are already 12 & you guys dont care

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me in the city of Surrey, in the province of British Columbia, this 18th day of December, 2024.

Signature of Officer

Signature of Declarant

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

January 8, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 30 day Detention Review of
Spencer Joseph Barrett

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows: Pages:

1. Solemn Declaration of Officer Villalon December 19, 2024 1-2
2. Solemn Declaration of Officer Samra December 31, 2024 3

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

CC: Duty Counsel By: E-post

Canada

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Date: 2024-12-19

City of Surrey)
Province of British Columbia)

CANADA)

IN THE MATTER OF THE IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT AND IN THE MATTER OF **BARRETT, Spencer Joseph**
UCI: 11-4228-4332

SOLEMN DECLARATION

I, VILLALON, solemnly declare that I am an Inland Enforcement Officer (IEO) employed at the British Columbia Immigration Holding Centre (BCIHC) for the Pacific Region Inland Enforcement Section (PRIES) of the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) located in Surrey, British Columbia (BC).

BARRETT, Spencer Joseph, hereinafter referred to as "CLIENT" is currently detained at the BCIHC.

The CLIENT returned to BCIHC from Surrey Memorial Hospital on 2024-12-14. The reports and records of the CLIENTs behaviour since returning is summarized as follows;

- 2024-12-15; CLIENT reported to have had an outburst directed at another client, for the reason of moving CLIENT's puzzle, in order to make room at the table to eat the evening meal. CLIENT reported to make comments to the effect of, "You disrespected me… You're an asshole. I don't like you; never talk to me… Never touch my stuff."

- 2024-12-16; CLIENT made a request to use the gym for a second time the same day, and was advised by guards that protocol was to only approve a second daily trip to the gym if it is operationally feasible. CLIENT reacted to this by making comments to the effect of; "you guys (guards) are making me unhappy on purpose… I know everyone's name, date of birth, and social insurance number – once I'm out of here I will be coming for you all, just wait and watch."
  The CLIENT then went to the washroom, and after some time stated, "Call everyone to see what I have here for you."
  When addressed about the statement of coming after the guards, the CLIENT stated that he doesn't remember saying that to anyone, but if he did, that he is sorry.

- 2024-12-18; CLIENT was reported to have come out of his room shortly before quiet hours, and stated to the guard, "Don't you want everyone to die?... I wish everyone dies."
  The CLIENT then made statements regarding Sikhs to the effect of, "Why would you call yourself Sikh? You are going to make yourself sick. You could call yourself 'healthies'; this is stupid." The CLIENT continued by stating, "you should be ashamed for calling yourself that."
  Later that evening, the CLIENT requested for a guard to "call my mother and ask her to die," before heading to the washroom. While in the washroom, the CLIENT was being disruptive and speaking loudly. When asked to lower his voice by guards, the CLIENT responded by making a statement how he wishes the guard to suffer, and that the CLIENT would enjoy that.
  During quiet hours that night, the CLIENT approached the guards, and started asking how many

kids guards had. Guards replied to the CLIENT that they will not disclose any personal information. The CLIENT then responded by stating he wants the guards kids to die.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me in the city of Surrey, in the province of British Columbia, this 19th day of December, 2024.

Signature of Officer

Signature of Declarant

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Date: December 31, 2024

City of Surrey)
Province of British Columbia)

CANADA)

IN THE MATTER OF THE IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT AND IN THE MATTER OF **Spencer Joseph BARRETT**
UCI: 11-4228-4332

I, S. SAMRA (badge #60568), solemnly declare that I am an Inland Enforcement Officer (IEO) employed at the British Columbia Immigration Holding Centre (BCIHC) for the Pacific Region Inland Enforcement Section (PRIES) of the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) located in Surrey, British Columbia (BC).

Spencer Joseph BARRETT, hereinafter referred to as "BARRETT" , "S", and "CLIENT", is currently detained at the BCIHC. BARRETT has been at the BCIHC since October 11, 2024.

BARRETT had brought forward various complaints about his time in detention to Member WONG, including accessing the documents which he wishes to disclose to the Division.

On December 31, 2024 at approximately 11:15 hrs, BARRETT was spoken to in his unit room (Delta Unit) by myself, and Officers KLIMCHUK, BALISTAIRE, and LOPEZ.

BARRETT's request for accessing his documents was subsequently approved, and he was promptly told so about this request approval. More specifically, BARRETT will be given chrome book access during the next two days for approximately thirty minutes each day. BARRETT was told access to further chrome book usage will be reassessed at that time. BARRETT also has been approved to access any immigration related documents on his personal phone. BARRETT acknowledged this approval and was advised he will be given access when it is feasible for the guards to do so.

This ends my involvement with client.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me in the city of Surrey, in the province of British Columbia, this 31st day of December, 2024.

Signature of Officer

Signature of Declarant

Canada

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

October 16, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 48 Hour Detention Review and Admissibility Hearing of Spencer Joseph Barrett October 16, 2024

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows: Pages:

1. Email regarding investigative steps 1

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

RR's 51, 54, 58
Notice to appear 11/15 @ 11
Docs, favourable Decisi

Disclosure of Docs
Solemn Declarations: Klimchuk & Chitchat
Immigration Detention info Pack: cover, 3, 5, 12, 13-17

Se. 1
✓ 10/16 48 hr det. review w/ Martenson
✓ 10/23 detent. review w/ Renee
transcripts from 11/4 ? admissability w/ Renee
11/8 preconference with Cook

Exhibits
Hearing Preparations

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

October 16, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 48 Hour Detention Review and Admissibility Hearing of Spencer Joseph Barrett October 16, 2024

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows:

Pages:

1. Email regarding investigative steps 1

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

By: E-post

CC: Duty Counsel

Canada

(IV-2)

FALSE

There were 2 attempts and he was not cooperative so I cant see any point when the police report should be sent to me today.
Bill

William Powell
Inland Enforcement Officer
Intelligence and Enforcement Operations Division | Pacific Region
Canada Border Services Agency | [redacted]
[redacted]
Phone 604 830 3342 / TTY 866 335 3237

Agent d'exécution de la loi pour services intérieurs
Division des opérations de renseignement et de l'exécution de la loi | Région du Pacifique
Agence des services frontaliers du [redacted]
[redacted]
Téléphone 604 830 3342 / ATS 866 335 3237

From: Crocker, Dylan <[redacted]
Sent: October 15, 2024 4:15 PM
To: Powell, William <[redacted]
Subject: RE: BARRETT crim docs

Hey William,

I had one more question. Was anyone planning on interviewing Barret and asking him about the circumstances of the assault directly?

Hearings Officer
Immigration Enforcement Operations Division - Pacific Region
Canada Border Services Agency - Government of Canada
[redacted]Tel: 236-785-4584

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

October 16, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 48 Hour Detention Review and Admissibility Hearing of Spencer Joseph Barrett October 16, 2024

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows:	Pages:
1. 44(2) Referral	1
2. 44(1) Report	2-3
3. 44(1) Highlights	4-6
4. Solemn Declaration of Officer Labreche	7-9
5. ICES Traveller History	10
6. Allowed to Leave Canada Document September 1, 2024	11
7. GCMS Notes from August 31, 2024 Interaction	12-13
8. Status Checks from IRCC	14-15
9. Oregon Court Documents	16-20

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

CC: Duty Counsel

By: E-post

Canada

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

PROTECTED B when completed
PROTÉGÉ B une fois rempli

REFERRAL UNDER SUBSECTION 44(2) OF THE *IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT* FOR AN ADMISSIBILITY HEARING

UCI No. - N° IUC
11-4228-4332

DÉFÉRÉ POUR ENQUÊTE AUX TERMES DU PARAGRAPHE 44(2) DE LA *LOI SUR L'IMMIGRATION ET LA PROTECTION DES RÉFUGIÉS*

To: the Immigration Division

I have reviewed the report dated D 11 M 10 Y 2024 (Date)

submitted pursuant to Subsection 44(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* concerning

BARRETT
Surname

Spencer Joseph
Given name(s)

Pursuant to Section 44(2) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*, I hereby refer said report to the Immigration Division for an admissibility hearing to determine if the above-mentioned person is a person described in paragraph(s)

A41(a) x A18(1)
of the said Act.

Dated at VANCOUVER

in the province of BRITISH COLUMBIA.

this 19th day of OCTOBER of the year 2024.

À : la Section de l'immigration

J'ai étudié le rapport fait le J M A (Date)

établi conformément au paragraphe 44(1) de la *Loi sur l'immigration et la protection des réfugiés* concernant

Nom

Prénom(s)

Conformément au paragraphe 44(2) de la *Loi sur l'immigration et la protection des réfugiés*, je défère, par les présentes, ledit rapport à la Section de l'immigration pour enquête afin de déterminer si la personne précitée est visée au(x) paragraphe(s)

de ladite Loi.

Fait à

dans la province de

ce _____ jour de _____ de l'an _____.

DANIELLE JENSEN 21183.
Name and Signature of Minister's delegate
Nom et signature du Délégué du ministre

THIS FORM HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED BY THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY
FORMULAIRE ÉTABLI PAR LE MINISTRE DE LA SÉCURITÉ PUBLIQUE

BSF506 (22)

Government of Canada / Gouvernement du Canada

PROTECTED - B

REPORT UNDER SUBSECTION 44(1) OF THE IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT

TO: THE MINISTER OF IMMIGRATION, REFUGEES AND CITIZENSHIP CANADA

FROM: L. Labreche

UCI: 1142284332

Application no.: N001258454

Document no.: N307653360

In accordance with subsection 44(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*, I hereby report that:

Family name:	BARRETT
Given name(s):	Spencer Joseph
Date of birth:	1994/04/18 (yyyy/mm/dd)
Sex:	Male
Citizenship:	United States of America

is a person who is a foreign national who has not been authorized to enter Canada

and who, in my opinion, is inadmissible pursuant to:

Subsection 41(a) in that, on a balance of probabilities, there are grounds to believe is a foreign national who is inadmissible for failing to comply with this Act through an act or omission which contravenes, directly or indirectly, a provision of this Act, specifically:

The requirement of subsection 18(1) of the Act that every person seeking to enter Canada must appear for an examination to determine whether that person has a right to enter Canada or is or may become authorized to enter and remain in Canada.

This report is based on the following information that the above-named individual:

-Is not a Canadian Citizen.
-Is not a Permanent Resident of Canada.
-Is a citizen of United States of America.
-Entered Canada on an unknown date at an unknown location.
-Did not report for examination as required.

DATED at CBSA Vancouver Enforcement

on 2024/10/11
(yyyy/mm/dd)

Signature of Officer

Spencer Joseph
BARRETT

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

SUBSECTION A44(1) HIGHLIGHTS / PARAGRAPHE A44(1) - FAITS SAILLANTS

Biographical details / Données biométriques	
UCI / IUC	11-4228-4332
Surname / Nom de famille	BARRETT
Given name(s) / Prénom(s)	Spencer Joseph
Alias(es) / Pseudonyme(s)	
Gender / Sexe	Male
DOB / DDN	1994-04-18
Citizenship / Citoyenneté	United States of America
Country of birth / Pays de naissance	United States of America
Language / Langue	English
Interpreter required / Interprète requis	No -
Current address / Adresse actuel	No fixed address
Phone # / No. de téléphone	
E-mail / courriel	

Seized documents / Documents saisis	
Country of issuance / Pays d'émission	
Expiry date / Date d'expiration	
Document # / No. de document	
Nature of document / Nature du document	
Document type / Type de document	

Entry details / Détails sur l'entrée au Canada	
Border jumper / Sauteur de point transfrontaliers	Yes
Refugee claimant / Demandeur d'asile	
Date of entry / Date d'entrée	2024-09-15
Port of entry / Point d'entrée	Unknown/unconfirmed location
Status given / sought - Statut donné / sollicité (PDF)	
Valid / requested until - Valide / demandé jusqu'au	

File details / Détails du dossier	
Officer name / Nom de l'agent	Louis LABRECHE
Allegation / Allégation	A41(a) x A18(1)
Allegation (secondary) / Allégation (sécondaire)	

Narrative / narratif

United States citizen Spencer Joseph BARRETT ("BARRETT") came to CBSA's attention on today's date by Corporal KITSOL of the Surrey RCMP. BARRETT was found sleeping in the park at the Whalley Athletic Park in Surrey. BARRETT refused to identify himself to the RCMP, as such the RCMP arrested him for obstruction. Upon arrest, BARRETT actively resisted. BARRETT eventually identified himself and the Corporal contacted CBSA.

BARRETT's IMMIGRATION HISTORY:

On August 31, 2024 BARRETT sought entry at the Vancouver Amtrak. BARRETT had indicated "TBD" on the declaration card (E311) for his intended length of stay in Canada. He stated that he would stay "in the woods or national parks". BARRETT also stated that he was unemployed and that he is a "conscientious objector to the economy". BARRETT had very limited funds and stated that he would meet his basic needs in Canada by going to food banks or other resources available to the homeless. BARRETT stated that he had been living in the forests in Washington.

NOTE from the Border Services Officer (BSO) regarding criminality:

"stated that he had received a DUI conviction and an assault charge stemming from the same incident. Client stated that he had done 18 months in prison and at one point had been sent to a mental hospital as the courts believed he may be schizophrenic. Indices check was completed at approximately 23:42 hours. NCIC check was positive and showed a conviction of assault in the 2nd degree in 2017, along with driving while under the influence charge in 2018, trespassing, obstruction of police, damage of property and making false reports all in 2022. The client is still on parole resulting from his charges with an active warrant out of Oregon due to parole violations."

BARRETT was refused entry and was given an allowed to leave pursuant to A36(2)(b) and was transported to the Pacific Highway port of entry to facilitate his return to the US.

Per the Passage Query Tool (PQT), there have been no recorded entries into Canada since August 31, 2024. As such, there are reasonable to grounds to believe that BARRETT entered Canada between the ports of entries without reporting for an examination.

I spoke with BARRETT over the phone while he was in the back of the police cruiser. I attempted to ask BARRETT how, when, [redacted] where he entered Canada and he said he would have to "look through my records". He did say that the US authorities had "chased" him. I then attempted to ask BARRETT simple medical questions in order to complete the detention documents and he again stated that he would have to "look through my records". It should be mentioned that BARRETT was not in possession of any physical records therefore it is unclear what or where these "records" are/were. Any time I attempted to ask questions, BARRETT would go on rants that were not pertinent to the topics at hand.

The Detainee Medical Needs (DMN) and National Risk Assessment for Detention (NRAD) forms were NOT filled out to their entirety. I contacted a Detainee Liaison Officer (DLO) at the BCIHC and advised as such prior to BARRETT being transported by the Surrey RCMP.

It is suspected that BARRETT suffers from a mental health condition or disorder.

I will attempt to re-interview BARRETT later on this afternoon while he is at the IHC.

A section 44 report has been written pursuant to section A41(a) x A18(1) of IRPA.

BARRETT's CRIMINAL HISTORY:

Per NCIC, BARRETT had been charged with numerous criminal offences in the US including but not limited to:
-Assault
-Robbery
-Criminal Mischief
-Unlawful Use of Weapon
-DUI
-Reckless Driving
-Reckless Endangering
-Criminal Driving
-Trespassing
-Obstruct Police
-Disorderly Conduct

Most of these charges were dismissed

WARRANT:

- August 14, 2024: US statewide (OR, WA, ID, MT, CA) extradition warrant for "parole violation". NCIC indicates that "this subject has multiple warrants from this agency". I only notice one warrant.

POSSIBLE CONVICTIONS:

- September 8, 2017: Felony conviction for Attempt to Commit Crime (161.405 OR) from the Benton County Circuit Court in Oregon where he may have received a 40 day custodial sentence and three years probation. It would appear that the Attempt to Commit Crime was possibly a reduced charge originating from an Assault 2nd Degree (163.175 OR) charge.

- August 8, 2022: Misdemeanour conviction for False Info-Police (162.385 OR) from the Jackson County Circuit Court in Oregon where he may have received a 10 day custodial sentence and 18 months probation.

Court records requests have been submitted with the respective courts in Oregon it will likely take at least 5 business days to receive.

UPDATE: The BCIHC facilitated a phone call with BARRETT. This purpose of the phone call was to conduct a follow-up interview to determine when and where BARRETT crossed into Canada, to discuss his criminal record in the US, and to explore alternatives to detention. BARRETT stated to me over the phone "I don't want to talk to you, you're an anti-immigration asshole." and hung up the phone.

Audio Record on all Police Dealings/Summaries/Disclosures

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

PERSON CONCERNED	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**PERSONNE CONCERNÉE**
UCI	11-4228-4332	**IUC**
DATE	2024-10-11	**DATE**

SOLEMN DECLARATION - DÉCLARATION SOLENNELLE

I, Louis LABRECHE, solemnly declare that I am a Inland Enforcement Officer with the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA). I am currently employed at the Pacific Region Inland Enforcement Section (5139) in Vancouver, British Columbia.

never met?

United States citizen Spencer Joseph BARRETT ("BARRETT") came to CBSA's attention on today's date by Corporal KITSOL of the Surrey RCMP. BARRETT was found sleeping in the park at the Whalley Athletic Park in Surrey. BARRETT refused to identify himself to the RCMP, as such the RCMP arrested him for obstruction. Upon arrest, BARRETT actively resisted. BARRETT eventually identified himself and the Corporal contacted CBSA.

BARRETT's IMMIGRATION HISTORY:

like Muhammad Ali?

On August 31, 2024 BARRETT sought entry at the Vancouver Amtrak. BARRETT had indicated "TBD" on the declaration card (E311) for his intended length of stay in Canada. He stated that he would stay "in the woods or national parks". BARRETT also stated that he was unemployed and that he is a "conscientious objector to the economy". BARRETT had very limited funds and stated that he would meet his basic needs in Canada by going to food banks or other resources available to the homeless. BARRETT stated that he had been living in the forests in Washington.

NOTE from the Border Services Officer (BSO) regarding criminality:

"stated that he had received a DUI conviction and an assault charge stemming from the same incident. Client stated that he had done 18 months in prison and at one point had been sent to a mental hospital as the courts believed he may be schizophrenic. Indices check was completed at approximately 23:42 hours. NCIC check was positive and showed a conviction of assault in the 2nd degree in 2017, along with driving while under the influence charge in 2018, trespassing, obstruction of police, damage of property and making false reports all in 2022. The client is still on parole resulting from his charges with an active warrant out of Oregon due to parole violations."

BARRETT was refused entry and was given an allowed to leave pursuant to A36(2)(b) and was transported to the Pacific Highway port of entry to facilitate his return to the US.

Per the Passage Query Tool (PQT), there have been no recorded entries into Canada since August 31, 2024. As such, there are reasonable to grounds to believe that BARRETT entered Canada between the ports of entries without reporting for an examination.

See p 4 of 20 comments out of context, incomplete narrative, Necessity

As such, a follow-up interview could not be completed.

[redacted]

Reasons / raisons

A 44 report has been written pursuant to section A41(a) x A18(1) of IRPA. I recommend that the subject be convoked to an admissibility hearing and that his detention be continued for the following reasons:

- Subject has entered Canada without reporting for an examination.
- Subject has been previously refused entry at a port of entry due to criminality and was made aware of his inadmissibility.
- Subject may be criminally inadmissible to Canada
- Subject is subject to a criminal warrant in the US.
- Subject is of no fixed address.
- Subject may suffer from one or many mental health conditions or disorders possibly making him unreliable.

Officer / agent

Officer signature / Signature de l'agent	Date
LZ0905	2024-10-11

Minister's Delegate (MD) / Délégué du ministre (DM)

MD's name - Nom du DM	Signature	Date

Minister's Delegate review / examen par le délégué du ministre

Manager / gestionnaire

Manager's name / nom du gestionnaire	Signature	Date
DANIELLE JENSEN	DJ 21183	15 OCT 2024

Manager's review / examen du gestionnaire

REVIEWED. REFERRAL OF A18(1) SIGNED. TO IHDR.

OUT OF CONTEXT
NECESSITY FOR VIDEO/AUDIO SURVEIL.

I spoke with BARRETT over the phone while he was in the back of the police cruiser. I attempted to ask BARRETT how, when, and where he entered Canada and he said he would have to "look through my records". He did say that the US authorities had "chased" him. I then attempted to ask BARRETT simple medical questions in order to complete the detention documents and he again stated that he would have to "look through my records". It should be mentioned that BARRETT was not in possession of any physical records therefore it is unclear what or where these "records" are/were. Any time I attempted to ask questions, BARRETT would go on rants that were not pertinent to the topics at hand.

Not my fault your attention span is so small

3 FALSE! my phone has many E. Archive .org

The Detainee Medical Needs (DMN) and National Risk Assessment for Detention (NRAD) forms were NOT filled out to their entirety. I contacted a Detainee Liaison Officer (DLO) at the BCIHC and advised as such prior to BARRETT being transported by the Surrey RCMP.

It is suspected that BARRETT suffers from a mental health condition or disorder.

I will attempt to re-interview BARRETT later on this afternoon while he is at the IHC.

A section 44 report has been written pursuant to section A41(a) x A18(1) of IRPA.

BARRETT's CRIMINAL HISTORY:

Per NCIC, BARRETT had been charged with numerous criminal offences in the US including but not limited to:

- -Assault
  -Robbery
  -Criminal Mischief
  -Unlawful Use of Weapon
- -DUI
  -Reckless Driving
  -Reckless Endangering
  -Criminal Driving
  -Trespassing
  -Obstruct Police
  -Disorderly Conduct

Most of these charges were dismissed

WARRANT:

- August 14, 2024: US statewide (OR, WA, ID, MT, CA) extradition warrant for "parole violation". NCIC indicates that "this subject has multiple warrants from this agency". I only notice one warrant.

POSSIBLE CONVICTIONS:

- September 8, 2017: Felony conviction for Attempt to Commit Crime (161.405 OR) from the Benton County Circuit Court in Oregon where he may have received a 40 day custodial sentence and three years probation. It would appear that the Attempt to Commit Crime was possibly a reduced charge originating from an Assault 2nd Degree (163.175 OR) charge.

- August 8, 2022: Misdemeanour conviction for False Info-Police (162.385 OR) from the Jackson County Circuit Court in Oregon where he may have received a 10 day custodial sentence and 18 months probation.

Court records requests have been submitted with the respective courts in Oregon it will likely take at least 5 business days to receive.

UPDATE: The BCIHC facilitated a phone call with BARRETT. This purpose of the phone call was to conduct a follow-up interview to determine when and where BARRETT crossed into Canada, to discuss his criminal record in the US, and to explore alternatives to detention. BARRETT stated to me over the phone "I don't want to talk to you, you're an anti-immigration asshole." and hung up the phone.

As such, a follow-up interview could not be completed.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me in Vancouver, British Columbia on October 11, 2024.

Signature of officer

Signature of declarant

ICES TRAVELLER HISTORY - TRAVELLER PASSAGE REPORT

Passage Date/Time	Officer ID	Traveller Name	Date of Birth	Gndr	Ctzshp	Work Location	Lane	Ref. Type	Occ. Type	Property Code	FOSS Live	Doc. Type	Document Number	Program Type
2024-08-31 23:19:13 PT	XCR235	BARRETT, SPENCER JOSEPH	1994/04/18	M	US	Vancouver Canadian National Train Term	IBQ1	B		N/A		PASS	505866735	IPIL

Government of Canada / Gouvernement du Canada

PROTECTED WHEN COMPLETED - A

ALLOWED TO LEAVE CANADA

Family name: Barrett
Given name(s): Spencer Joseph
Date of birth: 1994/05/18 (yyyy/mm/dd)
Sex: Male
Citizenship: United States of America
Document no.: V382782105

UCI: 11-4228-4332

Application no.: N001200382

Pursuant to paragraph 42(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Regulations*, I am allowing you to withdraw your application to enter Canada and to leave Canada without delay.

The information provided on this form is collected under the authority of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Regulations* for the purpose of allowing you to leave Canada. This information will be stored in Personal Information Bank number CIC PPU 001, Enforcement Data System, and you have the right of access to it and to its protection under the provisions of the *Privacy Act*.

Dated at: Amtrak - Vancouver on 2024/09/01 (yyyy/mm/dd)

_____
Signature of officer

I hereby voluntarily withdraw my application to enter Canada and agree to leave Canada without delay.

_____
Signature of person concerned

GCMS Notes from August 31, 2024 with Spencer Joseph Barrett

On 31st August 2024, I was working as a fully uniformed officer for the Canada Border Services Agency at the Vancouver Pacific Central Station processing travelers off of the Amtrak 518 from Portland, Oregon via Seattle. At approximately 23:25 hours I began a secondary examination of BARRETT, Spencer Joseph (DOB: 1994-05-18) a citizen of the United States who had been referred for a selective secondary examination.

The client presented their passport and declaration card (E311) to me and was traveling with a single backpack. Upon reading the declaration card, I noticed it stated "TBD" for the length of stay and also had marked "yes" to the cannabis question. I asked the client how long they planned to stay in Canada and he answered "an undetermined amount of time to explore". I then asked where he would be staying and the client stated "in the woods or national parks". I asked the client what he did for work to which he replied "I am unemployed" and also stated that he is a conscientious objector to the economy. When asked what his previous job was, he stated he was involved in multimedia production and had previously created some documentaries that he released on YouTube. Client further mentioned that he had worked many jobs for limited amounts of time. The client was travelling with no cash and had limited access to funds ; he stated if he really needed money he could contact his mother for help. When asked how he would meet his basic needs while in Canada, he stated he would go to food banks or other resources available to the homeless. Client was not in possession of return ticket nor did he have the means to be able to purchase one.

I had BARRETT bring has bag over place it on the counter and empty his pockets. I asked him the mandatory baggage questions, to which he replied in the affirmative, and began examining the back pack. I noticed that the client had very limited clothing, and the bare minimum of every day life essentials. Client had mentioned earlier that he intended to camp but was not in possession of the gear required to do so. During the search of the bag I uncovered the declared cannabis, and BARRETT was counselled on the importation of cannabis into Canada. BARRETT elected to forfeit the cannabis to the Kings bond(B497269)(2334-24-0004). While continuing the bag search I asked the client where he lived in the United States, he answered he was from Oregon, but was last in Washington living in the forests as he is self sufficient and an outdoorsman. The client stated that he recently finished hiking the pacific crest trail ("PCT") and since has been living with homeless people of Washington.

I asked the client if he had ever been arrested, charged or convicted of any crimes in the United States or any other country? He stated "yes". When asked to elaborate he stated that he had received a DUI conviction and an assault charge stemming from the same incident. Client stated that he had done 18 months in prison and at one point had been sent to a mental hospital as the courts believed he may be schizophrenic. Indices check was completed at approximately 23:42 hours. NCIC check was positive and showed a conviction of assault in the 2nd degree in 2017, along with driving while under the influence charge in 2018, trespassing, obstruction of police, damage of property and making false reports all in 2022. The client is still on parole resulting from his charges with an active warrant out of Oregon due to parole violations. The client was forthcoming about the prior charges but was unable to recall all criminal offences. The client was deemed inadmissible due to his assault in the 2nd degree assault charge under section 163.175 of the Oregon Penal Code, which equates to S.266 of the Canadian criminal code an indictable offence that ,if committed in Canada, would result in a prison term not

exceeding five years. Client was deemed inadmissible pursuant to A36(2)(b). Additional charges were not equated at this time.

Non pursuant to the criminality, the traveler would still be deemed inadmissible due to being a non genuine traveler. Having no means to support himself, no return ticket booked and no funds to purchase one. The client also did not have any reservations for accommodations, minimum ties to the United States as he stated he was homeless and without employment. NO I DID NOT

BARRETT was presented with his options, and he elected to withdraw his application to enter Canada. At approximately 00:35 BARRETT was issued an allowed to leave which he refused to sign even after additional explanation and counselling. Client was provided, and accepted, a copy. Client expressed a desire to travel to Alaska on the ferry as soon as possible occasion, a facilitative transport to the United States was provided. Client was transferred by BSO HOWARD and PARENT to the PAC-HWY border crossing and departed to the United States at approximately 01:53 without incident.

End of Report.

P. SAMRA 61483

Border Services Officer

They must have forged my signature
Show me proof
I never signed it

THE NEED FOR VIDEO, AUDIO SURVEILLANCE
to minimize police/political conflict of interests, inaccurate narratives, and complete B.S.

From: OSC-CSO [redacted]
Date: October 13, 2024 at 6:29:02 AM PDT
To: "Labreche, Louis" <[redacted]"CPC Sydney Search Enquiry / Demande de Recherche Sydney CTD (IRCC)" <[redacted]
[redacted] **CDR/CTD requêtes pour BARRETT, Spencer Joseph - UCI/IUC: 1142284332**

Good day,

With the information provided I found:

No record of Permanent Residence in GCMS from 1994/04/18 to 2024/10/13

No record of TRV, Visitor, Work or Study Permits from 1994/04/18 to 2024/10/13

Thank you,

Nathania Rebong
Client Service Unit – CSU / OSC
HIOB – Humanitarian and Identity Operations Branch| DGOHI – Direction générale des opérations humanitaires et de l'identité
Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada | Citoyenneté et Immigration Canada
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

-----Original Message-----
From: Labreche, Louis <[redacted]
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2024 4:26 PM
To: OSC-CSO <[redacted] Sydney Search Enquiry / Demande de Recherche Sydney CTD (IRCC) <[redacted]
[redacted] CDR/CTD requêtes pour BARRETT, Spencer Joseph - UCI/IUC: 1142284332

Hello/bonjour,

Sydney: Pursuant to an investigation under IRPA can you please check all indices to see if the following subject has applied for or obtained Canadian Citizenship?

Sydney: À la suite à une enquête en vertu de la LIPR, pourriez-vous vérifier s'il existe des archives ou des transactions démontrant que la personne suivante a présenté une demande de citoyenneté canadienne ou a obtenu la citoyenneté canadienne?

QRC: Pursuant to an investigation under IRPA can you please check all indices to see if the following subject has applied for or obtained Permanent Resident Status or, if they hold any other lawful status in Canada?

CSO: À la suite d'une enquête en vertu de la LIPR, pourriez-vous vérifier s'il existe des archives ou des transactions démontrant que la personne suivante a présenté une demande pour obtenir le statut de résident permanent ou a obtenu le statut de résident permanent. Si non, est-ce que cette personne détient un autre statut légal au Canada?

NAME/NOM: Spencer Joseph BARRETT
DOB/DDN: 1994-04-18
COB/PDN: United States of America
CITZ/CIT: United States of America
UCI/IUC: 11-4228-4332

Thank you/merci

Louis LABRECHE
Pacific Region Inland Enforcement Section (5139)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF BENTON

State of Oregon, Plaintiff	)	
	)	Case No.: 17CR47488
vs.	)	
	)	**JUDGMENT**
Spencer Joseph Barrett, Defendant	)	Case File Date: 07/19/2017 District Attorney File #: 1701437

DEFENDANT

True Name: Spencer Joseph Barrett
Date Of Birth: 04/18/1994
Fingerprint Control No (FPN): JBEN117005884

Sex: Male
State Identification No (SID): 22418875OR

HEARING

Proceeding Date: 09/08/2017
Judge: David B Connell

Defendant appeared in person and was in custody. The defendant was represented by Attorney(s) DAVID A CORDEN, OSB Number 910339. Plaintiff appeared by and through Attorney(s) Matthew Dan Ipson, OSB Number 136554. Defendant knowingly waived two day waiting period before sentencing.

COUNT(S)

It is adjudged that the defendant has been convicted on the following count(s):

Count 1 : Attempt to Commit a Class B Felony - Assault in the Second Degree

Count number 1, Attempt to Commit a Class B Felony - Assault in the Second Degree, 161.405(2)(c), Felony Class C, a lesser included charge, committed on or about 07/18/2017. Conviction is based upon a Guilty Plea on 09/08/2017.

Sentencing Guidelines

The Crime Severity Classification (CSC) on Count Number 1 is 8 and the Criminal History Classification (CHC) is I.

The court finds substantial and compelling reason for a Downward Dispositional Departure, as stated on the record. This departure is pursuant to the following aggravating or mitigating factor(s):

- By stipulation.

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

October 23, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the 7 Day Detention Review and Admissibility Hearing of Spencer Joseph Barrett October 23, 2024

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows:

Pages:

1. Solemn Declaration of Officer Kaloa — 1

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

By: E-post

CC: Duty Counsel

Canada

IV.3

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

City of Surrey)
Province of British Columbia)

CANADA)

IN THE MATTER OF THE IMMIGRATION AND

REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT AND IN THE

MATTER OF **BARRETT, Spencer Joseph**
UCI : **11-4228-4332**

SOLEMN DECLARATION

I, Prabhneet Kaloa, of the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), solemnly declare that I am an Acting Enforcement Case Officer (ECO) within the Detentions Unit of the of the Pacific Region Immigration Enforcement Operations Division, based at the British Columbia Immigration Holding Centre (BCIHC), located in Surrey, BC.

Mr. Spencer Joseph BARRETT, herein referred to as the client or BARRETT, is currently detained at the BCIHC. BARRETT arrived at the BCIHC on October 11, 2024 and is detained for as Unlikely to Appear. I conducted an interview to provide the client with information on Alternatives to Detention (ATD) and the various programs available to him at the BCIHC. The client stated that he would like to a witness for this interview, therefore Detentions Manager Adam PARSONS sat in on this interview.

I introduced myself to the client and advised him that I would be providing him with information on Alternatives to Detention. As per the client's request Manager PARSONS confirmed if he was comfortable with him sitting in on this interview, to which the client stated that it was fine. I informed the client that he can propose a release plan to the Member at his detention review tomorrow. This release plan should include a residence that the client will stay at if he were to be released. This could include a shelter or a place that he was residing at prior to detention. The client stated that he was previously staying at the Surrey Welcome Hub Shelter[1,4] on King George Blvd in Surrey. BARRETT went on to state that he also stayed with a friend 'JAGGER' in a home[2] that was previously raided by the police. I asked the client if he had any family or friends in Canada. BARRETT confirmed that he did not and only had a few friends that he would sometimes stay with. I explained the requirements of a bondsperson[3] to the client. I also advised the client that I would call the Surrey Welcome Hub Shelter tomorrow and will follow up with him if I receive confirmation of an available bed for him. BARRETT confirmed that he does not have any medical conditions. I asked the client if he has any mental health issues. The client stated that he has PTSD and was previously diagnosed with Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder which he does not agree with. BARRETT confirmed he does not have any suicidal thoughts. The client drinks alcohol rarely and only smoked marijuana or tobacco whenever he can access it. BARRETT does not have any funds. I let the client know of the various programs offered at the IHC and of the medical services available to him.

"Jagger" false (Joe) said his Prior houses were raided)

does not

does agree

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me in the city of Surrey in the province of British Columbia this 22nd day of October 2024.

[signature] 20423
Signature of Officer

[signature] (3) Bonds 3. Isabella Ebisike
Signature of Declarant

(1,2,4) residences: 1. Surrey welcome hub 4. Olive branch Shelter 10732 2 10617 128th St. Surrey - 778 838 1007

Canada

requested Witness: Gill, for this statement, was not allowed. my word vs CBSA 2 CBSA is not better than 1, conflict interest

1

Canada Border Services Agency / Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

November 4, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for the Admissibility Hearing of
Spencer Joseph Barrett Date TBD

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

A 36(1)(b), A 36(2)(b), difference added – A 36(2)(b)

The disclosure documents are identified as follows:

		Pages:
1.	BSF 524	1
2.	44(2) Referral	2
3.	44(1) Report	3-4
4.	44(1) Highlights	5-6
5.	Solemn Declaration of Officer Powell	7-8
6.	Court Documents Related to Assault Charge July 2017	9-16
7.	Open Source Documents Relating to Assault	17-21
8.	Parole Document August 2024	22
9.	Relevant Excerpts of Oregon State Laws	23-28
10.	Relevant Excerpts of Canadian Criminal Code	29-34

9-16 struck from record

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

added allegation 6:36(1)(b)

CC: Duty Counsel

By: E-post

Canada

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

Pacific Region Intelligence & Enforcement Operations Division
Inland Enforcement Section
Hearings & Appeals Unit
Suite 700 - 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6B 6C8

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

5139-1142284332

November 4, 2024

Immigration and Refugee Board
Immigration Division
Suite 1600 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 6C9

RE: Disclosure for Admissibility Hearing of
Spencer Joseph Barrett November 4, 2024

Attached, please find copies of the following documents for service upon you, pursuant to Rule 3(p) and Rule 26 of the Immigration Division Rules.

The disclosure documents are identified as follows:	Pages:
1. Definitions	1

The Minister's Representative will seek to enter these documents into evidence at the hearing.

Regards,

Dylan Crocker
Hearings Officer

CC: Duty Counsel By: E-post

Canada

Possession of weapon for dangerous purpose

88 (1) Every person commits an offence who carries or possesses a weapon, an imitation of a weapon, a prohibited device or any ammunition or prohibited ammunition for a purpose dangerous to the public peace or for the purpose of committing an offence.

Punishment

(2) Every person who commits an offence under subsection (1)

(a) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding ten years; or

(b) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.

assault 1 of 2 noun

as·sault ə-ˈsȯlt

Synonyms of *assault* >

1 **a** : a violent physical or verbal attack

b : a military attack usually involving direct combat with enemy forces
an *assault* on the enemy's air base

c : a concerted effort (as to reach a goal or defeat an adversary)
an *assault* on drug trafficking

2 **law**

a : a threat or attempt to inflict offensive physical contact or bodily harm on a person (as by lifting a fist in a threatening manner) that puts the person in immediate danger of or in apprehension (see APPREHENSION sense 1) of such harm or contact

→ compare BATTERY sense 1b

b : **RAPE entry 1 sense 1**

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

PROTECTED B when completed
PROTÉGÉ une fois rempli

REFERRAL UNDER SUBSECTION 44(2) OF THE *IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT* FOR AN ADMISSIBILITY HEARING

UCI No. - Nº IUC
11-4228-4332

DÉFÉRÉ POUR ENQUÊTE AUX TERMES DU PARAGRAPHE 44(2) DE LA *LOI SUR L'IMMIGRATION ET LA PROTECTION DES RÉFUGIÉS*

To: the Immigration Division

I have reviewed the report dated D 21 M 10 Y 2024 Date

À : la Section de l'immigration

J'ai étudié le rapport fait le J M A Date

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

PROTECTED B when completed / PROTÉGÉ B une fois rempli

ID no - Nº d'ID: 11-4228-4332

File no - Nº de dossier:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSIBILITY HEARING/DETENTION REVIEW PURSUANT TO THE IMMIGRATION DIVISION RULES

DEMANDE D'ENQUÊTE/DEMANDE D'EXAMEN DES MOTIFS DE LA GARDE CONFORMÉMENT AUX RÈGLES DE LA SECTION IMMIGRATION

TO: Registrar, Immigration Division
In accordance with the Immigration Division rules, I am sending you the following information and requesting:

À : Greffier, Section de l'immigration
Conformément aux règles de la Section de l'immigration, je vous transmets les renseignements suivants et vous demande :

- [✓] An admissibility hearing be held pursuant to subsection A44(2) / De faire tenir une enquête en application de A44(2)
- [ ] Following an arrest under Section 55 / À la suite d'une arrestation en vertu de l'article 55
- [ ] Detention review to be held under Section 57. / Tenir un contrôle des motifs de détention en vertu de l'article 57.
- [ ] Detention review to be held under Section 57.1 / Tenir un contrôle des motifs de détention en vertu de l'article 57.1

TO BE COMPLETED IN ALL CASES - À REMPLIR DANS TOUS LES CAS

Surname - Nom de famille	Given name(s) - Prénom(s)
BARRETT	Spencer Joseph

Country of citizenship - Pays de citoyenneté: United States of America

Sex - Sexe: [✓] M - H [ ] F [ ] X

Date of birth - Date de naissance: D-J M Y-A: 18 04 1994

Marital status - État civil: [ ] Single / Célibataire [ ] Married / Marié(e) [ ] Separated / Séparé(e) [ ] Divorced / Divorcé(e) [ ] Common-law partner / Conjoint de fait

Home address in Canada - Adresse du domicile au Canada: BCIHC, Surrey, BC

Telephone no. - Nº de téléphone:

[ ] Minister believes that the person is less than 18 years of age or unable to appreciate the nature of the procedures / Ministre est d'avis que la personne à moins de 18 ans ou n'est pas en mesure de comprendre la nature des procédures

[ ] Application for non disclosure / Demande d'interdiction de divulgation

Allegation(s) - Allégation(s): A36(1)(b) & A36(2)(b)

Forwarded to Immigration Division - Transmis à la Section de l'immigration:
[✓] Report / Rapport [✓] Referral for an admissibility hearing / Déféré pour enquête [✓] Evidence / Éléments de preuve

Claim for refugee protection made / Demande d'asile faite: [✓] Yes / Oui [ ] No / Non

Official language chosen for admissibility hearing/detention review / Langue officielle choisie pour l'enquête/l'examen des motifs de la garde: [✓] English / Anglais [ ] French / Français

Interpreter's language (where required) - Langue de l'interprète (le cas échéant):

Family members to be included for the purposes of R227 of the Regulations - Membres de la famille à être inclus en application de R227 des Règlements

Name / Nom	Sex / Sexe M-H	Sex / Sexe F	Date of birth / Date de naissance	Citizenship / Citoyenneté	Civil status / État civil	UCI ID. / ID. IUC	Address/Telephone no. / Adresse/Nº de téléphone
	[ ]	[ ]	D-J M Y-A				
	[ ]	[ ]	D-J M Y-A				
	[ ]	[ ]	D-J M Y-A				
	[ ]	[ ]	D-J M Y-A				

Family members referred to the Immigration Division - Membres de la famille renvoyés à la Section de l'immigration

Name / Nom	File no. / Nº de dossier	UCI ID no. / Nº d'ID IUC

COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING FOR DETAINED CASES ONLY - À REMPLIR DANS LE CAS DES PERSONNES DÉTENUES SEULEMENT

Time that the person was first placed in detention / Heure de la première mise en détention: D-J M Y-A (Date)

Place of detention and address - Lieu de garde et l'adresse: IHC - Surrey

Previous detention review / Dernier examen des motifs de la garde: D-J M Y-A (Date)

Detention review must be held by: / L'examen des motifs de la garde doit avoir lieu d'ici le: Day - Jour; D-J M Y-A (Date); Time - Heure

Detained for - Sous garde aux fins de:
- [ ] Admissibility hearing / Enquête
- [ ] Examination
- [ ] Minister determination 44(2) / Détermination du Ministre 44(2)
- [ ] Removal / Renvoi [ ] Designation 20.1(2) / Désignation 20.1(2)

Grounds - Motifs:
- [ ] Danger / Danger
- [ ] Would not appear / Ne se présentera pas
- [ ] Suspected of violating human or international rights / Soupçonné d'atteinte aux droits humains
- [ ] Suspected inadmissible on grounds of security / Soupçonné d'interdiction de territoire pour raison de sécurité
- [ ] Examination to be completed / Compléter le contrôle
- [ ] Identity / Identité
- [ ] Suspected of serious criminality, criminality or organized criminality / Soupçonné pour grande criminalité, criminalité ou criminalité organisée

Review being made after / L'examen des motifs doit avoir lieu dans les:
- [ ] 48 hrs / 48 h
- [ ] 14 days / 14 jours
- [ ] 7 days / 7 jours
- [ ] 6 months / 6 mois
- [ ] 30 days / 30 jours
- [ ] Other / Autre

Designated foreign national 20.1(2) / Étranger désigné 20.1(2): [ ] Yes / Oui [✓] No / Non

Counsel name and contact information (if known) / Nom du conseil et coordonnées (si connus):

Tel. no. / Nº de tél.:

Fax no. / Nº de fax:

Minister counsel name and title (if known) / Nom et titre du conseil du ministre (si connus):

Signature of officer and title - Signature de l'agent et titre: MANAGER

Name in block letters - Nom en lettres d'imprimerie: MARCUS JOHNSON

Date: D-J M Y-A: 22 10 2019

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

PROTECTED B when completed
PROTÉGÉ B une fois rempli

REFERRAL UNDER SUBSECTION 44(2) OF THE *IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT* FOR AN ADMISSIBILITY HEARING

UCI No. - N° IUC
11-4228-4332

DÉFÉRÉ POUR ENQUÊTE AUX TERMES DU PARAGRAPHE 44(2) DE LA *LOI SUR L'IMMIGRATION ET LA PROTECTION DES RÉFUGIÉS*

To: the Immigration Division

I have reviewed the report dated D 21 M 10 Y 2024 (Date)

submitted pursuant to Subsection 44(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* concerning

BARRETT
Surname

Spencer Joseph
Given name(s)

Pursuant to Section 44(2) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*, I hereby refer said report to the Immigration Division for an admissibility hearing to determine if the above-mentioned person is a person described in paragraph(s)

A36(2) (b)
of the said Act.
criminality assault (not with weapon)

Dated at Vancouver

in the province of British Columbia

this 22 day of OCTOBER of the year 2024.

Marcus Johnson
Name and Signature of Minister's delegate
Nom et signature du Délégué du ministre

À : la Section de l'immigration

J'ai étudié le rapport fait le J M A (Date)

établi conformément au paragraphe 44(1) de la *Loi sur l'immigration et la protection des réfugiés* concernant

Nom

Prénom(s)

Conformément au paragraphe 44(2) de la *Loi sur l'immigration et la protection des réfugiés*, je défère, par les présentes, ledit rapport à la Section de l'immigration pour enquête afin de déterminer si la personne précitée est visée au(x) paragraphe(s)

de ladite Loi.

Fait à

dans la province de

ce _____ jour de _____ de l'an _____.

THIS FORM HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED BY THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY
FORMULAIRE ÉTABLI PAR LE MINISTRE DE LA SÉCURITÉ PUBLIQUE

Government of Canada / Gouvernement du Canada

PROTECTED - B

REPORT UNDER SUBSECTION 44(1) OF THE IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT

TO: THE MINISTER OF IMMIGRATION, REFUGEES AND CITIZENSHIP CANADA

FROM: W. Powell

UCI: 1142284332

Application no.: N001270938

Document no.: N307739585

In accordance with subsection 44(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*, I hereby report that:

Family name: BARRETT

Given name(s): Spencer Joseph

Date of birth: 1994/04/18 (yyyy/mm/dd)

Sex: Male

Citizenship: United States of America

is a person who is a foreign national who has not been authorized to enter Canada

and who, in my opinion, is inadmissible pursuant to:

Paragraph 36(2)(b) in that there are reasonable grounds to believe is a foreign national who is inadmissible on grounds of criminality for having been convicted outside Canada of an offence that, if committed in Canada, would constitute an indictable offence under an Act of Parliament.

This report is based on the following information that the above-named individual:

- Is not a Canadian citizen
- Is not a permanent resident of Canada
- Was convicted by plea in the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Benton, file number 17CR47488 of Attempt to Commit a Class B Felony, contrary to section 161.405(2)(c) of the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) stemming from an Assault in the Second Degree, ORS section 163.17 after he committed an assault with a weapon on 2017.07.18 which, if committed in Canada equates to Attempts, section 24 of the Criminal Code of Canada (CCC) and Assault with a Weapon or Causing Bodily Harm, contrary to section 267 of the CCC, an indictable offence liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding ten years and/or Possession of Weapon for Dangerous Purpose, contrary to section 88(1) CCC, an indictable offence liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding ten years.

DA[redacted] at CBSA Vancouver Enforcement

on 2024/10/21
(yyyy/mm/dd)

Signature of Officer

Spencer Joseph
BARRETT

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

SUBSECTION A44(1) HIGHLIGHTS / PARAGRAPHE A44(1) - FAITS SAILLANTS

Biographical details / Données biométriques	
UCI / IUC	11-4228-4332
Surname / Nom de famille	BARRETT
Given name(s) / Prénom(s)	Spencer Joseph
Alias(es) / Pseudonyme(s)	
Gender / Sexe	Male
DOB / DDN	1994-04-18
Citizenship / Citoyenneté	United States of America
Country of birth / Pays de naissance	United States of America
Language / Langue	English
Interpreter required / Interprète requis	No -
Current address / Adresse actuel	BCIHC, Surrey, BC
Phone # / No. de téléphone	
E-mail / courriel	

Seized documents / Documents saisis	
Country of issuance / Pays d'émission	
Expiry date / Date d'expiration	
Document # / No. de document	
Nature of document / Nature du document	
Document type / Type de document	
Entry details / Détails sur l'entréé au Canada	
Border jumper / Sauteur de point transfrontaliers	Yes
Refugee claimant / Demandeur d'asile	Yes
Date of entry / Date d'entrée	2024-09-01
Port of entry / Point d'entrée	BC - in between POEs
Status given / sought - Statut donné / solicité (PDE)	FN no status
Valid / requested until - Valide / demandé jusqu'au	
File details / Détails du dossier	
Officer name / Nom de l'agent	POWELL
Allegation / Allégation	A36(1)(b)
Allegation (secondary) / Allégation (secondaire)	A36(2)(b)

Narrative / narratif

Spencer Joseph BARRETT previously sought entry at the Vancouver Amtrak on 2024.08.31 and was issued an allowed to leave due to his criminal record. BARRETT entered Canada by eluding on or about 2024.09.01 at a place other that a port of entry. He was later arrested by Surrey RCMP after they encountered him during a separate investigation. He was subsequently arrested by police under the IRPA and transferred to CBSA custody.

BARRETT was convicted by guilty plea, of the lesser charge of Attempt to Commit a Class B Felony, section 161.405(2)(c) of the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) with the predicate offence of Assault in the Second Degree, ORS section 163.175 in the Benton County, Oregon Circuit Court, file number 17CR47488 on 2017.09.08 and received three years probation including 40 day jail. On 2017.07.18 BARRETT was arrested by Corvallis, Oregon Police Department and charged with 2 counts of assault in the second degree, unlawful use of a weapon and robbery in the third degree. The court Information states that Count 1 and 2 were 2 separate occurrences where BARRETT stabbed Daniel BARRETT with a knife. A daily media police press release in the Corvallis Gazette Times states BARRETT stabbed his father with a knife during an argument and that the victim had non-life threatening injuries. Court documents were obtained from the Circuit Court of Benton County documenting a guilty plea for count 1. 1 count of assault, unlawful use of a weapon and robbery, documented as counts 2 – 4 were dismissed. BARRETT pled guilty to count 1 – assault in the second degree and was convicted of the lesser offence of attempt to commit a class B felony – assault in the second degree.

The information sworn under file number 17CR47488 states that BARRETT did unlawfully and intentionally and/or knowingly cause injury to Daniel BARRETT by stabbing him with a knife. The police media release in the local news paper states that BARRETT stabbed his father during an argument. Police reports documenting the incident were requested from the Corvallis Police Department but the request was denied in accordance with their policies. I contacted the police records department and they advised that the State of Oregon and City of Corvallis had both signed sanctuary type legislation that does not support immigration enforcement. The court states that they will not provide police reports when records are requested from them and they must be obtained from the police.

BARRETT is currently wanted in Oregon for a parole violation warrant issued 2024.08.14.

Reasons / raisons

I recommend BARRETT be convoked to an admissibility hearing and issued a deportation order.

Officer / agent		
Officer signature / signature de l'agent		Date 2024-10-21

Minister's Delegate (MD) / Délégué du ministre (DM)		
MD's name - Nom du DM	Signature	Date
MARCOS JOHNSON		2024-10-22

Minister's Delegate review / examen par le délégué du ministre

44 REPORTS FOUND VALID, REFER TO ADH.

Manager / gestionnaire		
Manager's name / nom du gestionnaire	Signature	Date

Manager's review / examen du gestionnaire

PERSON CONCERNED	Spencer Joseph BARRETT	**PERSONNE CONCERNÉE**
UCI	11-4228-4332	**IUC**
DATE	2024-10-21	**DATE**

SOLEMN DECLARATION - DÉCLARATION SOLENNELLE

I, POWELL, solemnly declare that I am an Inland Enforcement Officer with the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA). I am currently employed at the Pacific Region Inland Enforcement Section (5139) in Vancouver, British Columbia.

Spencer Joseph BARRETT previously sought entry at the Vancouver Amtrak on 2024.08.31 and was issued an allowed to leave due to his criminal record. BARRETT entered Canada by eluding on or about 2024.09.01 at a place other that a port of entry. He was later arrested by Surrey RCMP after they encountered him during a separate investigation. He was subsequently arrested by police under the IRPA and transferred to CBSA custody.

BARRETT was convicted by guilty plea, of the lesser charge of Attempt to Commit a Class B Felony, section 161.405(2)(c) of the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) with the predicate offence of Assault in the Second Degree, ORS section 163.175 in the Benton County, Oregon Circuit Court, file number 17CR47488 on 2017.09.08 and received three years probation including 40 day jail. On 2017.07.18 BARRETT was arrested by Corvallis, Oregon Police Department and charged with 2 counts of assault in the second degree, unlawful use of a weapon and robbery in the third degree. The court Information states that Count 1 and 2 were 2 separate occurrences where BARRETT stabbed Daniel BARRETT with a knife. A daily media police press release in the Corvallis Gazette Times states BARRETT stabbed his father with a knife during an argument and that the victim had non-life threatening injuries. Court documents were obtained from the Circuit Court of Benton County documenting a guilty plea for count 1. 1 count of assault, unlawful use of a weapon and robbery, documented as counts 2 – 4 were dismissed. BARRETT pled guilty to count 1 – assault in the second degree and was convicted of the lesser offence of attempt to commit a class B felony – assault in the second degree.

The information sworn under file number 17CR47488 states that BARRETT did unlawfully and intentionally and/or knowingly cause injury to Daniel BARRETT by stabbing him with a knife. The police media release in the local news paper states that BARRETT stabbed his father during an argument. Police reports documenting the incident were requested from the Corvallis Police Department but the request was denied in accordance with their policies. I contacted the police records department and they advised that the State of Oregon and City of Corvallis had both signed sanctuary type legislation that does not support immigration enforcement. The court states that they will not provide police reports when records are requested from them and they must be obtained from the police.

BARRETT is currently wanted in Oregon for a parole violation warrant issued 2024.08.14.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me in Vancouver, British Columbia on October 21, 2024.

Signature of officer #10344

Signature of declarant

Verified Correct Copy of Original 7/19/2017.

Filed
JUL 19 2017
Benton County Circuit Court
Corvallis, Oregon
Entered

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
FOR THE COUNTY OF BENTON

STATE OF OREGON,
Plaintiff,

vs.

SPENCER JOSEPH BARRETT,
Defendant.

INFORMATION

Court No. 17CR47488
DA No. 1701437

The Defendant is accused by John M. Haroldson, District Attorney for the County of Benton, by this Information, of the crime(s) of:

Count 1: **ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE (FSG= 9; B Felony; ORS 163.175) FPC #JBEN117005884; committed as follows:**

The defendant, on or about July 18, 2017, in Benton County, Oregon, did unlawfully and intentionally and/or knowingly cause physical injury to Daniel Barrett by means of a deadly or dangerous weapon, to wit: a knife, by stabbing said victim.

Count 2: **ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE (FSG= 9; B Felony; ORS 163.175) FPC #JBEN117005884; committed as follows:**

The defendant, on or about July 18, 2017, in Benton County, Oregon, in a separate and distinct act from count 1, did unlawfully and intentionally and/or knowingly cause physical injury to Daniel Barrett by means of a deadly or dangerous weapon, to wit: a knife, by stabbing said victim.

Count 3: **UNLAWFUL USE OF A WEAPON (FSG= 6; C Felony; ORS 166.220(1)(a)) FPC #JBEN117005884; committed as follows:**

The defendant, on or about July 18, 2017, in Benton County, Oregon, did unlawfully attempt to use, carry or possess with intent to use unlawfully against Daniel Barrett, a knife, a dangerous or deadly weapon.

Benton County District Attorney
120 NW 4th Street
Corvallis, OR 97330 (541) 766-6815
(541) 766-6701 FAX

Verified Correct Copy of Original 7.19.2017.

Count 4: **ROBBERY IN THE THIRD DEGREE (FSG= 5; C Felony; ORS 164.395) FPC #JBEN117005884; committed as follows:**

The defendant, on or about July 18, 2017, in Benton County, Oregon, did unlawfully and knowingly, while in the course of committing or attempting to commit theft, and with intent of preventing and overcoming resistance to defendant's taking of property and retention of the property immediately after the taking, use or threaten the immediate use of physical force upon Colleen Barrett.

The State further alleges the conduct alleged in all the above counts is of the same or similar character, or is based on the same act or transaction, or is based on two or more acts or transactions connected together or constituting parts of a common scheme or plan.

All of the above-described conduct being contrary to statute and against the peace and dignity of the State of Oregon.

DATED: 19 July 2017 Dan Matthews

JOHN M. HAROLDSON
DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR BENTON COUNTY, OREGON

BY: _____
Andrew W. Jordan, OSB No. 133990
Deputy District Attorney

Arresting Agency Case #: CVP: 2017C04299

IDENTIFIERS: W/M Ht: 6'02 Wt: 160 Hair: BLN Eyes: BLU DOB: 04/18/1994

Benton County District Attorney
120 NW 4th Street
Corvallis, OR 97330 (541) 766-6815
(541) 766-6701 FAX

IN [redacted]E CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF BENTON

State of Oregon, Plaintiff	)	
	)	Case No.: 17CR47488
vs.	)	
	)	**JUDGMENT**
Spencer Joseph Barrett, Defendant	)	Case File Date: 07/19/2017 District Attorney File #: 1701437

DEFENDANT

True Name: Spencer Joseph Barrett
Date Of Birth: 04/18/1994
Fingerprint Control No (FPN): JBEN117005884
Sex: Male
State Identification No (SID): 22418875OR

HEARING

Proceeding Date: 09/08/2017
Judge: David B Connell

Defendant appeared in person and was in custody. The defendant was represented by Attorney(s) DAVID A CORDEN, OSB Number 910339. Plaintiff appeared by and through Attorney(s) Matthew Dan Ipson, OSB Number 136554. Defendant knowingly waived two day waiting period before sentencing.

COUNT(S)

It is adjudged that the defendant has been convicted on the following count(s):

Count 1 : Attempt to Commit a Class B Felony - Assault in the Second Degree

Count number 1, Attempt to Commit a Class B Felony - Assault in the Second Degree, 161.405(2)(c), Felony Class C, a lesser included charge, committed on or about 07/18/2017. Conviction is based upon a Guilty Plea on 09/08/2017.

Sentencing Guidelines

The Crime Severity Classification (CSC) on Count Number 1 is 8 and the Criminal History Classification (CHC) is I.

The court finds substantial and compelling reason for a Downward Dispositional Departure, as stated on the record. This departure is pursuant to the following aggravating or mitigating factor(s):

- By stipulation.

https://gazettetimes.com/news/local/police-log-july-20/article_97a9ed0f-1ccd-5cc5-a448-451c4bb87e96.html

Police Log (July 20)

Jul 19, 2017



GODOFREDO VASQUEZ, Gazette-Times

This log includes incidents in which there might have been a public disturbance or a risk to the public. Information comes from the Corvallis Police Department and the Benton County Sheriff's Office. It does not include all calls for

service. The status of incidents might change after further investigation. Locations are approximate. People arrested or suspected in crimes are considered innocent until proven otherwise.

Corvallis Police Department

TUESDAY, JULY 18

VIOLENT CONDUCT: 10:25 a.m., Northwest Circle Boulevard and Northwest Ninth Street, Corvallis. Police arrested Owen Thomas Simonds, 28, of Philomath, for violent conduct after he grabbed a man's cardboard sign and tried to push the man into the road on Northwest Circle Boulevard near the Market of Choice entrance. When asked why he confronted the man, Simonds told police the man was not allowed "to fly cardboard on private property."

People are also reading...

FRAUD: 10:32 a.m., 3900 block of Northwest Witham Hill Drive, Corvallis. A woman reported she had responded to a Craigslist ad to paint a home on the 2600 block of Northwest Highland Drive. She communicated with a "Daniel Alan" by text and email to do the job for $2,000. The woman told police she received a check for $4,500 with instructions to give the additional money to another contractor who was going to paint the house but needed money to fly to the location. The woman stated she told him she was uncomfortable doing that, and he began texting her threats before cutting off contact.

ATTEMPTED THEFT BY DECEPTION: 11:34 a.m., 1500 block of Northwest Van Buren Avenue, Corvallis. A woman reported she was contacted by a Dr. Greg Crawford from Bally Technologies Inc. for a job opportunity. The woman said the doctor sent her a check, and she deposited the check as instructed. She then found

her bank account had been completely emptied and had a negative balance of $1,374. The woman told police she never met Crawford face-to-face and only corresponded through email and text. Police were unable to contact Crawford.

ASSAULT: 9:29 p.m., 3800 Northwest Boxwood Drive, Corvallis. Police responded to a report of a stabbing in progress. Officers were told Spencer Barrett, 23, of Corvallis, stabbed his father with a knife during an argument. The victim had non-life threatening injuries. Spencer was located with the assistance of a Benton County Sheriff's Office K-9 unit and taken to the Benton County Jail. He faces charges of second-degree assault, third-degree robbery and second-degree criminal mischief.

BARRETT, SPENCER JOSEPH

Jul 24, 2017

BARRETT, SPENCER JOSEPH

Arrested: 7/18/2017

Arresting Agency: Corvallis PD

Age: 23
Sex: M
Height: 6-02
Hair: BLN

Birth: 4/18/1994

Race: W
Weight: 150
Eye[redacted]LU

Total Bail:**$353,500.00**

Charge Information

ASSAULT II
ROBBERY III
CRIM MISCHIEF III
ASSAULT II
UNLAW USE OF WEAPON

As featured on

Gallery: Benton County Mugs for July 2017

STATE OF OREGON
BOARD OF PAROLE/POST-PRISON SUPERVISION

NAME: Spencer Joseph Barrett

WARRANT/SID#: 22418875

DOB: Apr 18, 1994

COUNTY OF SUPERVISION: Lane

WARRANT FOR
SUPERVISION VIOLATION and

ORDER OF SUPERVISION
SUSPENSION AND DETENTION

NCIC Shuttle

WHEREABOUTS UNKNOWN

WARRANT ISSUED FOR:	**ORS#**	**Felony Class**
PAROLE VIOLATION/ABSCOND	**144.340**	**N/A**
ON SUPERVISION FOR:	**ORS#**	**Felony Class**
ASSAULT III	**163.165**	**BF**

TO: ANY SHERIFF, PEACE OFFICER, PAROLE OFFICE, OR CORRECTIONS OFFICER

THE ABOVE NAMED PERSON HAS VIOLATED THE CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION. THE OREGON BOARD OF PAROLE AND POST-PRISON SUPERVISION HEREBY SUSPENDS THE SUPERVISION OF THE ABOVE NAMED PERSON WHO WAS RELEASED ON SUPERVISION ON 02/23/2024.

YOU ARE HEREBY AUTHORIZED AND DIRECTED TO TAKE CUSTODY AND HAVE THIS PERSON DETAINED UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE OREGON BOARD OF PAROLE AND POST-PRISON SUPERVISION.

THIS IS A NO BAIL WARRANT. EFFECTIVE DATE: 08/14/2024

CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF PAROLE
ORS 144.331; 144.340

WARRANT AUTHORIZATION / SUSPENSION ORDER

161.295 Effect of qualifying mental disorder; guilty except for insanity
161.300 Evidence of qualifying mental disorder admissible as to intent
161.305 Qualifying mental disorder as affirmative defense
161.309 Notice and report prerequisite to defense; content
161.313 Jury instructions; insanity
161.315 Right of state to obtain mental examination of defendant; limitations
161.319 Form of verdict on guilty except for insanity
161.325 Entry of judgment of guilty except for insanity; dispositional order
161.326 Notice to victim
161.327 Commitment or conditional release of person found guilty except for insanity of felony; appeal
161.328 Commitment of person found guilty except for insanity of misdemeanor
161.329 Order of discharge
161.332 "Conditional release" defined
161.336 Conditional release by board; termination or modification of conditional release; hearing
161.341 Application for discharge or conditional release; release plan; examination; right to hearing
161.346 Hearings on discharge, conditional release, commitment or modification; psychiatric reports; notice of hearing
161.348 Judicial review
161.349 Person committed under ORS 161.315 to 161.351 sentenced to term of incarceration
161.351 Discharge by board; effect of remission; protection of society
161.360 Qualifying mental disorder affecting fitness to proceed
161.365 Procedure for determining issue of fitness to proceed; rules
161.370 Determination of fitness; effect of finding of unfitness; proceedings if fitness gained or regained; pretrial objections by defense counsel
161.375 Escape of person placed at hospital or facility; authority to order arrest
161.385 Psychiatric Security Review Board; composition, term, qualifications, compensation, appointment, confirmation and meetings
161.387 Board to implement policies; rulemaking
161.390 Rules for assignment of persons to state mental hospitals or secure intensive community inpatient facilities; release plan prepared by Oregon Health Authority
161.392 Certification of psychiatrists and licensed psychologists; rules; fees
161.395 Subpoena power
161.397 Psychiatric Security Review Board Account
161.398 Restorative justice program; rules
161.400 Leave of absence; notice to board

INCHOATE CRIMES

161.405 "Attempt" described
161.425 Impossibility not a defense
161.430 Renunciation as a defense to attempt
161.435 Solicitation
161.440 Renunciation as defense to solicitation
161.450 "Criminal conspiracy" described
161.455 Conspiratorial relationship
161.460 Renunciation as defense to conspiracy
161.465 Duration of conspiracy
161.475 Defenses to solicitation and conspiracy
161.485 Multiple convictions barred in inchoate crimes

CLASSES OF OFFENSES

161.505 "Offense" described
161.515 "Crime" described
161.525 "Felony" described
161.535 Classification of felonies
161.545 "Misdemeanor" described
161.555 Classification of misdemeanors
161.566 Misdemeanor treated as violation; prosecuting attorney's election
161.568 Misdemeanor treated as violation; court's election
161.570 Felony treated as misdemeanor
161.585 Classification of certain crimes determined by punishment

DISPOSITION OF OFFENDERS

161.605 Maximum terms of imprisonment for felonies
161.610 Enhanced penalty for use of firearm during commission of felony; pleading; minimum penalties; suspension or reduction of penalty
161.615 Maximum terms of imprisonment for misdemeanors
161.620 Sentences imposed upon waiver from juvenile court
161.625 Fines for felonies
161.635 Fines for misdemeanors
161.645 Standards for imposing fines
161.655 Fines for corporations
161.665 Costs
161.675 Time and method of payment of fines, restitution and costs
161.685 Effect of nonpayment of fines, restitution or costs; report to consumer reporting agency; rules

AUTHORITY OF SENTENCING COURT

161.705 Reduction of certain felonies to misdemeanors
161.710 Reduction of certain felony driving offenses after completion of sentence
161.715 Standards for discharge of defendant
161.725 Standards for sentencing of dangerous offenders
161.735 Procedure for determining whether defendant dangerous
161.737 Sentence imposed on dangerous offender as departure from sentencing guidelines

(c) Are not admissible as evidence in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding, including board proceedings and deliberations.

(3) The board may adopt rules to carry out the provisions of this section. [2017 c.442 §1]

161.400 Leave of absence; notice to board. If, at any time after the commitment of a person to a state hospital or a secure intensive community inpatient facility under ORS 161.315 to 161.351, the superintendent of the hospital or the director of the facility is of the opinion that a leave of absence from the hospital or facility would be therapeutic for the person and that such leave would pose no substantial danger to others, the superintendent or director may authorize such leave for up to 48 hours in accordance with rules adopted by the Psychiatric Security Review Board. However, the superintendent or director, before authorizing the leave of absence, shall first notify the board for the purposes of ORS 161.326. [1981 c.711 §12; 2005 c.685 §9; 2011 c.708 §11; 2017 c.442 §10]

Note: The amendments to 161.400 by section 10, chapter 442, Oregon Laws 2017, become operative July 1, 2018. See section 36, chapter 442, Oregon Laws 2017. The text that is operative until July 1, 2018, is set forth for the user's convenience.

161.400. If, at any time after the commitment of a person to a state hospital or a secure intensive community inpatient facility under ORS 161.315 to 161.351, the superintendent of the hospital or the director of the facility is of the opinion that a leave of absence from the hospital or facility would be therapeutic for the person and that such leave would pose no substantial danger to others, the superintendent or director may authorize such leave for up to 48 hours in accordance with rules adopted by the agency having jurisdiction over the person. However, the superintendent or director, before authorizing the leave of absence, shall first notify the agency for the purposes of ORS 161.326.

161.403 [1983 c.800 §14; repealed by 1993 c.77 §1]

INCHOATE CRIMES

161.405 "Attempt" described. (1) A person is guilty of an attempt to commit a crime when the person intentionally engages in conduct which constitutes a substantial step toward commission of the crime.

(2) An attempt is a:

(a) Class A felony if the offense attempted is murder or treason.

(b) Class B felony if the offense attempted is a Class A felony.

(c) Class C felony if the offense attempted is a Class B felony.

(d) Class A misdemeanor if the offense attempted is a Class C felony or an unclassified felony.

(e) Class B misdemeanor if the offense attempted is a Class A misdemeanor.

(f) Class C misdemeanor if the offense attempted is a Class B misdemeanor.

(g) Violation if the offense attempted is a Class C misdemeanor or an unclassified misdemeanor. [1971 c.743 §54]

161.425 Impossibility not a defense. In a prosecution for an attempt, it is no defense that it was impossible to commit the crime which was the object of the attempt where the conduct engaged in by the actor would be a crime if the circumstances were as the actor believed them to be. [1971 c.743 §55]

161.430 Renunciation as a defense to attempt. (1) A person is not liable under ORS 161.405 if, under circumstances manifesting a voluntary and complete renunciation of the criminal intent of the person, the person avoids the commission of the crime attempted by abandoning the criminal effort and, if mere abandonment is insufficient to accomplish this avoidance, doing everything necessary to prevent the commission of the attempted crime.

(2) The defense of renunciation is an affirmative defense. [1971 c.743 §56]

161.435 Solicitation. (1) A person commits the crime of solicitation if with the intent of causing another to engage in specific conduct constituting a crime punishable as a felony or as a Class A misdemeanor or an attempt to commit such felony or Class A misdemeanor the person commands or solicits such other person to engage in that conduct.

(2) Solicitation is a:

(a) Class A felony if the offense solicited is murder or treason.

(b) Class B felony if the offense solicited is a Class A felony.

(c) Class C felony if the offense solicited is a Class B felony.

(d) Class A misdemeanor if the offense solicited is a Class C felony.

(e) Class B misdemeanor if the offense solicited is a Class A misdemeanor. [1971 c.743 §57]

161.440 Renunciation as defense to solicitation. (1) It is a defense to the crime of solicitation that the person soliciting the crime, after soliciting another person to commit a crime, persuaded the person solicited not to commit the crime or otherwise prevented the commission of the crime, under circumstances manifesting a complete and voluntary renunciation of the criminal intent.

(2) The defense of renunciation is an affirmative defense. [1971 c.743 §58]

while the operator is in control of the taxi; or

(j) Intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causes physical injury to a flagger or a highway worker while the flagger or highway worker is performing official duties.

(2)(a) Assault in the third degree is a Class C felony.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this subsection, assault in the third degree under subsection (1)(a) or (b) of this section is a Class B felony if:

(A) The assault resulted from the operation of a motor vehicle; and

(B) The defendant was the driver of the motor vehicle and was driving while under the influence of intoxicants.

(3) As used in this section:

(a) "Flagger" has the meaning given that term in ORS 811.230.

(b) "Highway worker" has the meaning given that term in ORS 811.230.

(c) "Staff member" means:

(A) A corrections officer as defined in ORS 181A.355, a youth correction officer, a youth correction facility staff member, a Department of Corrections or Oregon Youth Authority staff member or a person employed pursuant to a contract with the department or youth authority to work with, or in the vicinity of, inmates, youth or youth offenders; and

(B) A volunteer authorized by the department, youth authority or other entity in charge of a corrections facility to work with, or in the vicinity of, inmates, youth or youth offenders.

(d) "Youth correction facility" has the meaning given that term in ORS 162.135. [1971 c.743 §92; 1977 c.297 §3; 1991 c.475 §1; 1991 c.564 §1; 1995 c.738 §1; 1997 c.249 §49; 1999 c.1011 §1; 2001 c.104 §50; 2001 c.830 §1; 2001 c.851 §4; 2009 c.660 §39; 2009 c.783 §3; 2011 c.529 §1; 2011 c.703 §27; 2017 c.658 §1]

163.168 Crime category classification for assault in the third degree. The Oregon Criminal Justice Commission shall classify assault in the third degree that is committed under the circumstances described in ORS 163.165 (2)(b) as crime category 8 of the sentencing guidelines grid of the commission. [2009 c.660 §40]

Note: 163.168 was enacted into law by the Legislative Assembly but was not added to or made a part of ORS chapter 163 or any series therein by legislative action. See Preface to Oregon Revised Statutes for further explanation.

163.175 Assault in the second degree. (1) A person commits the crime of assault in the second degree if the person:

(a) Intentionally or knowingly causes serious physical injury to another;

(b) Intentionally or knowingly causes physical injury to another by means of a deadly or dangerous weapon; or

(c) Recklessly causes serious physical injury to another by means of a deadly or dangerous weapon under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life.

(2) Assault in the second degree is a Class B felony. [1971 c.743 §93; 1975 c.626 §1; 1977 c.297 §2; 2005 c.22 §110]

163.185 Assault in the first degree. (1) A person commits the crime of assault in the first degree if the person:

(a) Intentionally causes serious physical injury to another by means of a deadly or dangerous weapon;

(b) Intentionally or knowingly causes serious physical injury to a child under six years of age;

(c) Violates ORS 163.175 knowing that the victim is pregnant; or

(d) Intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causes serious physical injury to another while operating a motor vehicle under the influence of intoxicants in violation of ORS 813.010 and:

(A) The person has at least three previous convictions for driving while under the influence of intoxicants under ORS 813.010, or its statutory counterpart in any jurisdiction, in the 10 years prior to the date of the current offense; or

(B)(i) The person has a previous conviction for any of the crimes described in subsection (2) of this section, or their statutory counterparts in any jurisdiction; and

(ii) The victim's death or serious physical injury in the previous conviction was caused by the person driving a motor vehicle.

(2) The previous convictions to which subsection (1)(d)(B) of this section apply are:

(a) Manslaughter in the first degree under ORS 163.118;

(b) Manslaughter in the second degree under ORS 163.125;

(c) Criminally negligent homicide under ORS 163.145;

(d) Assault in the first degree under this section;

(e) Assault in the second degree under ORS 163.175; or

(f) Assault in the third degree under ORS 163.165.

(3) Assault in the first degree is a Class A felony.

(4) It is an affirmative defense to a prosecution under subsection (1)(d)(B) of this section that the defendant was not under the



OregonLaws

/ ORS / Vol. 4 / Title 16 / Chap. 163. Offenses Against Persons / § 163.175

the third degree

163.175 Assault in the second degree

163.185 Assault in the first degree

163.187 Strangulation

163.190 Menacing

163.191 Intimidation by display of a noose

163.192 Endangering a person protected by a Family Abuse Prevention Act restraining order

163.193 Assisting another person to commit suicide

ORS 163.175
Assault in the second degree

Text | Annotations

(1) A person commits the crime of assault in the second degree if the person:

(a) Intentionally or knowingly causes serious physical injury to another;

(b) Intentionally or knowingly causes physical injury to another by means of a deadly or dangerous weapon; **or**

(c) Recklessly causes serious physical injury to another by means of a deadly or dangerous weapon under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life.

(2) Assault in the second degree is a Class B felony. [1971 c.743 §93; 1975 c.626 §1; 1977 c.297 §2; 2005 c.22 §110]

Source: Section 163.175 — Assault in the second degree, https://www.oregonlegislature.gov/bills_laws/ors/ors163.html.

ORS 161.405 – "Attempt" described (public.law)

section 265(1)
Assault

265(1) A person commits an assault when (a) without the consent of another person, he applies force intentionally to that other person, directly or indirectly; (b) he attempts or threatens, by an act or a gesture, to apply force to another person, if he has, or causes that other person to believe on reasonable grounds that he has, present ability to effect hi...

INTRODUCTION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION

Section **265(1)** defines **assault** as the intentional application of force without **consent,** attempting or threatening to apply force, or accosting or impeding another **person** while openly carrying a **weapon** or an imitation thereof.

SECTION WORDING

265(1) A **person** commits an **assault** when (a) without the **consent** of another **person,** he applies force intentionally to that other **person,** directly or indirectly; (b) he **attempts** or threatens, by an **act** or a gesture, to apply force to another **person,** if he has, or causes that other **person** to believe on reasonable grounds that he has, present ability to effect his purpose; or (c) while openly wearing or carrying a **weapon** or an imitation thereof, he accosts or impedes another **person** or begs.

Criminal Code of Canada - section 265(1) - Assault (criminal-code.ca)

section 267

Assault with a weapon or causing bodily harm

267 Every one who, in committing an assault, (a) carries, uses or threatens to use a weapon or an imitation thereof, or (b) causes bodily harm to the complainant, is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding ten years or an offence punishable on summary conviction and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding eighteen months.

---

section 267

INTRODUCTION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION

Assault with a **weapon** or causing **bodily harm** is an indictable offense punishable by a maximum of **10** years imprisonment.

SECTION WORDING

267 Every one who, in committing an **assault,** (a) carries, uses or threatens to use a **weapon** or an imitation thereof, or (b) causes **bodily harm** to the **complainant,** is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding ten years or an offence punishable on summary conviction and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding eighteen months.

Criminal Code of Canada - section 267 - Assault with a weapon or causing bodily harm (criminal-code.ca)

section 2
Definition of weapon

2. In this Act, weapon means any thing used, designed to be used or intended for use (a) in causing death or injury to any person, or (b) for the purpose of threatening or intimidating any person and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, includes a firearm;

---

section 2

INTRODUCTION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION

The Criminal Code sets out the definition of the term **weapon** at section **2.** Notably, the Code does not require that the **weapon** be designed for use as a **weapon,** such as a knife or brass knuckles, but rather includes anything that is used to cause injury. This could include, for example, a set of keys or remote-control thrown at an individual.

SECTION WORDING

2. In this **Act, weapon means** any thing used, designed to be used or intended for use (a) in causing **death** or injury to any **person,** or (b) for the purpose of threatening or intimidating any **person** and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, includes a **firearm;**

Criminal Code of Canada - section 2 - Definition of weapon (criminal-code.ca)

Criminal Code (R.S.C. (Revised Statutes of Canada), 1985, c. C-46)
Act current to 2024-09-16 and on 2024-09-01.

Attempts, accessories

463 Except where otherwise expressly provided by law, the following provisions apply in respect of persons who attempt to commit or are accessories after the fact to the commission of offences:

(a) every one who attempts to commit or is an accessory after the fact to the commission of an indictable offence for which, on conviction, an accused is liable to be sentenced to imprisonment for life is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding fourteen years;

(b) every one who attempts to commit or is an accessory after the fact to the commission of an indictable offence for which, on conviction, an accused is liable to imprisonment for fourteen years or less is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term that is one-half of the longest term to which a person who is guilty of that offence is liable;

(c) every one who attempts to commit or is an accessory after the fact to the commission of an offence punishable on summary conviction is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction; and

(d) every one who attempts to commit or is an accessory after the fact to the commission of an offence for which the offender may be prosecuted by indictment or for which he is punishable on summary conviction

(i) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding a term that is one-half of the longest term to which a person who is guilty of that offence is liable, or

(ii) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.

R.S., 1985, c. C-46, s. 463; R.S., 1985, c. 27 (1st Supp.), s. 59; 1998, c. 35, s. 120.

Date modified:
2024-10-15

Possession Offences

Possession of weapon for dangerous purpose

88 (1) Every person commits an offence who carries or possesses a weapon, an imitation of a weapon, a prohibited device or any ammunition or prohibited ammunition for a purpose dangerous to the public peace or for the purpose of committing an offence.

Punishment

(2) Every person who commits an offence under subsection (1)

(a) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding ten years; or

(b) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.

R.S., 1985, c. C-46, s. 88 1995, c. 39, s. 139

weapon means any thing used, designed to be used or intended for use

(a) in causing death or injury to any person, or

(b) for the purpose of threatening or intimidating any person

and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, includes a firearm and, for the purposes of sections 88, 267 and 272, any thing used, designed to be used or intended for use in binding or tying up a person against their will; (*arme*)

Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada

DOB:
1994-04-18

Mr. Spencer BARRETT BHARATA

Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Security Classification / Designation
Classification / Désignation sécuritaire

Surrey RCMP
14355 57th Avenue
Surrey, BC V3X 1A9

Your file / Votre référence

November 27, 2024

Our file / Notre référence
SRY2024-171139

Mr. Spencer Barrett Bharata

Dear Mr. Barrett Bharata:

This letter shall serve to acknowledge your request to informally resolve your complaint against members of the Surrey RCMP, which was received by Surrey RCMP on November 14, 2024.

A photocopy of the signed Form 4110 has been attached for your retention. I trust this matter is now concluded to your satisfaction.

Yours sincerely,

Gurmakh Parmar, Superintendent
Operations Officer Core Policing
Surrey Detachment

/ew

Canada

Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Protected A once completed

PIB | PPU-085

Public Complaint - Investigative Guide

Note: Before completing this form, please ensure that a Civilian Review and Complaints Commission for the RCMP (CRCC) Public Complaint Form has been completed and submitted.

Complaint

Please Select Complaint Status (•) New ( ) Modification/Update

Complainant Number 1

Surname	Given Name	Date of Birth (yyyy-mm-dd)
BARRETT BHARATA	Spencer	1994-04-18

Official Language of Choice	Address	
(•) English ( ) French		

City	Province or Country	Postal/Zip Code
	British Columbia	

Tel. No. (include area code)	Other Tel. No. (include area code)	Email
604-899-4475		info@bcic.ca

Subject Employee

Appendix A has one Table of Allegations to be completed for each Subject Employee.

No.	Surname	Given Name	Rank	Reg. No.	HRMIS No.	Date Employee Advised (yyyy-mm-dd)
1	WONG	Gary	Cst.	63206	000273842	
2	KITSUL	Jeff	Cpl.	61412	000252167	

Occurrence Date/Time/Place - On or Between

☐ The complaint is made more than one year after the day on which the conduct is alleged to have occurred. The complainant is requesting an extension to the time limit and is providing a rationale, in order to determine if a time extension will be granted. (attach the rationale as provided by the complainant)

Occurrence Start Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Occurrence Start Time (hh:mm)	Occurrence End Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Occurrence End Time (hh:mm)
2024-10-11	08:00		

Place Incident Occurred

Allegations - Details of Complaint

Clarify the allegations. Be brief, but identify and include all allegations. Classification of complaints and table of allegations are available in appendices A and B. (this field expands)

Mr. Barrett Bharata stated that, on October 11, 2024 at approximately 8:00 a.m., he was in the Whalley baseball park when he was confronted by two police officers (later determined to be Constable Wong and Corporal Kitsul). Mr. Barrett Bharata reported that police then used excessive force to handcuff Mr. Barrett Bharata when he would not provide his name, even though he had committed no crime. He stated he was struck in the temple and leg after refusing to allow police to handcuff him, and these strikes left him with injuries. Police did not inform him that he had committed a crime or the nature of the crime he was allegedly suspected of and police had no surveillance or recording equipment operating. Constable Wong tried to explain that Mr. Barrett Bharata had been "obstructing justice" and resisting arrest, which warranted the use of force; however, Mr. Barrett Bharata replied that he had never been informed that he was trespassing, so he was unaware that he was not permitted in the park. Mr. Barrett Bharata is claiming asylum in Canada.

Nature of Complaint:
THE COMPLAINANT ALLEGED THAT ON OCTOBER 11TH, 2024:

ALLEGATION 1: IMPROPER USE OF FORCE - PHYSICAL CONTROL - CONSTABLE WONG AND CORPORAL KITSUL USED IMPROPER FORCE AND STRIKES TO PLACE MR. BARRETT BHARATA INTO HANDCUFFS.

ALLEGATION 2: IMPROPER ARREST - CONSTABLE WONG AND CORPORAL KITSUL DID NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO DEMAND MR. BARRETT BHARATA'S NAME OR TO ARREST HIM, AS HE HAD NOT COMMITTED AN OFFENCE.

What would you like to achieve through this complaint process? (this field expands)

Acknowledgement of Complainant Number 1

I am the complainant in this public complaint matter. I have reviewed the details of my complaint described in this part of the document. The information contained herein is complete, true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Signature	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)

COPY

Canada

Public Complaint - Investigative Guide

Protected A
once completed

Date Complaint Lodged/Received

Complaint Received by (CRCC, RCMP or Provincial Authority)	Date Complaint Received (yyyy-mm-dd)	Date NPCD Advised (yyyy-mm-dd)
CRCC	2024-10-20	2024-11-14

Method that the Complaint was Lodged/Received: ○ In Person ○ By Letter ○ By Fax ○ By Phone ○ Email ◉ Online

Investigator Name (type or print)	Investigator Signature	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)
Corporal Jeevan HEIR		2024-11-26

Distribution List

A - Complainant B - Subject Employee C - District and Detachment D - Division Professional Responsibility Unit E - NPCD - NHQ

Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Protected A once completed

PIB | PPU-085

Public Complaint - Disposition

Type of Complaint

Please Select Complaint Type ○ Non-Part VII of the *RCMP Act* ◉ Public Complaint ○ *Canadian Victims Bill of Rights*

File Identification

Division or Other Location	Detachment Name
E	Surrey, BC

Public Complaint PROS/PRIME File Number	HRMIS Incident File Number	Civilian Review and Complaints Commission (CRCC) File Number
2024-90012 / 2024-171139	53657	2024-3889

Voluntary Statement Forms

Ask the individuals if they would like to provide a voluntary statement.
If applicable, please complete the appropriate forms via the Infoweb Forms Catalogue:

- RCMP form 6444e Public Complaint (Part VII *RCMP Act*) - Non-Employee Witness Statement
- RCMP form 6441e Public Complaint (Part VII *RCMP Act*) - Employee Statement

Informal Resolution

Note: Can be resolved at any stage of the process.

Details of Informal Resolution (required if informal resolution is achieved; this field expands)

Mr. BARRETT felt that Constable WONG and Corporal KITSUL did not have to use force and could have been more kind and respectful when dealing with him as well as explained to him the nature of the crime he had committed;

Mr. BARRETT believed that Constable WONG and Corporal KITSUL could have explained the bylaw and the arrest in a more thorough manner; and

Mr. BARRETT felt that Constable WONG and Corporal KITSUL could have explained the reason for obtaining his identification in a more articulate manner.

Mr. Barrett feels like police should wear body cameras & modernized training. JB

Subject Employee and Complainant's Consent No. 1

By consenting to this informal resolution, it is agreed and understood that no further investigation of this complaint is necessary, nor will it be undertaken, and that this complaint will be considered concluded following the completion of any actions agreed to and noted in the informal resolution. Any answer or statement provided by a person during the course of an informal resolution cannot be used against that person in any other proceedings, except in a prosecution under section 132 (perjury) or 136 (witness giving contradictory evidence) of the *Criminal Code* or in a civil or administrative proceeding regarding an allegation that the person gave an answer or statement knowing it to be false, with the intention to mislead.

Consent of Subject Employee		Consent of Complainant	
◉ Subject Employee Consenting to Informal Resolution ○ Subject Employee not Consenting to Informal Resolution		◉ Complainant Consenting to Informal Resolution ○ Complainant not Consenting to Informal Resolution	
Name: Corporal Jeff KITSUL		Name: MR. BARRETT BHARATA	
Signature [signature]	Date (yyyy-mm-dd) 2024-11-26	Signature X JB	Date (yyyy-mm-dd) 2024-11-24

Subject Employee and Complainant's Consent No. 2

By consenting to this informal resolution, it is agreed and understood that no further investigation of this complaint is necessary, nor will it be undertaken, and that this complaint will be considered concluded following the completion of any actions agreed to and noted in the informal resolution. Any answer or statement provided by a person during the course of an informal resolution cannot be used against that person in any other proceedings, except in a prosecution under section 132 (perjury) or 136 (witness giving contradictory evidence) of the *Criminal Code* or in a civil or administrative proceeding regarding an allegation that the person gave an answer or statement knowing it to be false, with the intention to mislead.

Consent of Subject Employee		Consent of Complainant	
◉ Subject Employee Consenting to Informal Resolution ○ Subject Employee not Consenting to Informal Resolution		◉ Complainant Consenting to Informal Resolution ○ Complainant not Consenting to Informal Resolution	
Name: Constable Gary WONG		Name: MR. BARRETT BHARATA	
Signature [signature]	Date (yyyy-mm-dd) 24/11/26	Signature X JB	Date (yyyy-mm-dd) 2024-11-24

Facilitator Attestation

I attest that all the commitments made in reaching this informal resolution have been carried out and that the complainant has been so informed.

Facilitator Name (type or print)	Facilitator Signature	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)
Cpl. Jarvis Hetr	[signature]	2024-11-26

Withdrawal

☐ I wish to withdraw my complaint.

See more details at table of allegations page for each specific subject employee.

Subject Employee Name	Complainant Name	Complainant Signature	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)

Canada

Public Complaint - Disposition

Protected A once completed

Subject Employee Name	Complainant Name	Complainant Signature	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)

RCMP Notice of Direction Reviewed and Sent / Final Report Reviewed and Sent

☐ Notice of Direction Reviewed and Sent ☐ Final Report Reviewed and Sent

Detachment/Unit Commander Signature

Detachment/Unit Commander Name (type or print)	Detachment/Unit Commander Signature	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)
Supt. G.B. PARMAR, 0.3291		2024-11-27

Distribution List

A - Complainant B - Subject Employee C - District and Detachment D - Division Professional Responsibility Unit E - NPCD - NHQ

Detachment

Public Complaint - Disposition

Protected A
once completed

Appendix A - Table of Allegations

Table of Allegations for Employee Number 1

Employee Surname	Employee Given Name	Rank	Reg. No.	HRMIS No.	Date Employee Advised (yyyy-mm-dd)
WONG	Gary	Cst.	63206	000273842	

Classification	Number	Informal	Terminated	Withdrawn	Investigated	Supported	Unsupported
A - Improper Attitude							
B1 - Improper Use of Force - CEW							
B2 - Improper Use of Force - OC Spray							
B3 - Improper Use of Force - Defensive Baton							
B4 - Improper Use of Force - Restraints							
B5 - Improper Use of Force - Physical Control	1	1					
B6 - Improper Use of Force - Dog Bite							
B7 - Improper Use of Force - Other							
C - Improper Use of Firearms							
D - Irregularity in Procedure							
E - Driving Irregularity							
F1 - Neglect of Duty							
F2 - Neglect of Duty - Public Complaint Process							
G - Statutory Offences							
H - Mishandling of Property							
I - Irregularity of Evidence							
J - Oppressive Conduct							
K - Improper Arrest	1	1					
L - Improper Persons/Vehicles Search							
M - Improper Search of Premises							
N - Policy							
O - Equipment							
P - Service							
Q1 - Bias - Race							
Q2 - Bias - National or Ethnic Origin							
Q3 - Bias - Colour							
Q4 - Bias - Religion							
Q5 - Bias - Age							
Q6 - Bias - Sex							
Q7 - Bias - Sexual Orientation							
Q8 - Bias - Gender Identity or Expression							
Q9 - Bias - Marital Status							
R1 - Bias - Family Status							
R2 - Bias - Genetic Characteristics							
R3 - Bias - Disability							
R4 - Bias - Pardon Granted / Record Suspended							
R5 - Bias - Other (combination of grounds)							
Totals:	2	2					

Public Complaint - Disposition

Protected A
once completed

Table of Allegations for Employee Number 2

Employee Surname	Employee Given Name	Rank	Reg. No.	HRMIS No.	Date Employee Advised (yyyy-mm-dd)
KITSUL	Jeff	Cpl.	61412	000252167	

Classification	Number	Informal	Terminated	Withdrawn	Investigated	Supported	Unsupported
A - Improper Attitude							
B1 - Improper Use of Force - CEW							
B2 - Improper Use of Force - OC Spray							
B3 - Improper Use of Force - Defensive Baton							
B4 - Improper Use of Force - Restraints							
B5 - Improper Use of Force - Physical Control	1	1					
B6 - Improper Use of Force - Dog Bite							
B7 - Improper Use of Force - Other							
C - Improper Use of Firearms							
D - Irregularity in Procedure							
E - Driving Irregularity							
F1 - Neglect of Duty							
F2 - Neglect of Duty - Public Complaint Process							
G - Statutory Offences							
H - Mishandling of Property							
I - Irregularity of Evidence							
J - Oppressive Conduct							
K - Improper Arrest	1	1					
L - Improper Persons/Vehicles Search							
M - Improper Search of Premises							
N - Policy							
O - Equipment							
P - Service							
Q1 - Bias - Race							
Q2 - Bias - National or Ethnic Origin							
Q3 - Bias - Colour							
Q4 - Bias - Religion							
Q5 - Bias - Age							
Q6 - Bias - Sex							
Q7 - Bias - Sexual Orientation							
Q8 - Bias - Gender Identity or Expression							
Q9 - Bias - Marital Status							
R1 - Bias - Family Status							
R2 - Bias - Genetic Characteristics							
R3 - Bias - Disability							
R4 - Bias - Pardon Granted / Record Suspended							
R5 - Bias - Other (combination of grounds)							
Totals:	2	2					

Appendix B - Classification of Complaints (Includes Part VII and Non-Part VII Complaints)

A. **Improper Attitude**
Public complaints (PCs) about members' deportment are often, but not exclusively, recognized by the terms abusive, rude, vulgar, profane, discourteous, impolite, disrespectful, sarcastic, arrogant, indifferent, angry, obnoxious, belligerent, aggressive, intimidating, threatening, combative, provoking, ridiculing, and/or mocking. They may also contain references to lack of impartiality or fairness, lack of empathy, a lack of concern for someone's welfare, or a wanton lack of discretion. Deportment PCs often involve violation of the CAPRA principles.

B. **Improper Use of Force (Conducted Energy Weapon [CEW], Oleoresin Capsicum [OC] Spray, Defensive Baton, Restraints, Physical Control, Dog Bite or Other)**
This should include any PC of inappropriate or excessive force. PCs may include allegations of use of force that was unnecessary, inconsistent with the circumstances, and applied too frequently, harshly, or for too long a duration. Complainants may allege inappropriate use of physical control techniques (soft-hand and/or hard-hand), police holds, dog bites, tear gas or OC spray, defensive batons, CEW, or any other weapon or instrument, whether prescribed or not. Use of Force PCs often involve violations of the Incident Management/Intervention Model principles.

C. **Improper Use of Firearms**
This classification is reserved for Improper Use of Force allegations specific to the use, display, or discharge of a firearm.

D. **Irregularity in Procedure**
This section most often refers to an alleged violation of the intent and spirit of an "administratively enforced" statute, such as the *Privacy Act*, the *Access to Information Act*, the *RCMP Act* and any Force policy relating to those acts. Some of the more common PCs involve privacy or access issues, including allegations that a member obtained information, directly or indirectly, from a police data bank, without justification, and/or for reasons not consistent with a duty being performed, and/or used that information, or shared it with, or disclosed it to another person or party, without justification, or in a manner not prescribed by law or Force policy. Also included in this category are CRCC findings (interim reports) of improper termination of public complaints.

E. **Driving Irregularity**
This refers to any allegation that a member used any form of police transport improperly or unsafely, whether in violation of any law, or without due consideration of others. In particular, it refers to PCs about pursuits and emergency vehicle operations.

F1. **Neglect of Duty**
This broad category encompasses allegations that a member failed or refused to perform a duty, or provide a service that members are expected to provide, or did perform the duty or provide the service, but in a manner which does not compare favourably with Force standards. This category includes, but is not restricted to allegations of refusal to identify oneself, refusal or failure to provide timely and adequate service, mismanagement of PCs, inadequate or incompetent investigations, improper care and handling of prisoners, failure to release detained persons into safe haven at time of release, and failure to provide adequate, prompt, and competent medical care. Neglect of Duty may be attributed to both members (since Part VII of the *RCMP Act* applies to the conduct of members or persons appointed under the act) and one or more of the members' line supervisors or superiors (as in failures to supervise or provide guidance or direction, improper task assignment and/or failure to avoid conflict of interest). Neglect of Duty allegations which fit into a Charter Violation category (sections J, K, L, or M) should be reported there, instead of in this category. This broad category also includes relatively common allegations of Deficient Reporting that a member failed or refused to record/report the facts of a PC, a service provided, or investigation conducted. It also includes allegations that a member fabricated, recorded, or reported facts that were incorrect or untrue, or that a member concealed, and/or failed to record, or report correct or true facts. This refers to notebooks, occurrence reports, crime reports, reporting forms, court documents, or records of any type, including written, audio, video, audio-video, electronic, etc.

F2. **Neglect of Duty - Public Complaint Process**
This should include any public complaint of neglect of duty as described in section F1 – Neglect of Duty, however relating specifically to the public complaint process, including for example allegations of mismanagement of PCs, failing to send the monthly status updates, or failing to record/report a public complaint.

G. **Statutory Offences**
This classification is reserved for PCs alleging violation of the *Criminal Code*, Federal Statute, Provincial Statute, or Municipal By-Law, even though such PCs may be referred to the Crown or the appropriate RCMP Officer for decisions on possible prosecution or *RCMP Code of Conduct* proceedings.

H. **Mishandling of Property**
This classification is reserved for PCs alleging the loss of property (including money), unreasonable detention of property, damage to property in police custody, improper disposal of property, or failure to account for money or property.

I. **Irregularity - Evidence**
This classification is intended for allegations that a member testified, in a judicial proceeding, to erroneous facts, such as those alluded to in section F1 - Neglect of Duty (Deficient Reporting). It is reserved for PCs alleging that a member failed or refused to report the facts of a PC, a service provided, or investigation conducted in a judicial proceeding. It includes allegations that a member testified to facts that were incorrect or untrue, or that a member concealed, and/or failed to testify to correct or true facts.

J. **Oppressive Conduct**
This classification is restricted to those cases which surpass improper attitude, irregular procedure, and neglect of duty. It is reserved for bona fide allegations of severe misuse of police authority or powers, aggravated harassment, unfounded, unfair, or embellished charging, and threats or intimidation via any of the foregoing. Refer to Improper Attitude before using this classification. An allegation of an aggravated violation of Section 7 of the *Charter* would likely apply here.

K. **Improper Arrest**
This classification is generally reserved for allegations of a violation of the intent and spirit of the *Charter*, including Section 2 (expression, etc.), and Sections 7 and 9 (unjustified or unreasonable arrest or detention, etc.). PCs often allege violations of *Charter* Section 10 (failure to inform person promptly of reason for arrest and of rights to counsel, and/or to promptly provide person with opportunity to exercise rights, etc.) which are part and parcel of a proper arrest.

L. **Improper Persons/Vehicles Search**
This classification is specifically reserved for personal and vehicular searches and is generally reserved for allegations of a violation of the intent and spirit of the *Charter*, including Section 8 (unreasonable or unjustified search or seizure, including warrant-less search, etc.).

M. **Improper Search of Premises**
This classification is specifically reserved for premises searches and generally reserved for allegations of a violation of the intent and spirit of the *Charter*, including Section 8 (unreasonable or unjustified search or seizure, including warrant-less entry into a residence or place, etc.). This classification may apply to a member who enters a premises or remains unlawfully in a premises.

N. **Policy**
This classification is reserved for PCs about Force policies or their application. Since Part VII of the *RCMP Act* applies to the conduct of a member or a person appointed under the *Act*, this is a rarely used classification.

O. **Equipment**
This classification is reserved for PCs about Force equipment or its use. Since Part VII of the *RCMP Act* applies to the conduct of a member or a person appointed under the *Act*, this is a rarely used classification.

P. **Service**
This classification is reserved for PCs about a lack of response or an inability to provide adequate, timely service. This refers to a general police service, as opposed to a specific service provided by a specific member as referred to in section F1 - Neglect of Duty. Since Part VII of the *RCMP Act* applies to the conduct of a member or a person appointed under the *Act*, this is a rarely used classification.

Q/R. **Bias**
This classification is reserved for PCs alleging that actions, inactions or decisions made by a member, resulted in the inequitable treatment of a person or group. Complainants may allege a discriminatory practice related to any, or a combination of, the following grounds: race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, marital status, family status, genetic characteristics, disability or a conviction for which a pardon has been granted or record suspended. Other can be utilized when there is a combination of grounds.

Canada Border Services Agency | Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

D

Barrett

Surrey Memorial Hospital (12-12 to 12-14-2024)

fraserhealth

Better health. Best in health care.

Scan here to take our Food Services Satisfaction Survey

It only takes an instant!
Use the camera on your mobile device to scan the codes, almost like you're taking a picture.

Scan here to make menu selections (for patients with eligible diets)

Facility ID: SMH13750

258994-SMHCHOICE | OCT.2024

/// last night (12-12-2024) - 10PM, nurse comes "Take these" "I dont think I want to" "yes you do" "I usually like to read the warning label first" "well, if your not asleep in an hour, we're gonna give you a shot" "Thats quite scary" "it is what it is. Sleep is important" / I think the Doctor yesterday thought the red lights that keep me up @ night (detention center security) were delusions.. ~anyways, I was so scared I just laid like a holocaust escapee in bunker / migrant / mexican / s. american fleeing for life packed with family in secret truck storage.. Today - now, 3:45pm - laying in bed with Good Book - I CAN BE BETTER SLEEPER THAN ALL YOU! hehe;) anti woke deeps.. /// ✚ more water for people waiting, better pay to create faster services / response to these patients, all just laying around, waiting on nurses (or they're all Deepstate actors? No, one looks very sick...)

FACILITY ID: SMH13750

13/12/2024 05:49

**** PLEASE CLEAN YOUR HANDS BEFORE EATING ****

1 EA 2% MILK**

1 30 GR CORN FLAKES**

1 EA PEANUT BUTTER**

1 EA BUTTERED MULTIGRAIN TOAST**

1 EA STRAWBERRY JAM**

1 107 ML FRUIT SALAD PTN**

1 180 ML COFFEE**

1 EA MILKER**

1 EA SUGAR**

/// just called Surrey Police @ 8:45pm to report a crime in progress "Trying to involuntarily administer medication even though I am not being a danger to myself or others"

☐ Constipated ☐ need exercise ☐ Haven't seen daughter in 4 years ☐

GEN, R

BREAKFAST

FRIDAY

13/12/2024

BARRETT, SPENCER JOSEPH

SM01580313

ERIN5-01H2 SM-ERIN5

/// 12/14/2024, this place is a nightmare / really scary. The nurses are nicer / more helpful, better listeners than the "Doctors": ~~who have~~ (CBT works better than meds) who have these doctors really helped / listened-to / befriended? They pretend they're smart -

*

12/14) But they are the worst kind of fathers / The worst kind of Love. To just Drug & Destroy the individuals individuality (uniqueness)

fraserhealth

Better health. Best in health care.

intrinsic motivation. To help with exercise /adaptation| //// Psychiatrist are some of the most evil people. //// Had a horrible nightmare, Lucy was extremely traumatized by percussive treatment by her mother, and her mother just horrible... They turned her into I won't say anymore - I believe in healing and health, everyone can heal. They had no idea how I really feel.

Scan here to take our Food Services Satisfaction Survey

//// 5:15am just spoke with Jess, here feeling suicidal, said her throat issue cant breathe, I told her about reminder to breathe and how talk therapy is better than drugs & how scary this place is and the healing power of nature

It only takes an instant!

Use the camera on your mobile device to scan the codes, almost like you're taking a picture.

//// 755am Damian Broadcaster next door, same issue as me, phone & #'s missing (his @ "home", mine @ CBSA docs up to RR 115) Damian said he just had to wait until 8am //// "just a general, run of the mill, low grade sex slave and this is my most recent destination" / Tell Canada to stop trying to abort me, I haven't even been born yet. / Damian said that his neighbor is a ex soldier and has assaulted him multiple times (over 100) the Doctor said "I know, I've seen his charts" - he says he's a chosen one and so am I, which could be seen as manipulative 3/6 or something another person told him.. //// just met Sun Preet : would be nicer but feel like surrounded by Gov. agents - Barrett family Med. malpractice

Scan here to make menu selections (for patients with eligible diets)

Facility ID: SMH13750

258994-SMHCHOICE | OCT.2024

2

He's framing me! I dont want 2 popsicles!
i) (I do, but pigging out is selfish/vulgar/
13/12/2024 09:51

FACILITY ID: SMH13750

rude in front of people) "maybe put it back?"
"it's locked!" "no its unlocked" - then I
point @
**** PLEASE CLEAN YOUR HANDS BEFORE EATING ****
Stop sign about verbal Threats, Harassment
["Hate Speech"] and claim that "saying its
"unlocked" is a threat &/or
Harassment, Because SECURITY?! Shouldn't
it be locked?! ~ all Speech can be taken as
a threat/violence/
harassment

1 EA 2% MILK**

1 EA CRACKER PKG**
1 180 ML CREAM OF CAULIFLOWER SOUP**

~~Gave~~ Austin my son, he said he did it in his
dads nutsack (masturbated his right arm off - so I
gave him Sur Preets Love Braceletm

1 180 ML THAI RED CHICKEN CURRY**

1 120 ML RICE**
1 120 ML SUNRISE VEGETABLE MIX**
1 125 ML FRUIT COCKTAIL**

1 EA MILKER**
1 EA SUGAR**
1 180 ML TEA BAG/HOT WATER**
1 EA PEPPER**
1 EA SALT**

PLASTIC CUTLERY

GEN, R

LUNCH
FRIDAY
13/12/2024

BARRETT, SPENCER JOSEPH
ERIN5-01H2 SM-ERIN5

SM01580313

*

Dr. Mudasir Asif - 2 decisions main ER = patient need stay, need to be discharged = family rational in some aspects, Re tension at to why homeless and access to safe storage; some statements not super normal Eccentric ... something about masterbating

fraserhealth

Better health. Best in health care.

Scan here to take our Food Services Satisfaction Survey

Help me get documents from Talk Therapy meds

phone #'s & CBSA & Exercise over

It only takes an instant!
Use the camera on your mobile device to scan the codes, almost like you're taking a picture.

Scan here to make menu selections

(fo ts)

FACILITY ID: SMH13750

13/12/2024 09:51

**** PLEASE CLEAN YOUR HANDS BEFORE EATING ****

---

1 EA 2% MILK**

1 EA CRACKER PKG**
1 180 ML CREAM OF CAULIFLOWER SOUP**

1 120 GR TERIYAKI TOFU**

1 120 ML RICE**
1 120 ML SUNRISE VEGETABLE MIX**
1 125 ML FRUIT COCKTAIL**

1 EA MILKER**
1 EA SUGAR**
1 180 ML TEA BAG/HOT WATER**
1 EA PEPPER**
1 EA SALT**

**PLAST

FACILITY ID: SMH13750

13/12/2024 13:58

**** PLEASE CLEAN YOUR HANDS BEFORE EATING ****

---

1 EA 2% MILK**
1 EA APPLE JUICE**
1 250 ML ROMAINE TOSS SALAD

1 5 EA FALAFEL & TZATZIKI**

1 120 ML RICE PILAF**

1 2 EA BROWNIE BITES**

1 EA MILKER**
1 EA SUGAR**
1 180 ML TEA BAG/HOT WATER**
1 EA PEPPER**
1 EA SALT**

PLASTIC CUTLERY

VEG, R

DINNER
FRIDAY
13/12/2024

BARRETT, SPENCER JOSEPH
ERIN5-01H2 SM-ERIN5

SM01580313

*

FACILITY ID: SMH13750

14/12/2024 05:49

**** PLEASE CLEAN YOUR HANDS BEFORE EATING ****

---

1 EA APPLE JUICE**
1 EA WHOLE MILK

1 EA BROWN SUGAR**
1 180 ML OATMEAL**
1 EA MARBLE CHEDDAR CHEESE**

1 EA MARGARINE**
1 EA TRIPLEBERRY MUFFIN**

1 180 ML COFFEE**
1 EA MILKER**
1 EA SUGAR**

PLASTIC CUTLERY

VEG, R

BREAKFAST
SATURDAY
14/12/2024

BARRETT, SPENCER JOSEPH
ERIN5-01H2 SM-ERIN5

SM01580313

*

FACILITY ID: SMH13750

14/12/2024 09:54

**** PLEASE CLEAN YOUR HANDS BEFORE EATING ****

1	EA	WHOLE MILK
1	EA	1000 ISLAND DRSG**
1	250 ML	EUROPEAN SALAD**
1	EA	CRACKER PKG**
1	180 ML	POTATO CARROT SOUP**
1	EA	CHEESE OMELET**
1	100 GR	PARMESAN POTATO**
1	125 ML	PINEAPPLE TIDBITS**
1	EA	MILKER**
1	EA	SUGAR**
1	180 ML	TEA BAG/HOT WATER**
1	EA	KETCHUP**
1	EA	PEPPER**
1	EA	SALT**

PLASTIC CUTLERY

VEG, R

LUNCH

SATURDAY

14/12/2024

BARRETT, SPENCER JOSEPH

SM01580313

ERIN5-01H2 SM-ERIN5

*

fraserhealth Better health. Best in health care.

SURREY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
FOOD AND NUTRITION DEPARTMENT

Date: _____ Time Dinner

Ward/Room No: _____ MHSU _____

Patient's Name: _____ EXTRA _____

Request: **BAG DINNER**

Salmon SANDWICH Exp: 12

FRUIT

COOKIES & JUICE

DELIVER TO NURSING STATION

eaten 9pm 12-13-2024

FACILITY ID: SMH13750

12/12/2024 13:54

**** PLEASE CLEAN YOUR HANDS BEFORE EATING ****

---

1 EA ORANGE JUICE**

1 130 GR ROAST TURKEY & GRAVY**

1 120 ML MASHED POTATO**
1 120 ML MIXED VEGETABLE**

1 SLICE TRIPLEBERRY CRUMBLE**

1 EA MILKER**
1 EA SUGAR**
1 180 ML TEA BAG/HOT WATER**
1 EA PEPPER**
1 EA SALT**

PLASTIC CUTLERY

GEN, R

DINNER
THURSDAY
12/12/2024

fraserhealth Better health. Best in health care.

SURREY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
FOOD AND NUTRITION DEPARTMENT

Date: _____ **Time Dinner**

Ward/Room No: _____ **MHSU** _____

Patient's Name: _____ **EXTRA** _____

Request: **BAG DINNER**

Salmon
SANDWICH **Exp:** 14

FRUIT

COOKIES & JUICE

DELIVER TO NURSING STATION

eaten @ 530 am 12/14/24

➢ You have the right to ask for a second medical opinion

If you don't agree with your psychiatric treatment, you can ask for a second opinion from another doctor. To do this, ask a nurse to help you fill out **Form 11**.

You can choose any doctor licensed to practise in BC to examine you, but you may have to pay for their travel costs.

Be aware that the second opinion is just an opinion, and your treatment team doesn't have to follow the other doctor's recommendations.

➢ You have the right to speak with a lawyer

A lawyer can help you challenge your certification by asking a judge to review your case. You may have to pay the lawyer's fee and court costs.

A lawyer can also give you legal advice about your rights as a certified patient. If you can't afford a lawyer, Access Pro Bono offers 30 minutes of free legal advice over the phone. Call to make an appointment:

604-482-3195 ext. 1500 in the Lower Mainland
1-877-762-6664 ext. 1500 elsewhere in BC
10 AM–4 PM, Monday to Friday

What happens when I leave the hospital?

You may either:

- be discharged and be free to go, or
- be placed on extended leave.

Being on extended leave means you can live out in the community, but you will still be certified and will have to follow conditions, like visiting a mental health team and taking psychiatric medications.

review panel

You have the right to know if you're being discharged or placed on extended leave. You have all of the same rights on extended leave as you do in the hospital, including the right to ask for a review panel hearing.

What if I'm unhappy about my care?

If you have complaints about the way you've been treated, you can contact the Office of the Ombudsperson:

1-800-567-3247

PO Box 9039
STN PROV GOVT
Victoria, BC
V8W 9A5

bcombudsperson.ca

The Office of the Ombudsperson is an independent body that investigates public institutions, like the hospital.

Where can I get more information about my rights?

Read a summary of your rights on **Form 13**. A nurse will ask you to sign that form to show that someone has told you about your rights.

If you'd like a family member or friend to help you with your rights, you can ask a nurse to give them rights information.

If you have questions about your rights, talk to a nurse or a mental health team member to learn more.

This pamphlet was created by the Mental Health Act Rights Advice research team (bcmentalhealthrights.ca). Funding for this research was provided by Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research.

(2018 CC BY-NC)

YOUR RIGHTS

under BC's

MENTAL HEALTH ACT

What you can do if you're certified as an involuntary patient

Thank you Tahsha (received @ 9pm)

What does it mean to be certified under BC's *Mental Health Act*?

The *Mental Health Act* is the law that sets out the rules for when a person can be kept in the hospital against their will.

That law says that you can be certified as an involuntary patient *only* if a doctor has examined you and believes you meet *all four* of these criteria:

1. your ability to react to your environment and associate with others is seriously impaired because of a mental disorder,
2. you need psychiatric treatment,
3. you need care, supervision, and control:
   - to protect you or others, or
   - to prevent you from deteriorating substantially, either mentally or physically, and
4. you can't be admitted as a voluntary patient.

If you've been certified, you may feel scared, confused, or angry, especially if you aren't sure what your rights are.

When you're certified:

- you can't leave the hospital without your doctor's permission, and
- you can't refuse psychiatric treatment, including medication.

But you can still talk to your doctor about your treatment, **and you don't lose all your rights.**

How long do I have to stay in the hospital?

That depends on how many certificates have been completed. One certificate lets your doctor keep you in hospital for up to 48 hours. If a second certificate is completed, you may have to stay for up to 1 month.

If, at any point, the doctor believes you no longer meet the criteria, you will be decertified.

	1st certificate	2nd certificate	1st renewal	2nd renewal	3rd & further renewals
CERTIFICATION PERIODS	48 hrs	1 month	1 month	3 months	6 months (can repeat)

If the doctor believes you still meet the criteria after a month, they can renew your certification, first for 1 month, then for 3 months, then for periods of 6 months.

During each of these certification periods, you have the right to:

- be told what your rights are,
- be examined by a doctor to see if you still meet the criteria for certification,
- ask for a review panel hearing, and
- ask for a second medical opinion.

What rights do I have if I'm certified?

➢ You have the right to know where you are

Ask a nurse if you need to know the name and address of the hospital.

➢ You have the right to know why you've been certified

The doctor must write the reasons for your hospitalization on your medical certificate (Form 4) or, if your certification has been renewed, on your renewal certificate (Form 6). You have the right to know what is on your certificate.

➢ You have the right to ask for a review panel hearing

If you don't agree with the doctor's decision to certify you, you can challenge your hospitalization. One way is to ask for a hearing with a review panel. **There is no cost for a hearing.**

A review panel is independent of the hospital and includes:

- a lawyer,
- a doctor who isn't on your treatment team, and
- a member of the community.

They will hear your case and decide if you meet the criteria for hospitalization. If they decide that you don't, you'll be decertified. If they decide that you do, you'll have to stay in the hospital.

To apply for a review panel hearing, ask a nurse to help you fill out **Form 7**. If you are in a 1-month certification period, your hearing will be scheduled within 14 days from when you apply.

You have the right to have an advocate or lawyer represent you and help you prepare and present your case to the review panel.

You can call witnesses to testify on your behalf.

You can ask the review panel if you can bring someone to support you, but it's up to the chair of the panel to decide if this will be allowed.

Once you have a hearing scheduled, if you need help finding an advocate or lawyer to represent you, call the Mental Health Law Program:

604-685-3425 in the Lower Mainland
1-888-685-6222 elsewhere in BC
10 AM–noon & 1:30 PM–4:30 PM, Monday to Friday

American Association of Psychiatric Pharmacists (aapp.org)

MEDICATION FACT SHEET

Olanzapine (oh LANZ a peen)

- **Olanzapine**
  - Oral tablet: 2.5 mg, 5 mg, 7.5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, 20 mg
  - Oral disintegrating tablet: 5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, 20 mg
  - Intramuscular injection (short-acting): 10 mg
- **Zyprexa®**
  - Oral tablet: 2.5 mg, 5 mg, 7.5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, 20 mg
  - Intramuscular injection (short-acting): 10 mg
- **Zyprexa Zydis®**
  - Oral disintegrating tablet: 5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, 20 mg
- **Zyprexa Relprevv®**
  - Intramuscular injection (long-acting): 210 mg, 300 mg, 405 mg

All FDA warnings are at the end of this fact sheet. Please consult them before taking this medication.

If you or someone you know is in crisis, please call/text 988 to speak with a trained crisis counselor 24/7 and/or call 911 for emergency services. A help line and other resources are also available through the National Alliance on Mental Illness at nami.org.

NAMI National Alliance on Mental Illness

What is olanzapine and what does it treat?

Olanzapine is a medication that works in the brain to treat schizophrenia. It is also known as a second-generation antipsychotic (SGA) or atypical antipsychotic. Olanzapine rebalances dopamine and serotonin to improve thinking, mood, and behavior.

Symptoms of schizophrenia include:

- Hallucinations - imagined voices or images that seem real
- Delusions - beliefs that are not true (e.g., other people are reading your thoughts)
- Disorganized thinking or trouble organizing your thoughts and making sense
- Little desire to be around other people
- Trouble speaking clearly
- Lack of motivation

Olanzapine may help some or all of these symptoms.

Olanzapine may be used alone or with other medications to treat mental health conditions.

It is also FDA approved for the following indications:

- Acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes of bipolar disorder
- Maintenance (long-term) treatment of bipolar disorder
- Acute treatment of agitation in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder
- Episodes of depression that happen with bipolar I disorder, when used with fluoxetine
- Episodes of depression that do not get better after trying 2 other medications, also called treatment resistant depression, when used with fluoxetine

This medication sheet will focus primarily on schizophrenia. You can find more information about bipolar disorder and depression here.

This medication may be prescribed for other uses; ask your health care provider for more information.

Preference for Talk Therapy
* CBT works Better than meds
AND
EXERCISE!!! 30 min-1hr 1day aerobic

© 2023 The American Association of Psychiatric Pharmacists (AAPP) and the National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI). AAPP and NAMI make this document available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. Last Updated: January 2016.

Olanzapine orally disintegrating tablets will dissolve in your mouth within seconds and can be swallowed with or without liquid.

Use a calendar, pillbox, alarm clock, or cell phone alert to help you remember to take your medication. You may also ask a family member a friend to remind you or check in with you to be sure you are taking your medication.

The long-acting injection form of olanzapine is administered every 2 to 4 weeks. It should be administered by your health care professional through an injection into your buttocks area. A health care professional will keep you in a setting where you can be observed for at least 3 hours after each injection.

What happens if I miss a dose of olanzapine?

It is important to take your medication everyday as directed by your health care provider. Do not miss or skip a dose.

If you miss a dose of olanzapine, take it as soon as you remember, unless it is closer to the time of your next dose. Discuss this with your health care provider. Do not double your next dose or take more than what is prescribed. If you miss a dose of olanzapine long-acting injection, see your health care provider to receive your dose as soon as possible.

What should I avoid while taking olanzapine?

Avoid drinking alcohol or using illegal drugs while you are taking olanzapine. They may decrease the benefits (e.g., worsen your symptoms) and increase adverse effects (e.g., sedation) of the medication.

What happens if I overdose with olanzapine?

If an overdose occurs call your doctor or 911. You may need urgent medical care. You may also contact the poison control center at 1-800-222-1222.

A specific treatment to reverse the effects of olanzapine does not exist.

What are the possible side effects of olanzapine?

This is not a complete list. Talk with your health care provider for more information.

Common side effects

Low blood pressure, drowsiness, extrapyramidal symptoms, restlessness, dizziness, headache, fatigue, insomnia, increased prolactin, weight gain, increased appetite, cholesterol abnormalities, increased glucose, dry mouth, indigestion, constipation, liver function test abnormalities, weakness, accidental injury

Rare/serious side effects

Olanzapine may increase the blood levels of a hormone called prolactin. Side effects of increased prolactin levels include females losing their period, production of breast milk and males losing their sex drive or possibly experiencing erectile problems. Long term (months or years) of elevated prolactin can lead to osteoporosis, or increased risk of bone fractures.

Some people may develop muscle related side effects while taking olanzapine. The technical terms for these are "extrapyramidal symptoms" (EPS) and "tardive dyskinesia" (TD). Symptoms of EPS include restlessness, tremor, and stiffness. TD symptoms include slow or jerky movements that one cannot control, often starting in the mouth with tongue rolling or chewing movements.

Antipsychotics can also affect temperature regulation especially if you exercise a lot or are in an area that is very hot. While taking quetiapine, it is especially important to try to drink water to avoid dehydration. Second generation antipsychotics (SGAs) increase the risk of weight gain, high blood sugar, and high cholesterol. This is also known as metabolic syndrome. Your health care provider may ask you for a blood sample to check your cholesterol, blood sugar, and hemoglobin A1c (a measure of blood sugar over time) while you take this medication.

- Information on healthy eating and adding exercise to decrease your chances of developing metabolic syndrome may be found at the following sites:
  - http://www.helpguide.org/articles/healthy-eating/healthy-eating.htm
  - http://www.helpguide.org/home-pages/exercise-fitness.htm

How long does it take for olanzapine to work?

It is very important to tell your doctor how you feel things are going during the first few weeks after you start taking olanzapine. It will probably take several weeks to see big enough changes in your symptoms to decide if olanzapine is the right medication for you.

Antipsychotic treatment is generally needed lifelong for persons with schizophrenia. Your doctor can best discuss the duration of treatment you need based on your symptoms and illness.

- Hallucinations, disorganized thinking, and delusions may improve in the first 1-2 weeks
- Sometimes these symptoms do not completely go away
- Motivation and desire to be around other people can take at least 1-2 weeks to improve
- Symptoms continue to get better the longer you take olanzapine
- It may take 2-3 months before you get the full benefit of olanzapine

Summary of Black Box Warnings

Increased Mortality in Elderly Patients with Dementia Related Psychosis

- When used for dementia related psychosis in elderly patients, both first generation (typical) and second generation (atypical) antipsychotics are associated with an increased risk of mortality. Although there were multiple causes of death in studies, most deaths appeared to be due to cardiovascular causes (e.g., sudden cardiac death) or infection (e.g., pneumonia).

Post-Injection Delirium/Sedation Syndrome

- This rare reaction has been reported with Zyprexa Relprevv, the long-acting injection form of olanzapine. This has not been reported with olanzapine tablets.
- Signs and symptoms of post-injection delirium/sedation syndrome are similar to olanzapine overdose and include sedation (including coma) and delirium (a confusional state).
- Zyprexa Relprevv (olanzapine long-acting injection) must be given at a registered health care facility with access to emergency response services.
- After each injection, patients must be observed at the health care facility by a health care professional for at least 3 hours
- Zyprexa Relprevv (olanzapine long-acting injection) is available only through a restricted distribution program called Zyprexa Relprevv Patient Care Program

Important Disclosure: This information is being provided as a community outreach effort of the American Association of Psychiatric Pharmacists. This information is for educational and informational purposes only and is not medical advice. This information contains a summary of important points and is not an exhaustive review of information about the medication. Always seek the advice of a physician or other qualified medical professional with any questions you may have regarding medications or medical conditions. Never delay seeking professional medical advice or disregard medical professional advice as a result of any information provided herein. The American Association of Psychiatric Pharmacists disclaims any and all liability alleged as a result of the information provided herein.

fraserhealth

Surrey Memorial Hospital

BARRETT,SPENCER JOSEPH
BD:18/04/1994 GND:M AGE:30Y
PHN:9658735794 Unit#:SM01580313
S.ACU SERVICE DATE:12/12/2024
ADM: Lee,Danny FAM: None Provider,Gener
MRP/ATT: Lee,Danny
Account#:SM235128/24

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW PANEL HEARING

MHXX100397C Rev: October 4, 2024

FORM 7
MENTAL HEALTH ACT
[Section 25, R S B C 1996, c. 288]

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW PANEL HEARING

The information on this form is collected pursuant to section 25 of the *Mental Health Act*. It will be used to document and initiate your application for a review panel hearing. Any questions you have about this form may be addressed to the director or staff of this facility.

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete this form and submit to the Mental Health Review Board: by fax: 604-660-2403 or by email: MHRBscheduling@gov.bc.ca

PART A -To Be Completed By Patient/Family/Facility/Team

To the director of Surrey Memorial Hospital (*name of designated facility*) _____ (*ward/unit*)

I, Spencer Joseph Barrett (*applicant first and last name (please print)*), request a hearing by a review panel, in the case of

Spencer Joseph Barrett (*patient legal first and last name (please print)*), _____ (*current mental health team / site / facility*)

Spencer Joseph Barrett (*applicant signature*) 13/12/2024 (*signature date (dd / mm / yyyy)*)

_____ (*patient personal health number (PHN)*) _____ (*patient phone number*) _____ (*patient email address*)

Patient's Right to Legal Representation

As the patient you may choose to:

- ☐ Attend the Review Panel Hearing without a representative;
- ☐ Ask a family member, friend or near relative to represent you;
- ☐ Hire a lawyer in private practice to represent you; or
- ☐ Request free legal representation from the Mental Health Law Program (MHLP). *If you choose this option, the Mental Health Review Board will submit your request directly to the MHLP, who will contact you to discuss the availability of an advocate at your hearing.* ***If the MHLP is able to represent you, you understand that you consent to the release of your health records to the MHLP.*** *For further information, please contact MHLP at 604-685-3425 or toll free 1-888-685-6222.*

PART B -To Be Completed By Facility/Team

The Mental Health Review Board has a statutory obligation to schedule a hearing within 14 days or 28 days after receiving an application. To facilitate scheduling, please provide the following information:

Patient's date of birth: 18/04/1994 (dd / mm / yyyy)

Admission date: 12/12/2024 (dd / mm / yyyy)

Date first Form 4 signed: _____ (dd /mm / yyyy)

Date second Form 4 signed: _____ (dd / mm / yyyy)

Date most recent Form 6 signed: _____ (dd / mm / yyyy)

Date most recent Form 6 expires: _____ (dd / mm / yyyy)

_____ (*treating psychiatrist name*) _____ (*case presenter name**)

_____ (*case presenters availability for upcoming two-week period*)

Please provide the contact information of a person at your facility who can assist us in scheduling a review panel hearing for the patient:

_____ (contact name) _____ (contact phone number) _____ (contact email)

BARRETT,SPENCER JOSEPH
BD:18/04/1994 GND:M AGE:30Y
PHN:9658735794 Unit#:SM01580313
S.ACU SERVICE DATE:12/12/2024

FORM 7
MENTAL HEALTH ACT
[Section 25, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 288]

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW PANEL HEARING

More Instructions for Completing this Application

At a review panel hearing, a review panel makes a decision about whether or not an involuntary patient should continue to be certified. An involuntary patient may apply for a review panel hearing by completing this form.

A patient or someone acting on behalf of the patient completes Part A of the form, and the patient or person acting on behalf of the patient then signs it. A patient may select one of the four options under the heading "Patient's Right to Legal Representation. " The patient's facility/team then completes Part B of the form.

An involuntary patient may be in a designated facility (e.g., hospital) or living in the community. An involuntary patient living in the community is referred to as being on leave and must comply with treatment in the community or risk being recalled to a designated facility.

* A case presenter is a health professional (usually the patient's treating psychiatrist) who is knowledgeable about the patient's history and condition and can give evidence and answer questions at a review panel hearing. A case presenter may be the patient's case manager, nurse, or social worker.

Received 12/27

Notice of intention to intervene 101 ~~XIII~~ pages ~~XIII~~



IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE BOARD OF CANADA
REFUGEE PROTECTION DIVISION

BETWEEN:

Spencer Joseph BARRETT (11-4228-4332)

Claimant(s)

AND:

THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

Respondent

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO INTERVENE

TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to subparagraphs 170(e) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* and pursuant to Rule 29 of the Refugee Protection Division Rules, the Minister intends to intervene by appearing through Minister's counsel at the proceedings to present evidence, question witnesses and make representations. The Minister has concerns relating to program integrity.

Further TAKE NOTICE that on this day, a copy of this notice has been sent to Mr. BARRETT via Detention Liaison Officers at the Immigration Holding Centre.

DATED this 27th day of December, in the year 2024, in the city of Vancouver, in the province of British Columbia.

_____

Mason Cooke, Minister's Representative
CBSA – Pacific Region Enforcement Centre
Suite 700 – 300 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, B.C., V6B 6C8
Fax: 604-666-9559

So, at this point, he has been in detention since October 11th, and so that is becoming a long time to remain in detention. It does weigh somewhat in favour of release; however, it is outweighed by the other factors favouring continued detention.

And looking forward, he does have the pending refugee claim. And today, the Minister's Counsel has estimated that a decision is anticipated in six (6) weeks. They have sought an expedited decision as S is in detention. And if there is a negative decision, removals to the United States are frequent and can occur often the same day or in very short order.

Six (6) weeks, again, is a long time to remain in detention, and that does favour release. But once again, that factor is outweighed by those favour and continued detention today.

Minister's Counsel has asked me to attribute some delay to S as he delayed his submissions in regards to the refugee protection process. However, Minister's Counsel did concede during submissions that S has a general mistrust of officers and staff at the Immigration Holding Centre. He has expressed concerns about not being provided copies of his paperwork. He refers to these as requests that he has submitted. He has mentioned that in other facilities that he has been in elsewhere that, they would have carbon copies that would be able to be retained. He says that he doesn't receive any sort of written confirmation of his requests or responses, and he has concerns about his paperwork being lost. And, of course, this is in the context of mental health concerns.

So, although, I do believe there was some delay here, I am going to consider a neutral factor for today in all of the circumstances.

Concerning the best interests of any minor children. Today, there was mention that he had five (5) to seven (7) children, but it was a somewhat disjointed explanation of his relationship with them, and there was nothing about any potential impact on that. There is really nothing in the evidence about any children. Minister's Counsel stated that there was one child referred to in the refugee materials, but again, there is no further information.

So, this really leaves me with insufficient knowledge to know how many children he actually has, the nature of those relationships and how, of course, continued detention may affect them. So, I am going to count that as a neutral factor today.

And finally, I am left to consider what alternative to detention there may be. No specific alternative was presented today. S did mention that he was aware of some shelters or other residence that may possibly be available, but no prior arrangements or planning had been made for that, and the middle of the hearing is not the place to canvass possibilities, generally speaking.

I do agree with prior observations that there should be an element of direct supervision in any release plan and possibly support for mental health issues. And I note that S did disagree with the requirement for direct supervision, but my decision is based on the evidence of history of non-compliance and mental health, etc. So, I don't consider a promise to appear with conditions a suitable alternative for someone in his particular circumstances. So, that favours continued detention as well.

And so, in summary, when I weigh all of these additional factors against each other, they favour continued detention. I am ordering continued detention, and I am scheduling the next detention review hearing for December 19th, 2024, at 02:30 p.m. Pacific Time.

And Mr. Crocker, does that work with the Minister's schedule?

. Hamilton received 3rd request for
Priaf 1983
& fax

Σ

@ 15:30 on 10/23

- Disclosure.. 48 hr (20 pages) Det. Rev. (missing p20/20)
- RR 20 = p 20/20 (in, Current with all RR's)
  ☐ could put RR 1-19 here? (from VI, VII)

(I)

1. Welcome Hub
   Nico spoke w/ Stanley
   Spot till the 12th Dec.

2. Olive Branch Shelter
   10732 City Park Way, Surrey
   Bed Available tomorrow

3. 10617 128th St
   Surrey
   Joe
   778-836-1007

Referral Under Subsection 44(2) of Imm. & Refugee Protection act for an admiss. hearing

20 pages (I)

VII XI.
VIII

VIII

34 page Disclosure (11/4) A36(1)b & A36(2)(b)
serious criminality criminality

1 page Disclosure (10/16)
1 page Disclosure (10/23)
20 pages Disclosure (10/16)

VII XI

(IX)

☒ Detention Review Date Sooner (recieved 11/5)
☒ Refugee Docs (recieved 11/5) → finished 11/10
☐ Table of Contents for RR's
☐ Fax these docs to immigration & shesha united
☐ find backup site/fax #
☐ after city-mandate, ignorance/refusal/negligence: Proven Government state & city conspiracy to commit genocide/murder own their own civilians
failure to take notice
(~~tm~~ I PREPARE, I DONT NEED HOPE, I PREPARE)

THE SECRET

~~HEART~~ / ~~BREATH~~

~~MATH~~ / ~~MAGIC~~ / SCIENCE

FEEL YOUR HEART

/ CENTER / SOURCE

It's okay if it hurts
Remember to breathe
Let it hurt
Be a Medium for their pain
= Put out the smoke..
= Dont throw it back"
But! Free Speech is important
too.. its your
= choice...
if you can
re-mind
re-member
re-joice

(IX)

received this packet 12/18

XII XI

12/17 · 4pm Stored docs : 117 RR's, RCMP report, Hospital notes/reciepts

☐ write soleman declaration / List of grievances
how their declarations make me feel / power of defamation without evidence / context

I) opening statement:
· disgusting waste of time & resources
II · Universal Healthcare / my Health & security
· Statement by Doctor "Consent"
· "Soleman" / History: Categorically false Hate Speech
· Pushing Anyones Buttons (lack of Empathy is not hard)
· other important things I've said
· whats worse than Hate speech? Hate actions

Cog Diss. Theory

13) V. Sidhu told me to shutup strangled my conscience same w/th Brar
14) Doctor "consent"

Dangling Candy & making dog pull sled



[current] unnatural environment

1) "you dont tell us how to do our job" - Klimchuk
2) "my boss says you have some good ideas, reciepts" - Reed
3) "Theyre going to give you a Banana" - Sandh
4) why I dont go to anymo hearings (dont listen to my side: 3 address, no bond $, community ties, children need me (fight for their rights, future, the Earth
5) "Respect is bending over in Submission" - CBSA guard no name tag (covered w/ jacket)
6) "Dont make noise when pooping" guy with glasses taller pretended to care about puzzle, dismissing me
7) G. Prihar google glasses see through walls and threat to impregnate w/ gov. Tech
8) Khan - you cant threaten for ~~touching~~ being touched in bathroom, have to be touched, backed up by Jouhal, short old guy & shorter black guy
9) judge: time to eat hungry - not willing to lisk
10) made pee pants 11/21
11) judge: think about before
12) property tosses children
Rights list a-z
pushed buttons & empathy

Q: Where are Gates/Mosk/Zuckerberg Epic Self suff. Cities of Emerg. Prep to Nat. & manmade disasters & Highest Human Potentials? They have Billions! $ atleast invest in small hundred or so village!
(Long Term Investments! The Earth, the future, the Children)

★ THESE COURTS ARE A HUGE JOKE/insult ON HUMAN LIFE AND HUMAN POTENTIAL
no one should wait this many days, months, YEARS
each day is Priceless
STOP WASTING HUMAN POTENTIAL